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SURVEY RESULTS
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction
The growth of digital image collections has provided new opportunities for teaching, learning, and research at research institutions and has transformed the role of ARL member libraries with respect to the provision of visual resources and services. The last decade has seen the transition from analog to digital images and the growth of digital images available from commercial vendors and/or created within institutions or their libraries. This is in large part a response to increasing demand for digital multimedia to augment teaching, learning, and research endeavours across an array of disciplines.

The purpose of this survey was to examine how research libraries and their parent institutions have responded to these developments. It gathered information about current practices relating to the development and management of institutional digital image collections and the acquisition and use of licensed image databases. It explored the infrastructure and support provided by research libraries and/or their institutions with respect to the creation and use of digital images in teaching, learning and research including systems and platforms, cataloguing and metadata, access and training, services and service points, and copyright and other rights issues. It also sought to identify collaborative strategies amongst ARL member institutions for the provision of digital images.

Eighty-one libraries at 72 of the 125 ARL member libraries submitted a survey between April 15 and May 27 for a response rate of 58%. The survey revealed a vast range of activities relating to digital images at these institutions, from the digitization of analog collections to the creation of born-digital images. Digital images are created and/or purchased in a wide range of disciplines and used by a broad range of users across institutions. Archives and Special Collections units are heavily involved in digitizing local collections and actively promoting these collections. There appears to be a shift away from an emphasis on the creation of images for teaching purposes to the creation of images promoted as institutional assets. Responsibility for the management of digital images varies from institution to institution with relatively few respondents reporting a coordinated and integrated approach.

Digital Asset Management Plans
Digitization and preservation are the most common activities comprising digital asset management plans (DAMP). Only 30 respondents (37%) indicated that the institution has an overarching digital asset management plan. As might be expected, activities falling under these plans that were common to all respondents include the digitization and preservation of existing analog collections (100% and 80% respectively). After that, less frequently addressed activities include licensing of commercial digital image products, and acquiring digital images through gifts (16 responses each, or 53%). Ten plans (33%) address purchasing of digital image collections. Other activities include cataloguing digital images and placing images in online databases.

Of the 30 institutions that have an existing digital asset management plan, the unit(s) or department(s) responsible for the implementation of the plan are distributed across an array of sectors. Eleven respondents identified some variation on Archives and Special Collections. Not surprisingly, 21 respondents
listed units whose names include the word “digital,” ranging from the now prosaic “Digital Initiatives” to “Digital Curation Services,” “Digital Consulting and Production Services,” “Digital Stewardship,” “Digital Conversion and Media Reformatting,” and similar.

In comments, several of those who responded that they had a Digital Assets Management Plan in place qualified their response by saying that the plan is either new, a work in progress, or not yet fully adopted. This was echoed by those who responded in the negative, with one caveat stating that this should “not be taken to imply that we are not doing any of these activities such a plan might outline; it simply means that we have not codified these activities in the form of a policy or plan.”

Categories of Digital Images
All but two respondents indicated that their library has locally digitized some or all of their analog collections. This is likely a reflection of the shift from slide to online images. A majority of respondents (69, or 86%) also indicated that they subscribe to commercial, licensed collections of digital images (provided from a vendor such as ARTstor). A majority of libraries (68, or 85%) are also involved in locally creating born-digital images. In addition, some libraries indicated that they have acquired born-digital images from a vendor, or from a donor. Some institutions have had their analog images digitized by an external third party, and in some cases by a commercial vendor.

Licensed collections make up the vast majority of digital images in the fine arts (including architecture) (55, or 71%), which is likely a reference to ARTstor and architecture-related database subscriptions held by academic libraries. Digitized analog images are most common in the humanities (47, or 61%) and social sciences (37, or 49%). While a fairly large number of respondents reported having no digital images in medical and science fields, this is most likely because they were not reporting on the holdings of separate medical and science libraries. When asked to indicate the current level of growth of digital collections in each subject area, the majority of respondents reported medium to high growth in the humanities. For fine arts and social sciences, the majority reported low to medium growth. Low to no growth was most frequently reported for digital images in the sciences and medicine.

The examples of web pages for digital collections and digital image finding aids in the representative documents section of this SPEC Kit also reveal rich collections spanning many subject areas.

Collaboration
The library takes the majority of responsibility for the creation and purchase of digital images and associated activities such as digitizing analog images (74, or 94%), negotiating the purchase/use of licensed collections (71, or 97%), and negotiating individual agreements with image rights holders (70, or 96%). The creation of born-digital images is an activity that is often shared with other units. Forty-seven respondents reported that the library has responsibility for this activity and 22 of those report other units that also create born-digital images. Eighteen others report that only non-library units create such images. Other related activities include acquisition of born-digital special collections, digitizing audio and video, and grant applications.

