Footnotes may also include errata and corrections to data not previously reported from prior years. Numbers refer to columns in Library Data Tables and to Questionnaire numbers. Unless otherwise noted, all figures are as of 06/30/2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUESTION NUMBER</th>
<th>FOOTNOTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALABAMA</strong></td>
<td>All figures are as of 09/30/2011.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARIZONA</strong></td>
<td>All figures are as of 09/30/2011.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Number represents monographic TITLES purchased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARIZONA STATE</strong></td>
<td>Purchased more monographs in 2010-2011; we've been cancelling state codes and have fewer discards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Small increase in transmittals in 2010-2011, but a huge decrease in the number of briefs received. The court is phasing out the practice of sending us the paper briefs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.a</td>
<td>Purchased less replacements for lost items.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.d, 24.a</td>
<td>Purchased Content DM and LIPA Archive in 2010-2011.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Discontinued binding of most legal periodicals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Purchased two copiers and eight desktops for library staff in 2009-2010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Purchased computers for library staff in 2009-2010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Fewer faculty requests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.c</td>
<td>More students worked more hours because we had less staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>2009-2010 figure should have been 111 as well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Fewer librarians on staff to teach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>We have changed the way we keep statistics; figure is under-reported due to new staff not keeping stats as they should have.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Due to the increase in cancellation of print journals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Decrease in the number of requests received from faculty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>2009-2010 figure should be revised to 70.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2009-2010 figure should be revised to 581.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>2009-2010 figure should be revised to 39.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>2009-2010 figure should be revised to 581.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>2009-2010 figure should be revised to 39.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOSTON</strong></td>
<td>Volumes held June 30, 2010 revised to 838,885 to include e-book units added to the collection in 2010-2011 as the result of a large one-time addition to the OPAC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOSTON COLLEGE</strong></td>
<td>All figures are as of 05/31/2011.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The Law Library does not submit data for Question 5. The University Library answers this question in order to avoid duplication issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.a-5.b.iv</td>
<td>The Law Library does not submit data for this question; the University Library answers this question.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All figures are as of 12/31/2010.

1.b.ii Due to an updated collection development policy in 2009, the need to withdraw as many in 2010-2011 decreased.
3 Ordered significantly more monographic volumes in 2009-2010 and did not need to order quite as many in 2010-2011.
5.a.i Due to economic conditions and an adjustment to the collection development policy, fewer electronic serials were purchased than previous year.
16 Due to increase in new faculty researching in new areas, more money was spent on purchases in 2010-2011.
17 New provider was needed for binding because old provider went out of business.
20 Facility improvements to library were made that benefit the students and faculty, including updating compact shelving.
24.a Our Head of Technical Services Librarian contributes to OCLC which balances out what we would pay to OCLC so our monetary contributions were not necessary in 2010-2011.
30 Decrease in need for presentations to undergraduate groups.
31 Less participants because less presentations.
26, 35 Less requests came in for items that are actually part of our collection.
26, 36 We requested less ILL due to a collection development policy change and an increase in new faculty so more purchases were made instead of ILL requests.

Volumes held June 30, 2010 revised to 14,409. The original figures supplied in 2009-2010 included a percentage assignment from the main library based on classification. Corrected to just reflect the Law Library actual holdings.

Beginning in 2010-2011, salaries and wages include employee benefits, because the UCLA Library must now fund its own employee benefits.

Questions 29, 37-43 are reported on the Main Library's statistics.
1.b.i The Law Library has access to 10,225 e-books that were added to the collection and are reported in the Main library's statistics.
5.a.i The Law Library has access to 65,123 e-journals that are reported in the Main library's statistics.

Increase in withdrawn books is due to routine maintenance and withdrawal of superseded books and a shelf reading project that identified lost books.
5.a.i Number of electronic titles in 2009-2010 incorrectly counted.
13 In 2009-2010 audio CDs incorrectly included in audio count; now counted as CDs.
16.b Serial funds reallocated to purchase electronic resources.
18.b The Library has filled open full-time support staff positions. There are now no unfilled positions in the library.
22 Purchased Gale U.S. Supreme Court B&R and Making of Modern Law.
24.a Increase expenditures bibliographic utilities.
26 Decrease in the number of books borrowed via ILL by law school students.
27.c Reflects greater reliance on student employees while open positions were unfilled.

