Footnotes may also include errata and corrections to data not previously reported from prior years. Numbers refer to columns in Library Data Tables and to Questionnaire numbers. Unless otherwise noted, all figures are as of 06/30/2010.

**ALABAMA**

All figures are as of 09/30/2010.

5.b.i We do not currently have any way of separating “Consortial” and “Freely Accessible Titles.” Therefore, they are all recorded here as “Freely Accessible.”

**ARIZONA**

1.a Volumes held June 30, 2009 revised to 230,975.

12, 13 Estimated - extensive discarding during 2010.

**CALIFORNIA, DAVIS**

1.b, 1.b.i Added fewer items, did not buy as many titles.


5, 5.a, 5.b Increase due to better reporting from SFX and the inclusion of free or open access titles we provide through SFX.

7 Incorrect value entered in 2008-2009. Correct value is 12,769.


13 The audio withdrawn represents the winnowing down of the Audio-Digest CD collection to just the 2009-2010 items plus the preceding two years.


16 Decrease due to reduced purchases during the year. Several of the licensed resources had reductions in the cost of the package for the calendar year 2010.

16.a This year’s number is considerably lower because of one-time purchases the prior year.

17, 25 Reported in Main Library Statistics.

18.a Expenditures for staffing are significantly less than in 2008-2009 because of retirements and vacant positions not being filled. Staff also experienced furloughs during part of the fiscal year which reduced their base pay.

18.c Expenditures for staffing are significantly less than in 2008-2009 because of vacant positions not being filled.

20 Incorrect value entered in 2008-2009. Moreover, insurance deductible was higher due to water damage claim in 2008-2009. Installation of computer and telephone connections for relocated staff also contributed to the increase.

33, 34 The 2009-2010 number represents a more accurate recording of the circulation numbers. However, it will include anomalies related to checking out materials to the library for inventory purposes and other similar projects.

35 The SERHOLD update completed during the year resulted in fewer requests coming to UC Davis.

36 Fewer requests received, perhaps as a result of an increased number of electronic resource packages.

**CALIFORNIA, IRVINE**

1.a Volumes held June 30, 2009 revised to 374,671.

**CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES**

1.a Volumes held June 30, 2009 revised to 669,755.

2 Previous year reported as the same count as in question 1, this year reflects actual count based on definition for unique titles.
CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, cont

5  There is no separate count for serials for Health Sciences, please see Main Library data for electronic and print serials.

CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

Library branches included: Biomedical Center Library, Medical Center Library.

1.a  Volumes held June 30, 2009 revised to 240,718.

CASE WESTERN RESERVE

1.b.i  The Medical Library has access to 17,495 e-books that are reported in the Main Library's statistics.

5.a.i  An incorrect figure was reported in the 2008-2009 statistics. The Medical Library also has access to 56,721 e-journals that are reported in the Main Library's statistics.

29  Reported on the Main Library's statistics.

32  This figure is not available for the Medical library.

CHICAGO

1.b-1.b.ii  Duplicate weeding activities were a focus due to space restrictions.

5.a.i-  Print format journal subscriptions continue to be reviewed and converted to e-journals.

5.a.ii  14  We created a separate collection for the film collection this year and are now able to report for this category of materials.

16, 16.b- 16.c  Shift from print periodical subscription expenditures to electronic resources caused changes in questions 16b and 16c.

17  Reduced print journals issues receipts and changes in binding policy resulted in lower binding expenditures.

18, 18.b- 18.c  Reduction in building hours and service staffing resulted in overall lowering of staff expenditures.

22, 23  Shift to more electronic resource acquisition and reduction of print resource acquisition.

29  Due to budget restrictions, we reduced service hours.

CINCINNATI

1.b, 1.b.ii  Negative numbers reflect weeding of some bound journals in the Health Sciences Library.

5  Serials not reported separately from total university count.

17  This decrease from 2008-2009 reflects fewer print journals purchased and more careful attention to not binding when archival rights are held to an electronic journal.

COLUMBIA

5, 26  Included in Butler Library statistics.

12  The Wilcox Collection.

CORNELL

1.a  Volumes held June 30, 2009 revised to 204,027 to reflect additional e-books. The increase in the count of e-books reflects both the availability of new titles and the availability of online records for previously held collections.