In addition to the museum/gallery, the most common “other units” that have responsibility for the creation and purchase of digital images are academic departments and units. These are usually art department visual resources centers and archival units, but also a wide range of other departments such as anthropology, nautical archeology, veterinary medicine and biodiversity research. There has been a movement away from stand-alone departmental collections to institution-wide collections. In some cases images are both created and managed by these other units; in others they are created within other units but hosted and managed by the library. A number of institutions also reported a digital media/information technology unit responsible for digitization services and a marketing and communications department involved with the creation and digitization of images. University counsel at several of the responding institutions is involved in negotiating rights agreements. External partnerships were also reported. In one case community organizations identified images for digitization; in another, historical societies and state archives were involved in digitization activities.
The library also appears to take principal responsibility for digital image management activities, including creating metadata for images (74, or 99%), hosting image collections (73, or 97%), cataloguing images (71, or 99%), and negotiating image use permissions (68, or 97%). Other units that play a major role in management activities include the museum/gallery, academic departments (with art departments most frequently cited), and campus IT departments. Other management activities mentioned include asset management, digital preservation, and evaluation of systems. An integrated and coordinated approach was described by one institution: “All units in the university contribute to the digital collections with digital images related to their units and research, including digitized images and born-digital curated images. The online repository or digital asset management system tools allow for easy ingest of existing data and ease of creating new metadata/catalog records. The Libraries also have a well-developed permissions process with full documentation that is regularly done by all partners.”

External organizations also have responsibility for digital asset management activities. One respondent explained, “Institutional repository is hosted by commercial vendor; metadata for licensed resources may be purchased or provided by vendor; metadata for institutional repository may be supplied by author.” A unique approach was cited by one respondent where cataloguing of images and creation of metadata were “crowdsourced” using “scholars familiar with content contained/captured by image,” and an “optimization consultant helps with aggregating information for potential metadata inclusion.”

A majority of respondents (54, or 67%) collaborate with consortia to acquire, create, or manage digital image collections. State-/province-wide consortia and research library consortia are the most frequent partners, and their most common activity is license negotiation. State-/province-wide consortia are also likely to host image collections, digitize analog images, and create metadata. A little more than half of the responding libraries share digital image collections with other institutions. These are often state-/province-wide collaborations where partners contribute images to specialized or subject specific projects of common interest that are hosted by a particular institution. Partners include universities, libraries, museums, and cultural institutions. Descriptions of some of these shared collections are provided in the web pages for shared digital collections in the representative documents section.

**Storage and Delivery**

The responding institutions employ a variety of storage and delivery solutions for digital images and many take advantage of multiple solutions at once. These include commercial database providers such as ARTstor (60, or 74%), local servers available within the institution (50, or 62%), and repository solutions, both open source (49, or 61%) and proprietary (36, or 44%). Almost an equal number of respondents use open source software (29, or 36%), a shared digital repository (28, or 35%), and public photo sharing sites (28, or 35%). Some respondents mentioned open source and cloud-based solutions including DuraCloud, Glacier-cloud, and SobekCM.

The most frequently reported delivery method used by the library to provide access to digital image databases/resources primarily for teaching and research is online access to a digital repository system (74, or 91%), followed by online exhibition (61, or 75%), database search engine (51, or 63%), web site browse/directory (50, or 62%), search and discovery layer that allows for searching for images within e-resources (47, or 58%), and third-party access and delivery system (58%). One respondent reported posting images and metadata on Flickr. Images are also delivered to users via Dropbox, email attachments, DVDs, and hard drives. Meanwhile, specialized digital image collections that are being developed by units such as archives and special collections use a variety of web-based tools, Omeka being one frequently reported example, to promote as well as provide access to their images.

**Services**

With respect to service points that support the use of digital image databases/resources at their institution, the most frequently reported is a specialized unit located in the main library (50, or 63%), followed by a specialized unit located in a branch or subject library (37, or 46%). Several respondents noted that access to
images is accessible from any location and that all service points provide support for digital images and databases. Usually, the library or department most directly related to the content matter of the images, especially as relates to the creation of those images, takes responsibility for providing support for the use of the images. The most frequently reported specialized units were visual resources centers located within the art history department, archives and special collections, and digital library services units. Digital library service units usually provide support for a wide range of digitization activities, including those relating to images. Staff in archives and special collections and digital library services units usually provide support for the use of locally created digital images, while staff at the library reference desk provide help with the use of licensed image databases/resources. For those institutions with no specialized service point, support is provided at the reference desk. Although not expressly stated, it appears the main library is gradually assuming responsibilities that historically used to be the domain of departmental visual resources centres.

A typical scenario was provided by one respondent: “There are various units, groups, and people that support the use of different digital image databases/resources for different support needs. This is done, to some degree, by all faculty and staff in the libraries.”

The most common service provided for users is finding/locating images (77, or 97%) followed by assisting with copyright, citing, and permissions (73, or 92%), creating images (i.e., scanning, digitizing) (92%), using local/institutional image databases/resources (72, or 91%), using licensed image databases/resources (69, or 87%), and saving and storing images (65, or 82%). Other services include providing access to software to create images, assisting with editing and printing of images, and creating metadata to support findability. A range of units are responsible for providing these services, but again the main library and branch/subject libraries are most often the service providers. Other units include digital/IT services, special collections and archives, and university counsel/copyright. Several respondents reported a media commons unit both within and outside the library that assist students and faculty with “creative uses of technology.” Also reported were digitization units that were responsible for coordinating and overseeing large-scale digitization projects.