The Law Library withdrew runs of many bound American law journals in favor of reliance on online resources.
5, 33-34, 37-43 Included in Main statistics.
COLUMBIA

1. Includes 26,251 e-books.

5.a.i. Increase due to improved tracking of packages.

35. Includes 3,220 for fee-based service.

CONNECTICUT

1.a. Volumes held June 30, 2010 revised to 337,086.

CORNELL

For counts coded as "NA/UA": Unless otherwise noted, counts for Law are included as part of the Main survey, and are not broken out here.

1.a. Volumes held June 30, 2010 revised to 451,749. Increase is due to retrospective barcoding. Print volumes only. With 2009-2010 forward, this count does not include an e-book count. All Ithaca/Geneva e-book counts are included in the main survey; Law cannot be broken out.

1.b.i. Print volumes only. The 2009-2010 count should have been 7,094. (Retrospective counts mistakenly included.)

1.b.ii. The large withdrawals count is due to a record cleanup project for withdrawals that took place in earlier years.

7. Count is no longer kept up to date. The last count (in 2007-2008) was 1,006,433.

9. Count is no longer kept up to date. The last count (in 2009-2010) was 35. That count excluded any computer files that were not barcoded and/or that accompanied other formats.

11-12. Count is no longer kept up to date. The last count (in 2007-2008) was 0.

13. Excludes any sound recordings that are not barcoded, and/or that accompany other formats.

14. The motion picture count is no longer kept up to date. The last complete film and video count (in 2007-2008) was 230 (0 motion pictures). Videos/DVDs increased by 13.6% to 334.

16. Includes binding costs.

18.a. Includes expenditures for librarians and exempt staff.

18.b. Includes expenditures for non-academic non-exempt staff only.

27. Excludes FTEs for student staff. Excludes any short-term temporary staff or any positions that were temporarily vacant on June 30th.

27.a. Includes librarians and exempt staff.

27.b. Includes non-academic non-exempt staff only.

30, 32. Starting with 2010-2011, CUL broadened the coverage of the statistics it keeps on its information contacts with, and presentations to its users; it now records some of its outreach efforts. In the past, some consultations and some sessions planned for one user were included in the count for presentations to groups. They are now counted as reference transactions.

32. Count is extrapolated from 12 random sampling weeks.

33. Includes ILL lending and some staff transactions.

35. WorldCat Local became CUL's main catalog in June of 2009. Traditional ILL counts include some of the requests submitted by CUL patrons for items that are available at CUL. As of August 2011, Borrow Direct are title counts rather than volume counts.

EMORY

All figures are as of 08/31/2011.

1.b, 1.b.i. No specific cause identified for change.

5.b. The Law Library increased purchases of electronic titles and reduced the more costly print subscriptions.

5.b.iv. Decrease due to selection profile changes.
EMORY, cont.

16.a The Law Library made a focused effort to increase the number of monographs in several areas specified in our collection development policy.

27.a The Law Library hired one new professional librarian: the Associate Librarian for Research and Electronic Services. The incumbent joined the staff in August 2011.

**FLORIDA STATE**

1, 1.a Volumes held June 30, 2010 revised to 418,180. The revised figure takes into account large one-time additions to the catalog of a number of electronic resources, namely, a number of Hein Online e-libraries that are now tracked in Serial Solutions.

1, 1.b, 1.b.i Added volumes reflects both an increase in the purchase of electronic volumes as well as increased accuracy in counting such electronic volumes.

1.b, 1.b.ii Withdrawn volumes is lower than 2009-2010 due to a smaller amount of weeding projects in 2010-2011.

3 Small decrease in purchases reflective of collection needs in 2010-2011. 2009-2010 was a comparatively high number against prior years.