1.b.i  Includes 322 e-books cataloged manually. The library had an 80% budget cut for print monograph acquisitions. This, combined with more e-journals instead of print journals, caused the decrease in volumes added new.
CORNELL, cont.

2 The large increase reflects the fact that the count for 2008-2009 mistakenly excluded the e-book count (9,737 - some portion of which overlapped with the print).

5 Estimate only. Less than 1% of the de-duplicated titles in this count were estimated to be print. 960 of the e-titles were estimated to be non-current. The decrease in the print count reflects cancellations to favor electronic over print and budgetary pressures. The decrease in the number of titles estimated ceased is due to the use of a new methodology to estimate.

7, 12 Count is no longer kept up to date.

14 Count is for videos/DVDs.

16.d Shipping costs only.

17 Binding was stopped as of July 2009 due to budgetary pressures. The library has very few print journal subscriptions.

18.a Starting with 2009-2010, the amount for "professional" expenditures includes expenditures for academic and exempt staff. In the past, it included only expenditures for academic staff.

18.b Starting with 2009-2010, this count includes expenditures for only non-academic non-exempt staff. In the past, it included expenditures for non-academic exempt and non-exempt staff.

27.a Starting with 2009-2010, the "professional" staff count includes academic and exempt staff. In the past, it included academic staff only.

27.b Starting with 2009-2010, this count includes only non-academic non-exempt staff. In the past, it included non-academic exempt and non-exempt staff.

30 Includes some instruction sessions for individuals.

DARTMOUTH

Library branches included: Dana Biomedical Library, Matthews-Fuller Health Sciences Library.

1.b.i Indicates emphasis on electronic-only and reduction due to budget constraints.

1.b.ii Withdrawals part of ongoing deaccessioning project.

3 Indicates emphasis on e-journals.

5, 5.b Did not include freely accessible e-journals with Health library figure, included with Main Library figure.

5.a.ii Transition to electronic journals from print journals.

5.b.ii Difficult to distinguish one library’s electronic holdings from another library’s especially when there is no payment, so included all freely accessible under the Main Library figure of 11,086.

16.a Reflects emphasis on e-journals.

16.c Reduction due to budget constraints.

22 Included with Main Library figure of $404,321.

35 Reflects increase in use of our collection.

EMORY

All figures are as of 08/31/2010.

Library branches included: Grady Branch, Emory Hospital Branch, Emory Midtown Branch (new and not full year; no volume holdings added to count).

1.b.ii Increase due to withdrawal of all bound journal volumes from a branch library, a total of 16,237 volumes discarded as follows: 2,100 volumes to Nigerian library and 14,137 volumes to recycling.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUESTION NUMBER</th>
<th>FOOTNOTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMORY, cont.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.a.ii</td>
<td>Decrease due to ongoing transition to electronic format serials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.a</td>
<td>Increase due to more e-book purchases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.c</td>
<td>Decrease due to spending less on backfile purchases than in 2008-2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Efforts to reduce contract binding are ongoing plus continuing transition to electronic format only for serials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.b, 27</td>
<td>Reduction in force took place in August 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.c</td>
<td>Decrease due to having no student employees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Increase due to implementation of spreadsheet used by all librarians and informationists to capture increased instruction detail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Decrease due to fewer in-person questions and closure of Media service point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FLORIDA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library branches included: Borland Library, VetMed Reading Room.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FLORIDA STATE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.a, 22</td>
<td>During 2008-2009, we acquired a number of e-books from OVID but did not do the same this year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.b</td>
<td>This represents increases by publishers and efforts to receive the best pricing through multi-year plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.c</td>
<td>This represents savings realized by last year's multi-year plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.b</td>
<td>Due to an increase in support staff hired (see footnote for question 27b) there has been an overall increase in support staff funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>The ILL traffic in 2009-2010 has increased (see questions 35 &amp; 36). This has resulted in an increase in ILL costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.a</td>
<td>The professional librarian staff was down by two for the period from July 2008 to June 2009. One professional librarian was hired, accounting for the difference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.b</td>
<td>One support staff member was added bringing up the total difference 50%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>The 2008-2009 figures were based on sampling plus calculations. This year's method has changed; the data is not based on sampling and is therefore more accurate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>The overall enrollment has gone up, causing the ILL requests to go up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GEORGE WASHINGTON</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.b</td>
<td>Number withdrawn in 2008-2009 was exceptionally large due to a physical space reduction. This year is a more typical number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A large number of AV materials were weeded as well as titles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>At this time, both methods are used: physical counts for #1 and bibliographic count accounts for #2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.a.i</td>
<td>Converted many print+online titles to online-only allowing us to purchase additional titles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.b.ii</td>
<td>University added Springer package, increasing the number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Video tape collection weeded in anticipation of format change to DVD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.c</td>
<td>Electronic backfiles were purchased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Binding has been eliminated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.c</td>
<td>Number of student workers used was modified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>More electronic backfiles were purchased than in 2008-2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Figure was calculated differently this year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUESTION NUMBER</td>
<td>FOOTNOTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>We purchased a large amount of computer hardware this year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Actual number is 37.5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.a</td>
<td>Actual number is 13.5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.b</td>
<td>Actual number is 15.5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.c</td>
<td>Actual number is 8.5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Actual number is 378.5.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GEORGETOWN**