Respondents did not generally distinguish between web pages as finding aids, promotional tools, or instruction/training tools. Often the same web pages provide multiple functions or serve as a starting point. Web pages are generally visually dynamic and used to promote local collections by presenting them in meaningful ways, thematically or by providing additional context. They usually describe and provide access to a range of digital collections in addition to digital images. Many web pages include thematic essays, links to exhibitions, bibliographies, and other collections. A web page is the most common finding aid provided for locating digital image databases/resources (72, or 91%), followed by a LibGuide (62, or 79%). Web pages usually offer access to digital collections through basic and advanced search tools and browsing. Numerous respondents mentioned the use of archival findings aids, usually encoded archival descriptions. Also reported were the Archon archival system, videos and electronic bulletin boards, and online catalogue and discovery layers.

Web pages provided by the library/visual resources staff are the most common method of instruction/training for the use of digital image databases/resources (59, or 79%), followed by workshops provided by the library/visual resources staff (52, or 69%), web pages provided by licensed image database provider (39, or 52%), and web-based tutorials provided by a licensed image database provider (28, or 37%). Many web pages link directly to the ARTstor website or training tools. Respondents also mentioned LibGuides, in-class instruction, individual consultations, and reference desk assistance. One respondent mentioned a webcast of one-time live presentations made available on the institution’s website.

A web page is the most common method used to promote digital image databases/resources (77, or 95%), followed by LibGuide (59, or 73%), listserv/electronic mail list (32, or 40%), and newsletter (29, or 36%). There were a large number of other methods reported (27, or 33%). Social media including Facebook, Twitter, Pinterest, and Social Pin are often used as a method to promote digital images databases/resources and highlight new acquisitions or newly
digitized collections. Also frequently reported are blogs, conference presentations, webcasts, videos and press releases. Specific user groups (students, faculty, etc.) are targeted by subject librarians and other staff.

**Policies and Procedures**

The majority of respondents reported that digital images are not explicitly addressed in a collection development policy (48, or 62%). About a quarter report that digital images are addressed in a general collection development policy. Seven (9%) report that digital images are addressed in an electronic resources collection policy. Only six have a separate digital images policy. As the representative documents reveal, digital images usually fall under a broader digitization policy.

The majority of libraries who responded to the survey provide copyright guidelines regarding the use of digital images (71, or 88%), acknowledging the importance of managing rights to minimize risk to the institution and its users, and to protect the rights of the copyright holder. The library itself typically implements the guidelines (63, or 93%). About a third of these share this responsibility with the parent institution. Four report that the parent institution has sole responsibility for implementation. A majority of the responding libraries, however, do not provide privacy and publicity guidelines with respect to use of digital images (49, or 61%), highlighting a gap in policies.

There is an increasing use of standards to catalog and classify images, yet no one standard prevails. Respondents reported using a wide variety of content standards to describe digital images, with the Getty Art & Architecture Thesaurus the most frequent response (52, or 65%). About half use the Library of Congress Thesaurus for Graphic Materials and AACR2. About a third use the Getty Union List of Artist Names, Cataloging Cultural Objects, and/or DACS. Ten use ICONCLASS. Among the other standards are the LC subject headings and name authorities file, local guidelines, RDA: Resource Description and Access, and RAD: Rules for Archival Description. Only four respondents (5%) indicated that they use no content standard at all.

The most frequently reported metadata standard used to describe digital images is Dublin Core (65, or 83%). Half use the Encoded Archival Description standard. VRA Core (hosted by the Library of Congress in partnership with the Visual Resources Association) is used almost as frequently as EAD (37, or 46%). MARC and MODS (Metadata Object Description Schema) are also frequently used.

Only 30 respondents (39%) report that locally created images are given alt-text for accessibility by screen readers, revealing a distinct gap in meeting accessibility standards.

**Research and Development Initiatives**

The survey asked respondents if their institutions had any research and development initiatives that involve the use of digital images. The responses reflect a wealth of innovative initiatives that span the disciplines, moving well beyond the digital humanities to encompass areas such as anthropology, gastronomy, engineering, mathematics, and science. Some highlights are noted here but the complete set of descriptions in the survey questions & responses section merit a close reading.

Common themes that emerged indicate that digital images are increasingly incorporated as an integral element in eLearning and eTeaching strategies and modules. Emphasis is on the development of visualization tools. A noteworthy example is MIT Media Lab’s Camera Culture which is exploring new ways to capture and share visual information (see [http://www.media.mit.edu/research/groups/camera-culture](http://www.media.mit.edu/research/groups/camera-culture)). Immersive image studios employ images to create 3D immersive experiences. Some respondents reported plans to use crowd sourcing to assist in transcription of digitized content while others described moving into digital moving image and digital audio realms with their R & D projects. Several indicated that there were simply too many projects to report, perhaps reflecting on the ubiquitous nature of digital image research already underway. One respondent noted that they have a well-established and strong grant-based approach to supporting innovative initiatives using and manipulating images. Finally, Indiana University’s Image Collections Online service is also a noteworthy model to visit (see [https://wiki.dlib.indiana.edu/x/rCqBHg](https://wiki.dlib.indiana.edu/x/rCqBHg)) providing as it does a dual service in supporting both the creation and publishing of images online.
Assessment

Most of the responding libraries (48, or 60%) do perform regular assessment activities on the use of digital image collections. The most common form of assessment is the collection of usage statistics (46, or 96%), presumably on commercially licensed collections such as ARTstor. Collection of informal feedback from users is also widely employed (34, or 71%). Formal surveys/feedback are employed less frequently.