5, 5.a, 5.a.i Purchased a number of new electronic serial collections as they became available in HeinOnline et al.

5, 5.b, 5.b.iii We are gradually cancelling serials which are also available to the current issue in HeinOnline.

16 Expenditures were higher due to some one-time monies for various projects.

16.a Due to a decreased number of monographs purchased (Q3, expenditures in this category were lower.

16.c This figure includes a one-time $60,000 expenditure for the new ProQuest Legislative Insight product.

16.d The dramatically higher amount reflects a one-time purchase of catalog records for materials in the LexisNexis and Westlaw database.

17 Increase is due to one-time gift materials which were sent for binding.

18.b Increased staff time on Florida Supreme Court digitization project.

18.c The library hired research assistants in 2010-2011 to work on faculty research projects.

20 Fewer technology purchases 2010-2011.

23 The 2009-2010 figure was lower than average due to some pre-payments that occurred in 2008-2009.

24.b Zero was reported last year in error. $19,945 should have been reported in this category for 2009-2010.

25 This number is lower in 2010-2011 because we completed a periodic replacement/upgrade of computer equipment.

26 Between increased electronic delivery and decreased borrowing costs, ILL had lower expenses in 2010-2011.

27.c As noted in 18c, the library hired research assistants in 2010-2011, which represented an overall increase in student assistant FTE.

**HARVARD**

1.a Volumes held June 30, 2010 revised to 1,801,257.

**HAWAII**

1.b Deaccessioning projects at Law Library continue.

**HOUSTON**

All figures are as of 08/31/2011.

**HOWARD**

18-18.c Includes fringe benefits.

**INDIANA**

1.a Volumes held June 30, 2010 revised to 447,334.

1.b Number related to the large number of withdrawals in 2010-2011.

1.b.i Given reduced library space, fewer volumes are being added to the collection.
INDIANA, cont.

1.b.ii  A large number of volumes were withdrawn in 2010-2011 due to a reduction in library space.

2  More accurate count of titles in the upcoming 2011-2012 survey.

3  Additional e-books purchased.

5.b.ii  Correction.

16  Increase in materials budget.

16.a  Fewer monographs purchased; other formats purchased.

16.c  Increase in nonprint formats purchased.

17  Purchasing fewer books and print periodicals; thus, binding less.

18.c  Fewer student hours hired.

20  Increase in operating budget.

22  Purchased additional databases and e-books.

24.a  Increase in cataloging costs.

27.c  Fewer students hired.

KANSAS

1.b  In 2009-2010 we purchased a number of new serial titles with high volume counts; several new sets of state statutes. In 2010-2011 our new serial titles had much lower volume counts. In 2009-2010 we had 14 new serial titles with a volume count of 368. In 2010-2011 we had 23 new serial titles with a volume count of 50.

5, 5.a, 5.a.i  Increase over 2009-2010 due to purchase of additional electronic serials (BNA Premiere, HeinOnline Bar Journals collection, HeinOnline Kluwer law journals collection).

17  2010-2011 increase due to bindery budget rebound.

18.a  2010-2011 decrease due to Associate Director transition.

18.c  Decrease in student assistant expense in 2010-2011 due to desk coverage by library staff.

20  Increase in 2010-2011 expenditures due to copiers and other miscellaneous expenses.

22  2010-2011 increase in expenditures due to purchase of Making of Modern Law Primary Sources II & Digital Archive Collection.

24.b  2010-2011 increase due to Cassidy cataloging and BCR expenditures.

26  2009-2010 was high in comparison to 2010-2011 due to lost book.

27.a  2010-2011 lower due to Associate Director transition.

36  2010-2011 lower due to less demand for the service.

LOUISIANA STATE

1.a  Volumes held June 30, 2010 revised to 463,806.