1.a Volumes held June 30, 2009 revised to 99,972.

**ILLINOIS, CHICAGO**

Library branches included: Library of the Health Sciences, Chicago; Library of the Health Sciences, Rockford; Library of the Health Sciences, Peoria; and Library of the Health Sciences, Urbana.

1.a Volumes held June 30, 2009 revised to 743,295.

16. The figure for total library materials expenditures for 2008-2009 was incorrect. The correct figure is for the 2008-2009 data $2,576,895.

16.a The figure for expenditures for monographs for 2008-2009 was incorrect. The correct figure for the 2008-2009 data is $403,306.

16.b The figure for expenditures for serials, including periodicals for 2008-2009 was incorrect. The correct figure for the 2008-2009 data is $2,128,932.

16.c The figure for expenditures for other library materials for 2008-2009 was incorrect. The correct figure for the 2008-2009 data is $44,657.

**IOWA**

1 Volume count is up as the psych collection was incorporated when the psych library was closed.

**KANSAS**

1.b.i Added many items that were on the shelves but not listed in the ongoing inventory.

2 Only reported monographs in 2008-2009.

22 No electronic monograph purchases in 2009-2010. Medical Library used resources purchased by the Lawrence campus library.

24.a Continued to use money from a prepaid credit account.

**KENTUCKY**

3 Total includes the following e-books: NetLibrary, 170; Methods in Enzymology, 13; Barash Clinical Anaesthesia, 1; Doody’s 2009 Essential Collection, 55; Springer Collection on Medicine, 325; StatRef, 50; and Ovid e-books, 4.

5.a Total represents print only; Medical Center Library e-serials are included in the total for the Main Library.

5.a.i Not able to provide a separate total for electronic serials currently purchased for the Medical Center Library. The total for the Main Library includes the Medical Center Library electronic serials.

5.b.i Not able to break out a separate total for the Medical Center Library. Number of consortial e-serials is included in the total for the Main Library.

5.b.ii Not able to provide separate total for the Medical Center Library. Freely accessible serial titles for the Medical Center Library are included in the total for the Main Library.
LOUISIANA STATE

5, 5.a, Increase due to budgetary changes in priorities.
18.c, 27.c
16, 16.a, Decrease due to budget cuts.
20, 27,
27.b

MCMASTER

All figures are as of 04/30/2010.
9 Major weeding project of computer files in 2009-2010.
16-26 Expenditures as reported in Canadian dollars: (16a) $387,000; (16b) $1,234,644; (16c) $277,039; (16d) $46,423; (16) $1,945,106; (17) $788; (18a) $567,085; (18b) $804,451; (18c) $77,697; (18) $1,449,233; (20) $96,005; (21) $3,491,132; (22) $46,980; (23) $1,455,909; (24a) $25,000; (24b) $509; (25) $35,466; (26) $25,440.

MIAMI

All figures are as of 05/31/2010.
14 Figure includes audiovisual materials (questions 11 through 14) and computer files (question 9).
18.a Excludes Medical Library Director’s salary.
27.a Includes library faculty only. Medical library reports all non-faculty professional staff in question 27b.
27.b Includes both support and non-faculty professional staff.
30 Consultation sessions are not included, a change from prior year.