At the majority of responding libraries, subject librarians and electronic resources librarians are primarily responsible for selecting and evaluating digital image resources for acquisition and/or renewal. To a lesser degree visual resources staff are also involved in these processes (26, or 35%).

Faculty demand was the factor ranked high in importance most frequently when it comes to evaluating image databases for acquisition. However, more than half of the respondents also ranked most of the other criteria as highly important, including frequency of use, image resolution/quality, cost, subject area, and having cleared copyright permissions. The only criterion that was not ranked high in importance was accessibility of the images. Only 40% of respondents reported that commercial products are evaluated for accessibility by disabled users, and only 16% rated accessibility as highly important in their decision making. These responses, along with those about adding alt text to locally created images, reveals that there is much that needs to be done to ensure that the needs of users with disabilities are kept at the forefront when it comes to the acquisition of digital image content.

The two most important characteristics of digital image collections for teaching, learning, and research is having access to a large database of images and ease of use (i.e., incorporating images into presentations, course websites, etc.) Having rights to use images in websites, course sites, etc. was a more distant third. Half of the respondents ranked having image alt text or captions to provide accessibility as of low importance. In the additional responses, quality metadata to accompany images was cited as a critical factor, highlighting the use of standards for description to facilitate search and retrieval of images.

Conclusion

In general, while it seems clear that there is a great deal of activity taking place in the digital image realm both in terms of creation and use, there is a parallel sense that the diversity of the activity and also the disciplines in which that activity is taking place makes it especially challenging to monitor campus-wide developments:

“It’s difficult to know the larger image environment on campus. We know that other projects are happening and we hear of other repositories on campus, but building a 1-stop source for all campus images eludes us. Other entities on campus seem determined to go it alone. Increasingly our faculty undertake image searching, retrieval and production on their own.”

The complexity of this landscape is further called out by this contributor:

“Creation/acquisition, use, and management of digital images are so integrated with other types of collections and services that it is quite difficult to pull this apart and speak exclusively about digital image collections and services. Furthermore, for better or worse, there are very different practices and services, and completely different staff involved with managing licensed vs. locally created digital collections.”

The survey findings reveal the critical role played by digital images and services in relation to the teaching, learning, and research missions of ARL member institutions. Increasingly, digital image collections and initiatives are being used to enhance the profile of these institutions. However, the findings also demonstrate the need for increased understanding of the activities relating to the creation and management of digital images currently taking place across units within institutions. In addition, there is a need for better coordination and integration of these activities at the institutional level. The development of overarching digital management asset plans that provide
oversight for the creation, acquisition, management, preservation, organization, access, and discovery of digital images would reap numerous benefits. Collaboration in the building of institutional image collections within institutions creates efficiencies and promotes increased access and use. Similarly, collaboration and fostering partnerships on a broader level beyond individual institutions create efficiencies and result in rich digital image collections that are available to wider constituencies.
SURVEY QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES

The SPEC Survey on Digital Image Collections and Services was designed by Mary Kandiuk, Visual Arts, Design and Theatre Librarian, Aaron Lupton, Electronic Resources Librarian, and Catherine Davidson, Associate University Librarian, Collections and Research, at York University. These results are based on data submitted by 81 libraries at 72 of the 125 ARL member libraries (58%) by the deadline of May 27, 2013. The survey's introductory text and questions are reproduced below, followed by the response data and selected comments from the respondents.

The growth of digital image collections has provided new opportunities for teaching, learning, and research at research institutions and has transformed the role of ARL member libraries with respect to the provision of visual resources and services. The last decade has seen the transition from analog to digital images and the growth of digital images available from commercial vendors and/or created within institutions or their libraries. This is in large part a response to increasing demand for digital multimedia to augment teaching, learning, and research endeavours across an array of disciplines.

The purpose of this survey is to examine how research libraries and their parent institutions have responded to these developments. It will gather information about current practices relating to the development and management of institutional digital image collections and the acquisition and use of licensed image databases. It will explore the infrastructure and support provided by research libraries and/or their institutions with respect to the creation and use of digital images in teaching, learning, and research including systems and platforms, cataloguing and metadata, access and training, services and service points, and copyright and other rights issues. It also seeks to identify collaborative strategies amongst ARL member institutions for the provision of digital images.

Some libraries have multiple, distinct units with digital image databases/resources. Because they may handle the material differently, we will accept separate responses from as many distinct units or collections as wish to complete this survey so that we may get as complete an understanding of current policy and practice as possible. But, a response from each unit that manages digital images is not required. If more than one library or unit is responding for your institution, please submit separate surveys.
A **digital asset** is any item of text or media that has been formatted into a binary source that includes the right to use it. A digital file without the right to use it is not an asset. Digital assets are categorised in three major groups, which may be defined as textual content (digital assets), images (media assets) and multimedia (media assets) (van Niekerk, A.J. 2006).[1]

**Digital asset management (DAM)** consists of management tasks and decisions surrounding the ingestion, annotation, cataloguing, storage, retrieval, and distribution of digital assets. The term also refers to the protocol for downloading, renaming, backing up, rating, grouping, archiving, optimizing, maintaining, thinning, and exporting files.