1.b.i  Includes ProQuest Congressional Hearings (retrospective).
MICHIGAN

1. Includes 75,448 e-books. Does not include electronic serials.

3. Includes 17,275 e-books.

33. Not kept separately.

34. Includes reserves.

MICHIGAN STATE


2, 3, 16.a. Increase due to bundled e-monograph purchases (owned): Purchase & cataloging of 10,068 e-monographs in 2010-2011 (Making of Modern Laws: Trials, 1600-1926), and the purchase & cataloging of 21,800 e-monographs in 2007-2008 (Making of Modern Laws: Legal Treatises, 1800-1920), which were included in the 2007-2008 volume counts but erroneously omitted from title count and not yet reported.

5.a.i. Online subscription databases have enhanced their title holdings.

5.a.ii. Decrease due to adjustment in calculation method to reflect accurate current purchases.

MINNESOTA

2. Unique title count for Main ARL statistics comes from the shared ILS and includes all Twin Cities libraries. Separate attribution of titles to branch libraries is not available.

5, 5.a, 5.b. Electronic access is for whole Twin Cities campus, so cannot break down title count by location.

12-14. Breakdown by media type not available. Total count all types is 2,514.

MISSOURI

3. Increased focus on monographic purchases through revised profile in package plan.


22. Opportunity for a one-time purchase that was not available last year.

23. Adjustment to allow for one-time purchase of one-time resource purchases.

MONTREAL

All figures are as of 04/30/2011.

At the request of the Quebec government, the fiscal year at the University of Montreal will start on May 1 and ends April 30. As a result, data from the libraries of the University of Montreal for 2010-2011 are spread over eleven months rather than twelve.

5.a.i. An error occurred in 2009-2010. The figure should have been 2,639 instead of 4,001.

16-26. Expenditures as reported in Canadian dollars: (16a) $108,493; (16b) $539,401; (16c) $0; (16d) $0; (16) $647,894; (17) $4,986; (18a) $367,252; (18b) $303,378; (18c) $0; (18) $670,630; (20) $7,694; (21) $1,331,204; (22) $22,809; (23) $213,515; (24a) $0; (24b) $0; (25) $0; (26) $0.

NEBRASKA

1.a. Volumes held June 30, 2010 revised to 427,851.

1.b. In 2010-2011 statistics are more accurate than in the past - this accuracy accounts for the drastic increase.

1.b.i. The inability to gather this statistic in previous years accounts for the drastic increase seen in 2010-2011.

1.b.ii. The amount of volumes withdrawn in 2009-2010 was uncharacteristically high; however, the amount withdrawn in 2010-2011 is more representative of a typical year.

3. Monograph monies are being diverted to serials. We expect to see this trend continue.

5. Overall, more money was devoted to serials from previous years.

5.a.ii. More monies are being devoted to serials in 2010-2011.
NEBRASKA, cont.

16  The significant increase in all library materials, particularly "other" and "misc" account for this increase.

16.c  This drastic change is the result of errors recorded in previous years.

17  Increased preservation efforts account for this increase in spending.

20  There is no simple method for gathering these data; however this change may be due to the budget cuts which negatively impact all expenditures.

21  This significant increase is due to uncharacteristic expenditures in contract binding and particularly "other library materials."

22  Currently, the libraries is not investing in one-time e-resource purchases as it has in the past. We expect this trend to continue.

25  The computer replacement cycle has not run its course, so there were less computers and software to upgrade and purchase.

27.a  An additional librarian was hired in 2010-2011.

27.c  Due to budget cuts a student assistant was not hired in 2010-2011.

30-31  Demand for instruction in the College of Law increased.

NEW YORK

All figures are as of 08/31/2011.

1.a  Volumes held August 31, 2010 revised to 779,506.

1.b  Less volumes withdrawn and more added from 2009-2010 to 2010-2011.

3  Increase due to series monographs (multi-volume monographs).

5.a.i  More electronic titles purchased.

18.c  Reduction in student personnel in compliance with Law School budgets.

20  Reduction in expenses in compliance with Law School budgets.

25  Decrease in technology expenses due to increased spending in other budgetary lines.

30  Varies depending on demand for services.

NORTH CAROLINA

1.b  Reduction in net volumes added during year reflects loss of library space and subsequent withdrawal of volumes, plus reduced spending on new materials due to budget cuts.