MINNESOTA

Library branches included: Bio-Medical Library, Veterinary Medical Library.
1.a Volumes held June 30, 2009 revised to 543,215.
3 Count of volumes purchased is not available. Count of monographs ordered is reported.
4 Based on count of item records not bibliographic records.
5.a, 5.b Not possible to separate and delineate count of unique titles by branch library.
12 Breakdown by format is not available. Total AV count for Health Sciences Libraries is 4,188.
24.a, Included with/paid by Main Library.
24.b

MONTREAL

All figures are as of 05/31/2010.
1.b With the electronic books gaining popularity and place in our collection, we applied a strict weeding library plan.
3 Print: 1,102; Electronic: 5,382.
16 Percent changes in excess of -10% over the 2008-2009 figures for the sub parts of question 16 are due to the weeding work we have done in 2009-2010 in our physical collections. These decreases resulted in the decrease in Total Library Materials Expenditures overall.
16-26 Expenditures as reported in Canadian dollars: (16a) $261,382; (16b) $1,855,575; (16c) $0; (16d) $0; (16) $2,116,957; (17) $6,402; (17a) $735,828; (18b) $1,063,194; (18c) $0; (18) $1,799,022; (20) $19,870; (21) $3,942,251; (22) $178,930; (23) $1,773,564; (24a) $0; (24b) $0; (25) $0; (26) $0.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUESTION NUMBER</th>
<th>FOOTNOTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NEW MEXICO</td>
<td>1.a Volumes held June 30, 2009 revised to 158,133.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.c In 2010 began including databases in Other Library Materials rather than in Miscellaneous as done in prior year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 Increase is due to pre-pays.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW YORK</td>
<td>All figures are as of 08/31/2010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTH CAROLINA</td>
<td>1.a Volumes held June 30, 2009 revised to 347,025. Increased by 5,443 to reflect more accurate records now derived from online catalog.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 Decrease reflects more accurate counts now derived from online catalog, plus withdrawal of several large fiche sets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 Replaced container counts with item counts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTHWESTERN</td>
<td>All figures are as of 08/31/2010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO STATE</td>
<td>1.a Volumes held June 30, 2009 revised to 288,172.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OKLAHOMA STATE</td>
<td>33-34 We have no way to exclude reserves from this number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OKLAHOMA</td>
<td>1.b.ii No withdrawals this year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PENNSYLVANIA STATE</td>
<td>1.a Volumes held June 30, 2009 revised to 45,721.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 This figure represents titles where Hershey is the only owning library. The prior year figure of 23,741 included titles that were Hershey only, as well as joint purchases between Hershey and the UP Libraries. The decrease is due to this difference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.b.i Number of consortial serial titles is unknown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 Reflects electronic media.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 For the 2008-2009 ARL Survey, we estimated 93 linear ft in response to this question. The 2009-2010 figure of 1,129 linear ft. is based upon physical measurement rather than an estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14 Figure includes 242 videos and 129 multi-media materials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 The decrease in the number of presentations is due to a combination of the following: A key instructional position was unfilled for six months of the year resulting in a decrease in the total number of sessions; In 2009-2010 two new sessions were designed that presented asynchronous on-line course integrated instruction. Each of the new sessions was designed for a larger group of participants (145 participants per session). As a result, we noted an overall decrease in the number of sessions held but noted an overall increase in the number of participants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31 In 2009-2010 two new sessions were designed that presented asynchronous on-line course integrated instruction. Each of the new sessions was designed for a larger group of participants (145 participants per session). As a result, we noted an overall decrease in the number of sessions held but noted an overall increase in the number of participants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUEEN'S</td>
<td>All figures are as of 04/30/2010.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
QUEEN'S, cont.

1.b, 1.b.ii Withdrawal of bound journals now available electronically.

16-26 Expenditures as reported in Canadian dollars: (16a) $127,608; (16b) $1,623,054; (16c) $0; (16d) $0; (16) $1,750,662; (17) $3,831; (18a) $713,007; (18b) $471,773; (18c) $33,761; (18) $1,218,541; (20) $171,421; (21) $3,454,455; (22) $0; (23) $1,567,507; (24a) $0; (24b) $0; (25) $0; (26) $0.