1. Does your institution have an overarching digital asset management plan that outlines the policy for the acquisition, creation, and management of the resources described above? N=81

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If yes, which digital image-related activities does this plan address? Check all that apply. N=30

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digitizing existing analog collections</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserving existing analog collections</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensing commercial digital image products</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquiring digital images through gifts</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchasing digital image collections</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other digital image-related activity</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you selected “Other digital image-related activity” above, please briefly describe the activity. N=8

Because of the diversity of work being done, there is not a single plan that covers all of this. Instead, there are multiple plans and procedures. Digital images through gifts are covered under the deed of gift forms and procedures.

- Cataloging digital images.
- Digitizing images from books and periodicals per faculty requests (standard VRC services).
- Placing images on online database.
- Preserving existing digital collections.
- There is a separate policy for acquiring gift digital images for Special Collections.
- We are working on improving our preservation plans and starting a plan for managing born digital assets.
- We collect a good deal of born-digital content.

Please specify which unit(s), department(s), or office(s) is responsible for implementing the DAM plan. N=30
Archives & Special Collections: Digital Initiatives

Archives and Records Management, Collection Acquisitions and Management

Center for Digital Collections, Manuscripts and Archives Department, Data and Server Management Department

Collections Steering, Collections Coordinators, Digital Curation Services (DCS), Special Collections, Preservation. DCS also preserves videos.

Digital Collection Services, Acquisitions and Metadata Services, Collection Services Archives and Special Collections

Digital Collections department. Operational groups, such as Repository Services Op Group, Metadata Services Op Group. Committees, such as Digital Projects Committee.

Digital Consulting and Production Services

Digital Initiatives and Scholarly Communications

Digital Learning & Scholarship

Digital Library Initiatives and Special Collections Research Center

Digital Library Program, Collection Development and Management, Special Collections and Archives, Metadata Services, ITD

Digital Library Services, Digital Library Team


Digital Production Services digitizes existing analog collections and preserves existing analog collections through digitization and deposit in a Fedora repository.

Digital Production Unit, Digital Library Technologies Unit

Digital Resources Library Unit

Information Technology Division: Digital Stewardship unit, Digital Conversion and Media Reformatting unit, and Collection Management and Special Collections

Library Affairs Preservation

NLM Division of Library Operations; Lister Hill National Center for Biomedical Communications

Pan-library plan developed by several units

Preservation & Digital Initiatives, Special Collections & Archives, Digital Repository

Rare Books & Special Collections, Digital Humanities, Applications/Systems

Scholarly Resources & Research Services, Digital Development & Web Services, Scholarly Communications, Acquisitions

Special Collections and Archives

Special Collections and Archives; Digital Services

Technical infrastructure is managed through the library office of information technology under the direction of the chief technology officer. Cataloging is managed under the direction of library technical services under the direction of the director of technical services.
The preservation plan is implemented by the Digital Library Department. The architecture collection acquisitions and digitization projects are managed by the Architecture Library.

The University Library and the Humanities Division’s Visual Resource Center

University Libraries

University Libraries Technology Services

Comments N=18

Answered Yes

Collection development decisions, including those governing the development of digital collections, refer to the direction provided by the library strategic plan (2010).

Much of what we do and our policies are not written. Distinction between digital collections and digital repository selection is understood, no written overall preservation plan only separate documents, reformatting policies and procedures not entirely documented, selection is a group process.

Newly adopted; not yet implemented.

Plan is a work in progress.

This policy is for DCS rather than all of the university.

We do not at present have one, overarching cohesive written plan as mentioned above. However, we do have practice and implementation and a series of smaller plans that point to a larger goal. We are in the process of formalizing several policies that will, as a group, better inform a comprehensive plan.

We have workflows for this but no single DAM plan.

Answered No

An action report with recommendations for a plan was submitted to the library administration in 2009, but acquisition, creation, management continues to be handled mostly ad hoc and piecemeal.

In some cases, we are developing components of this plan (e.g., digitizing existing collections, preserving both analog and digital collections), though we don’t have a single comprehensive DAM plan at the present time. Licensing of commercial digital image products (such as ARTstor) is handled through our usual e-resources acquisitions and management path.

LC is aware of the need for a digital assets management plan and is working to develop one. Our Digital Content Management Group is underway. Despite the current lack of an in-house DAMP, LC is the home of the National Digital Information Infrastructure and Preservation Program (NDIIPP), a US national program for long-term preservation of digital cultural assets.

Partial plan in RUCore digital repository.

Plan is under development.

The fact that we do not have a formal policy for digital asset management ought not to be taken to imply that we are not doing any of these activities such a plan might outline; it simply means that we have not codified these activities in the form of a policy or plan.
The Libraries has a digitization plan, which is focused on plans for content and processing. We have a DAM, but no overarching plan.

We are in the beginning stages of trying to get a DAM plan in place, but do not have anything event drafted at present. There have been many discussions about this to date, however.

We are in the process of creating overarching policies to compliment separate project specific policies.