1.b.i  Reduced acquisitions in 2010-2011 because of budget cuts.

1.b.ii  Increase in volumes withdrawn reflects loss of library space and subsequent withdrawal of volumes.

3  Reduction in volumes purchased due to budget cuts.

5.a.i  Increase in electronic serials due to continuing shift in collection to focus on more electronic resources.

9  Increase represents continuing shift to focus more on electronic materials.

16.c  Elimination of expenditures in "other materials" category reflects budget cuts.

17  Reduction in contract binding expenditures due to budget cuts.

18  Overall wages increase due to increase in professional and student wage categories.

18.a  Increase in professional wages was result of addition of electronic resources librarian position and one graduate assistant.

18.c  Increase in student assistant wages due to more student assistants at service desks.

31  Decrease in instructional session participants reflects more accurate count of for-credit classes that librarians teach. Number of sessions were about the same in 2009-2010.

34  Circulation counted more accurately in 2010-2011. Despite apparent decrease, actual circulation activity remained about the same as in 2009-2010.

37-43  Data reported with University Library report.
All figures are as of 08/31/2011.

1.b.ii Deaccessioning project.

3 Adjustments due to budget allocation.

5.b 2010-2011 figure for serials currently received but not purchased: unavailable. Editor's Note: The total for question 5.b (current serials received but not purchased) was revised to "0" so that the subparts would add to the total for question 5 in the ARL Statistics publication.

16.a Adjustments in budget allocation.

22 Law did not purchase e-books in 2010-2011.

25 Replacement cycle implemented.

Editor's Note: The total for question 5.b (current serials received but not purchased) was copied to question 5.b.i (consortial) so that the subparts would add to the total for question 5.b in the ARL Statistics publication.

Actual total expenditure for document delivery was a deficit in the amount of -$713.

No major withdrawal project in 2010-2011, as there was in 2009-2010.

Monograph funding restored.

Cancellation project for print serials.

Reduced number of print serials received on exchange - if content available through databases or other means.

Added a few more pieces.

Cancelled print serials.

2009-2010 figure was unusually high.

Cut binding costs with reduction in print serials.

Hired more student assistants for inventory project.

Spending for microform readers and cabinets.

No major purchases in 2010-2011.

Cancelled journal subscriptions (even electronic) if content provided in databases.

Collection inventory project led to greater use of bibliographic utility.

No major purchases in 2010-2011.

A few more presentations.

More participation in an increased number of sessions.

Reflects downward trend.

Partial total of loans. Some loans are included in Main library statistics - but this location is seeing an increase in volume.

Borrows cannot be separated out from Main library statistics.

Library branches included: Penn State Dickinson School of Law (214 Katz Building, University Park, PA 16802) and the H. Laddie Montague, Jr. Law Library (333 W. South St., Carlisle, PA 17013).

Collection extensively weeded; print serials discarded where electronic access available, and multiple print copies eliminated where available in multiple formats.
Pennsylvania State, cont.

1.b.i Revision of Collection Development Policy resulted in cancellation of multi-volume print serial titles, thus reducing volumes added.

3 Decrease due to fewer electronic monographs acquired from 2009-2010.

5.a.ii Revision of Collection Development Policy resulted in cancellation of serial titles. In addition, all active and inactive serials records were reviewed and purged if title/volumes no longer owned.

9 SuDoc CD-ROM collection extensively weeded in compliance with GPO depository guidelines.

10 Donation of court case records received Spring 2011.

12 Our collection of historical photographs is not physically counted. Currently stored in 13 cartons, equivalent to 195 cu.ft.

14 Video collection extensively weeded to remove nearly-obsolete VHS titles.

30-31 Legal research instruction performed by librarians was formally integrated into existing law school curriculum, resulting in considerable increase in instructional presentations.

34 Library instituted an initiative to renew faculty/staff overdue items.

Queen’s

All figures are as of 04/30/2011.

5, 6, 8, 11-12, 22, 24-26, 35-36 Included with Main Library.