30, 31 Fewer sessions offered, but class size increased.

ROCHESTER

1, 5 Only print-only materials are reported here. River Campus Libraries is reporting e-materials for all UR libraries.

26 2008-2009 figure was overstated. Credits for document delivery and interlibrary lending were not deducted from costs prior to reporting.

SASKATCHEWAN

All figures are as of 04/30/2010.

1.a Volumes held June 30, 2009 revised to 164,020.

16-26 Expenditures as reported in Canadian dollars: (16a) $510,312; (16b) $2,116,036; (16c) $0; (16d) $0; (16) $2,626,348; (17) $5,427; (18a) $566,506; (18b) $265,987; (18c) $31,440; (18) $863,933; (20) $0; (21) $3,495,708; (22) $0; (23) $0; (24a) $0; (24b) $0; (25) $0; (26) $0.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Library branches included: Health Sciences Libraries - Medicine, Pharmacy and Dentistry.

3 Due to flat budget, fewer titles could be purchased.

5.a.ii Publishers no longer provide free print with electronic subscriptions. Since our patrons prefer e-journals, print journals were canceled to fund other resources.

5.b More open access journals available in our subject fields.

16.c 2008-2009 amount was double counted; also a decrease in purchasing for 2009-2010 was due to a flat budget.

17 Includes repair and storage materials for archival gifts received in 2009-2010.

20 Reallocation of flat budget.

22 Decrease in print books and increase in e-book expenditures.

24.a Accounting issue resulted in under reporting in 2008-2009 and over reporting in 2009-2010.

30 Significantly expanded bioinformatics presentations and instructional consultations.

33, 34 Decrease due to more reliance on e-resources.

TEMPLE

28 Consolidated two locations into the new Ginsburg Health Sciences Library building.

TENNESSEE

Library branches included: Health Sciences Library-Memphis and Preston Medical Library-Knoxville.

TEXAS TECH

All figures are as of 08/31/2010.

Library branches included: Harrington Library TTUHSC-Amarillo; Montes-Gallo Library of the Health Sciences TTUHSC-El Paso; Library of the Health Sciences TTUHSC-Odessa; and the Preston Smith HSC Library.

TULANE

23 Editor’s note: Adjusted Ongoing Electronic Resource Purchases from 7,308,849 to 1,431,303 so that the Total Electronic Resource Purchases is not higher than Total Library Materials Expenditures.
VANDERBILT

1.b Major weeding project resulted in large net decrease in collection size.
1.b.i Increase to monographic budget for e-books.
1.b.ii Eskind Biomedical Library undertook a substantial weeding project during 2009-2010.
3 Increase in purchases due to increased monographic budget for e-books.
5-5.a.ii Move from print to electronic including multi-title databases.
16, 16.b These amounts do not include specialized electronic resources traditionally held by other Vanderbilt Medical Center units, e.g. Micromedex, that are now paid through a separate Informatics Center budget.
16.a Increased monographic budget to emphasize purchases of electronic content, e-books.
16.c "Other" materials funds used for purchase of electronic journal backfiles.
18, 27 Professionals providing non-traditional services are now paid from a separate budget under the Informatics Center.
18.a, 27.a Professionals providing non-traditional services are now staff of the Informatics Center in Vanderbilt University’s Medical Center. They are no longer paid staff of the Eskind Biomedical Library.
18.c Reduction in student assistant funding due to less need for shelving with increased emphasis on electronic books and journals.
21 Overall decrease due to shift of large portion of staffing costs now paid from non-library budget.
27.c Total student hours are 30/week, i.e. .75 FTE.
31 Library emphasis on larger instruction groups which include resident and nursing student orientations.
36 Decreased need for ILL due to e-journal backfile purchases.

VIRGINIA

1 Includes 3,020 e-books.
2 Titles are reported under University Library for all branches.
3 Includes 550 e-books.

WASHINGTON

1.a Volumes held June 30, 2009 revised to 285,204.

WASHINGTON U.-ST. LOUIS

1.a Volumes held June 30, 2009 revised to 294,975.
2 For 2008-2009, the volume count was reported in error as a title count. The corrected number reported here reflects a title count.
5.b Total serials received not purchased is 900. Unable to breakdown by source.

YALE

1.b.i 17,544 e-books.