We do not currently have a written DAM, though we have been following best practices where we can. We are currently writing a program statement for DIOA, which includes strategic planning for digital preservation.

We have bits and pieces of a DAMP that covers things such as preservation/digitization standards/cataloging, etc. but no overarching plan.

**CATEGORIES OF DIGITAL IMAGES**

2. Please indicate which categories of digital images your library holds. Check all that apply. N=80

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Locally digitized analog images</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial, licensed collections of digital images</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locally created born-digital images</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other category of digital image</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you selected “Other category of digital image” above, please briefly describe the category. N=20

- Analog images digitized by a vendor.
- Blog collecting and archiving management, to include images captured with archived blogs.
- Born-digital archival collections.
- Born-digital collections purchased or acquired through gift to Special Collections.
- Born-digital images created by other organizations or individuals and acquired by the University Libraries.
- Born-digital images created remotely, in the field, by an anthropologist.
- Community member contributions.
- Externally digitized analog images and externally created born digital images from donors and partners.
- Externally digitized and born-digital curated materials from partner holdings, donated digital images.
- Gifts and donations from donors and from coordinated collaborations on collections with partner groups and institutions.
- Local resource, but digitization by vendor.
- “Other” checked to reflect contributions to mass digitization work, Internet Archive, and Google.
- Other non-institutional collections (e.g., historical societies).
Portions of archives and special collections donated by individuals.

Purchased special collections of born-digital images.

Reformatting of special collections.

Store and manage digital images created by faculty.

The library receives donations of digitized images, e.g., 10 lost American silent films discovered in the holdings of Gosfilmofond, the State Film Archive of Russia, which digitized them for donation to the library. We also participate in noncommercial licensed collections, e.g., ARTstor.

Vendor-digitized images of analog materials.

We also host digital images for a branch campus.

3. For each subject area below, please indicate whether the majority of digital images held by your library is from licensed collections, digitized analog images, or born-digital images. Select “none” if your library holds no digital images in that subject area. Please select one choice per row. N=80

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Area</th>
<th>Licensed collections</th>
<th>Digitized analog images</th>
<th>Born-digital images</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sciences</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other subject area(s)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Responses</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If you selected “Other subject area(s).” above, please specify the subject area. N=25

**Licensed collections**

Architecture  
History of UCI  
Photojournalism

**Digitized analog images**

Aerial photos (2 responses)  
Agriculture (3 responses)  
Architecture (5 responses)  
Business (real estate)  
Engineering; Water Resources  
Maps (3 responses)  
Museum collections and digitized university history  
Newspapers  
University Archives (2 responses)

**Born-digital images**

University history (Media Relations photographs).  
We hold in our repository a collection of digital images and video promoting the Libraries and various events, created by a student group working at the Libraries.

**Additional Comments N=2**

The library does not collect clinical medicine although it collects popular and non-clinical titles. The legal mandate to collect clinical medicine for the US rests with the US National Library of Medicine. We license some datasets in the hard sciences.

Humanities and Social Sciences also does born-digital images and is becoming equally if not more so a concentration.
4. For each subject area below, please indicate the current level of growth of your library’s digital image collections. Please select one choice per row. N=80

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Area</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>No growth</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sciences</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other subject area(s)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Responses</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you selected “Other subject area(s).” above, please specify the subject area. N=16

High

Agriculture (2 responses)

Architecture, Islamic

Newspapers

University history (2 responses)
Medium

Agriculture

Historic Preservation

Maps

We hold in our repository a collection of digital images and video promoting the Libraries and various events, created by a student group working at the Libraries.

University Archives (2 responses)

Low

Aerial photos

Architecture (3 responses)

Engineering; water resources

Maps

Museum collections and digitized university history

COLLABORATION

5. Does your library collaborate with other units in your institution to purchase or create digital images? N=81

Yes 59 73%
No 22 27%

Please indicate which unit(s) has responsibility for these digital image purchase/creation activities. Check all that apply. N=77

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purchase/creation Activities</th>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Museum/Gallery</th>
<th>University press</th>
<th>Medical school</th>
<th>Other unit(s)</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digitize analog images</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiate purchase/use of licensed collections</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiate individual agreements with image rights holders</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create born-digital images</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other activity</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Responses</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If you selected “Other activity” above, please specify the activity. N=10

Acquisition of born-digital special collections.

As part of the Aga Khan Documentation Center in SCS, ArchNet Digital Library works with the Documentation Center as well as the larger MIT Libraries, to create digital images.

Collaboration with other units has also involved the provision of funding: Office of the University Secretariat, University Students Union, and Faculty of Medicine.

Grant applications.

Promotion, outreach, integration with teaching and research.

Purchased digital asset management systems; negotiated with university VP & Chief Information Officer.

Digitizing audio and video.

We have collaborated with organizations outside of the institution and with commercial vendors (Gale, Adam Matthew) in creating several collections of digital images.

We scan books for the press that they make available through print-on-demand services.

Web published content on campus.

If you selected “Other unit(s)” above, please specify the unit and briefly describe their responsibility. N=40
Academic departments.

Academic departments and faculty create digital images that the libraries subsequently host and manage.