16-26 Expenditures as reported in Canadian dollars: (16a) $91,522; (16b) $803,766; (16c) $3,070; (16d) $0; (16) $898,358; (17) $10,272; (18a) $217,364; (18b) $187,348; (18c) $56,080; (18) $460,792; (20) $95,386; (21) $1,464,808; (22) $0; (23) $119,646; (24a) $0; (24b) $0; (25) $0; (26) $0.

16.a 2009-2010 budget not fully expended, resulting in a carryforward which was expended in 2010-2011.

17 Increased emphasis on electronic serials means a reduction in print serials that require binding.

20 Now includes Fringe Benefits.

27, 27.b Vacant positions not filled.

30-31 One librarian on leave resulted in fewer courses being offered which resulted in fewer participants as well.

32 One librarian on leave resulted in fewer reference hours being offered.

35 Included with Main Library.

36 Included with Main Library.

37-38, 40-43 As at November 2010.

Rutgers

2 Print titles only. [Newark Law Library]

36 2009-2010 figure of 806 was erroneous, should have been 411. [Newark Law Library]

Saskatchewan

All figures are as of 04/30/2011.

1.b.ii Volumes are withdrawn as needed.

16-26 Expenditures as reported in Canadian dollars: (16a) $118,487; (16b) $947,878; (16c) $0; (16d) $0; (16) $1,066,365; (17) $6,587; (18a) $265,509; (18b) $179,643; (18c) $15,571; (18) $460,723; (20) $0; (21) $1,533,675; (22) $0; (23) $0; (24a) $0; (24b) $0; (25) $0; (26) $0.

South Carolina

1.a Volumes held June 30, 2010 revised to 550,432.

Southern Illinois

1.a Volumes held June 30, 2010 revised to 434,460.
QueStion  
numbeR  
FOOTNOTE  

SYRACUSE  
18-18.c Includes fringe benefits.  

TENNESSEE  
1.a Volumes held June 30, 2010 revised to 367,609.  
1.b.ii Due to cancellations, we weeded a larger number of volumes.  
18.a During 2010-2011, the library had two open faculty positions.  
18.b The staff wages increased in 2010-2011 because we filled a staff position that was vacant in 2009-2010; and for six months of 2010-2011, a staff member received additional wages for an interim position.  
18.c Student wages decreased because student hours were cut in technical services because of the cancellation of materials that required updating with loose-leaf pages and pocket parts.  
22 The library purchased a Proquest database and made the first of 4 installments on another Proquest database. This was possible because of MOE funds.  
25 In 2009-2010, the library upgraded the ILS system for a cost of $84,725 from stimulus funds.  
30 Our reference librarians teach several sections of legal research to law students. Previously, these classes were not counted in the total library presentations, only out-of-class presentations were counted. Also, an additional reference librarian was added in 2009-2010.  

TEXAS  
All figures are as of 08/31/2011.  

TEXAS TECH  
All figures are as of 08/31/2011.  
1.a Volumes held August 31, 2010 revised to 272,773. Includes 11,939 e-books from aggregate services.  

TORONTO  
All figures are as of 04/30/2011.  
1.a Volumes held April 30, 2010 revised to 225,915. Adjustment includes 10,201 e-books, not previously included.  
16-26 Expenditures as reported in Canadian dollars: (16a) $133,423; (16b) $951,318; (16c) $4,153; (16d) $0; (16) $1,088,894; (17) $9,963; (18a) $538,177; (18b) $439,463; (18c) $59,403; (18) $1,037,043; (20) $50,944; (21) $2,186,844; (22) $34,767; (23) $186,807; (24a) $0; (24b) $0; (25) $73,091; (26) $0.  

TULANE  
18-18.c Includes fringe benefits.  

UTAH  
1.a Volumes held June 30, 2010 revised to 266,039.  