Academic units: Art department, and the School of Architecture, Provost, SHANTI (Sciences, Humanities and Arts Network of Technological Initiatives).

All units in the university contribute to the digital collections with digital images related to their units and research, including digitized images and born-digital curated images.

Archival units across campus.

Art History department (2 responses)

Art History department negotiates purchase/use of some licensed collections on their own. They also digitize analog images and create born-digital images that they manage on their own. A faculty member in the Anthropology department has completed an external grant project in which he created an analog-to-digital collection that is now managed by the Libraries.

Centre for Scholarly Communications (part of Libraries and Cultural Resources as is the Library, the Art Galleries, and the University Press) creates, hosts, disseminates, and preserves digital image collections in a variety of platforms.

Departmental image collections (School of Art and College of Built Environments) also digitize analog images. Faculty in multiple departments, media center staff in IT, and facilities staff create born-digital images.

Department of Art History Visual Resources Centre

Digital Conversion and Media Reformatting (responsible for all digitization services), Digital Stewardship (responsible for digital project management).

Every college on campus could submit digital images that are born digital.

Faculty and staff from College of Agriculture and College of Architecture, Design, and Construction supply digital and/or digitized documents for on-going local collections

Hawaiian Historical Society and Hawaii State Archives have both been involved in digitization of analog resources, Historical Society has negotiated rights, UH Press provides electronic files of publications.

History of Art Department Visual Resources Collections; College of Literature Science & Arts Museums

Humanities Division’s Visual Resource Center, which serves the Art History and other departments.


Library acquisitions and Library Metadata Services.

Media Services group of Computing & Information Services (CIS) supports instructional needs of faculty.

Monetary support to the library from Nautical Archeology for creating digital images from slides. Current exploration of project for inclusion of born digital images from the College of Veterinary Medicine. Existing collection of born digital radiographic images from the Biodiversity Research and Teaching Collections.

Negotiate individual agreements with image rights holders may involve University legal counsel. Create born-digital images and digitize analog images occasionally involves cooperation with Media and Technology Services or the Office of Marketing and Communication.
Office of Information Technology
Records Management

The Department of Arts and Sciences has negotiated on licensed collections for us and we work with PASCAL (Partnership Among South Carolina Academic Libraries) within the state.

The library owns commercial collections that the university's Art Department makes accessible through its image delivery site (MDID).

The Office of Fair Practices & Legal Affairs is involved in negotiating agreements. Faculty of Dentistry is digitizing images from slides.

Student newspaper, University Communications, and academic department published content.

University Archives

University Counsel is also consulted in negotiating rights agreements in certain cases. The Visual Resources Center in the Sam Fox School of Art also digitizes analog images.

University departments (2 responses)

University of California's California Digital Library (CDL); California Museum of Photography; Strategic Communications; Athletics; Associated Students. CDL coordinates licensing for UC-wide resources; the other units may create images and negotiate licenses with individuals.

University Photo and Imaging Services provides support in digitizing images; Initiative with community (Southside Initiatives) provides support in identifying images for digitization.

University Relations

University's Media and Marketing Department

Various colleges on campus, the university's PR operations, and our university foundation.

Various units provide born-digital images on an informal basis. There are no formal agreements about how these acquisitions are handled.

Visual Resources Center in Fine Arts Department is digitizing slide collection. Office of Communications and Marketing creates born digital images.

Visual Resources Collection, Department of Art & Art History

6. Does your library collaborate with other units in your institution to manage digital images? N=81

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please indicate which unit(s) has responsibility for these digital image management activities. Check all that apply. N=75

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Activities</th>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Museum/Gallery</th>
<th>University press</th>
<th>Medical school</th>
<th>Other unit(s)</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Create metadata for images</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host image collections</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalogue images</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiate image use permissions</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other activity</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Responses</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you selected “Other activity” above, please specify the activity. N=9

Asset management, storage
Backup servers
Digital preservation (3 responses)
Instruction for faculty and for students concerning use of images in pedagogical contexts.
Promotion, outreach, integration with teaching and research.
The library pays Shared Shelf to host images.
The maintenance of the LUNA digital image management system falls to the Library and the Visual Resources Center. Also evaluating a new digital image management system was a collaboration between these two organizations.
If you selected “Other unit(s)” above, please specify the unit and briefly describe their responsibility. N=41

Academic departments and faculty create descriptive metadata for images, and in some cases secure use permissions.

All units in the university contribute to the digital collections with digital images related to their units and research, including digitized images and born-digital curated images. The online repository or digital asset management system tools allow for easy ingest of existing data and ease of creating new metadata/catalog records. The Libraries also have a well-developed permissions process with full documentation that is regularly done by all partners at the university and external.

An information technology services unit within a college.

Art history department (3 responses)

Art History Department Visual Resources Centre

Campus Information Technology Services

Central IT provides storage space. Institutional repository is hosted by commercial vendor. Metadata for licensed resources may be purchased or provided by vendor; metadata for institutional repository may be supplied by author.

Centre for Scholarly Communications (part of Libraries and Cultural Resources as is the Library, the Art Galleries, and the University Press) creates, hosts, disseminates and preserves digital image collections in a variety of platforms.

Department of Art: MDID.

Departmental image collections (School of Art and College of Built Environments) also host image collections, catalogue images, and create metadata.