VANDERBILT  
1.b, 1.b.i Limited budget available for new purchases.  
1.b, 1.b.ii Withdrawals made to increase space for users.  
3 Fewer more expensive volumes purchased in 2010-2011.  
5.a.i Increase in e-journals based on availability and patron needs.  
16.a-16.b Budget decreased; some electronic purchases counted in Miscellaneous.  
16.c Several video series purchased.  
16.d Includes expenditures on electronic monographs and serials.  
17 Project to complete and bind serial volumes and prepare volumes for remote storage.  
18.c Student budget cut to cover budget reduction.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUESTION NUMBER</th>
<th>FOOTNOTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VANDERBILT, cont.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Budget was reduced and some items were centralized to library system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Emphasis on one-time purchases from miscellaneous funds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.a</td>
<td>Bibliographic records for several Hein databases purchased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Budget reduced in 2010-2011 based on major equipment purchase in 2009-2010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Change in budgeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.a</td>
<td>Filled vacancies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.c</td>
<td>Student budget was reduced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Credit course has eight sections with ten sessions each.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Each student in credit course counted once per instructions. Correct figure for 2009-2010 should be 195 students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Count is based on actual stats; better recording system than in 2009-2010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Number includes patron self-renewals not previously included.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Library resumed program that was drastically reduced in 2009-2010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Library collection includes better selection of materials to meet patron needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VIRGINIA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 37-43</td>
<td>Reported in Main for all UVa libraries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Decrease due to fewer e-book purchases in 2010-2011.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WASHINGTON</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Law Library statistics are included in Main Library for questions 37-43.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WASHINGTON U.-ST. LOUIS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.a</td>
<td>Volumes held June 30, 2010 revised to 463,321. Volumes held June 30, 2010 amended as directed per the 2010-2011 instructions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Books added via tape load: Cassidy Cataloging Wolters Kluwer Loisaw E-Treatises; Cassidy Cataloging Wolters Kluwer Intelliconnect records for all titles included in the Legal Professional School Internet Library, Business Compliance Academic Collection, and OmniTax Academic Collection; Cassidy Cataloging updates to previously added collections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.b</td>
<td>This doubling in Serials expenditure is offset by a comparable decrease in expenditure in 16c. Other library materials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Includes fringe benefits of $317,014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.a-18.c</td>
<td>Includes fringe benefits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.b</td>
<td>$20,512 reported in 2009-2010 appears to be in error and should have been recorded as zero.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37-43</td>
<td>Included in the numbers for the Main library.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WESTERN ONTARIO</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All figures are as of 04/30/2011.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-26</td>
<td>Expenditures as reported in Canadian dollars: (16a) $171,976; (16b) $873,941; (16c) $0; (16d) $0; (16) $1,045,917; (17) $13,345; (18a) $228,503; (18b) $199,670; (18c) $9,300; (18) $437,473; (20) $0; (21) $1,496,735; (22) $0; (23) $80,477; (24a) $0; (24b) $0; (25) $0; (26) $0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Included in operating expenditures total for all Western Libraries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.a, 25-26</td>
<td>Centrally funded and administered at Western Libraries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-36</td>
<td>Central service handles all interlibrary loans at Western Libraries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WISCONSIN</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Law Library is unable to get a separate count of electronic resources, especially serials, from the campus libraries total. Rather than report incomplete and misleading figures they have chosen to answer UA/NA to Questions 1-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YALE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>This is the number of volume equivalents.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This is the total number of law students enrolled in 2010-2011.

All figures are as of 04/30/2010.


Expenditures as reported in Canadian dollars: (16a) $281,616; (16b) $360,239; (16c) $427; (16d) $2,725; (16) $645,007; (17) $17,947; (18a) $681,371; (18b) $935,957; (18c) $46,720; (18) $1,664,048; (20) $92,961; (21) $2,419,963; (22) $82,032; (23) $180,828; (24a) $9,180; (24b) $0; (25) $39,034; (26) $1,184.

MOML: Primary Sources - $70,704; Gale Encyclopedia of American Law - 1,994; MARC records - $1,177 & 2,108; HeinOnline Scottish Legal History - $1,203; YBP eBooks - $3,005 & $57 & $1,004 & $780. Total: $82,032.