History of Art Department Visual Resources Collections; College of LS&A Museums.

Host image collections: in negotiations with vendors and campus IT. Catalogue images: crowdsourcing; scholars familiar with content contained/captured by image. Create metadata: crowdsourcing; scholars familiar with content contained/captured by image; search engine optimization consultant helps with aggregating information for potential metadata inclusion.

Humanities Division’s Visual Resource Center, which serves the Art History and other departments.

In some cases faculty from the School of Architecture and Planning have donated their image collections to the Libraries and have provided the associated metadata.

Institute Communications and Marketing (creates born-digital images & metadata and manages them until transferred to Archives). Institute Facilities Design and Construction (creates born-digital images & metadata and manages them until transferred to Archives).

Lister Hill National Center for Biomedical Communications hosts the Profiles in Science collections, including the associated digital images.

Media Services group of Computing & Information Services (CIS) supports instructional needs of faculty.

Nautical Archeology and Biodiversity Research and Teaching Collections work with the Metadata librarians to establish metadata requirements, but are responsible for the creation of the actual metadata for the project. College of Veterinary Medicine project will follow this practice as well.
Office of Information Technology: preserve images.

Office of Information Technology: manage servers and backups.

Other cultural heritage organizations on campus (i.e., special collections and archives); central IT organization (for hosting and digital storage).

Records Management

San Diego Super Computer Center (Chronopolis preservation service)

SHANTI: Sciences, Humanities & Arts Network of Technological Initiatives. SHANTI promotes innovation at the university through the use of advanced digital technologies in research, teaching, publishing, and collaborative engagement.

Some departments and individual faculty members do this for themselves. University Relations and PR office manages digital image assets for their publications, and the Athletics Offices have large collections of images. I know of these through casual hearsay only. There are no formal connections between these entities.

Some departments choose to place their digital images on university or commercial servers. Individual area/subject specialists and cataloging unit Metadata Cataloger create and update catalog records and/or create/update metadata. Depositing university units (e.g., Agriculture or Geophysics) sometimes provide metadata. I am speculating that both UH Press and Hawaiian Historical Society have negotiated image use permissions for material that has been deposited in our institutional repository and other digital collections.

The Office of Fair Practices & Legal Affairs is involved in negotiating image use permissions. Faculty of Dentistry is adding metadata to their digital images.

The Visual Resources Center has a large collection of digital images, which they catalog and create metadata for. They also occasionally field requests for image use permissions.

The Visual Resources Center in the Art Department

Units that donate images are responsible for the descriptive metadata.

University Archives

University Archives creates metadata for their images which are hosted on a library website.

University Legal counsel may be involved in negotiations, backup services provided by Information Technology Services.

University of California’s California Digital Library (CDL); California Museum of Photography; Strategic Communications; Athletics; Associated Students.

University Technology Office provides storage and file system maintenance for library servers and Media Relations photographs.

Various colleges on campus, our university PR operations, and the university foundation

Visual Resources Center (Fine Arts Department) scans slide images, creates the metadata, and hosts the images on their own servers, but uses the libraries contentDM interface to make them accessible. The libraries provide service space and create metadata for selected OCM photos.

Visual resource center for Art & Art history Department & Environmental Design.

Visual Resources Collection, Department of Art & Art History. Responsible for creating and licensing digital images for use in teaching, lectures, Blackboard, etc.
7. Does your library collaborate with any consortial partners to acquire, create, or manage digital image collections? N=81

Yes 54 67%

No 27 33%

If yes, please indicate who is responsible for each activity below. Check all that apply. N=54

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Research library consortium</th>
<th>State-/province-wide consortium</th>
<th>National/Multi-state/provincial consortium</th>
<th>Other consortial partner(s)</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negotiate purchase/use of licensed collections</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digitize analog images</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host image collections</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create metadata for images</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalogue images</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiate image use permissions</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiate individual agreements with image rights holders</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create born-digital images</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other activity</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Responses</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If you selected “Other activity” above, please specify the activity. N=10

Alabama Digital Preservation Network is a LOCKSS-based system for collaborative bit-level preservation of partners’ collections.

Asset management, storage

Harvest and host metadata records; preserve images and metadata.

OAI harvesting into specialized and general systems such as OCLC Worldcat, PRL (PRDLA archive).

Our library subscribes to ARTstor and Shared Shelf, but we offer it simply as a service. Library-owned collections are not placed there.

Promotion, outreach, integration with research and teaching.

Special Collections provides duplication services and retains resulting image files. Special Collections acquires and ingests donated born digital images.

The library participates in ARTstor to which partners contribute cataloging and metadata as well as images; however the library creates its own cataloging and metadata for nearly all other images.

We collaborate with the Digital Library of Georgia (DLG). The DLC harvests our metadata and links to our digital images.

If you selected “Other consortial partner(s)” above, please specify the partner and briefly describe their responsibility. N=13

ARTstor is a noncommercial digital library combining collections from its partners, all of which are nonprofit organizations or institutions.

Digital Library of Georgia.

Google, during participation in their book scanning project.

Individual libraries within partnership are responsible for rights management of their collections.

International with the Digital Library of the Caribbean (dLOC; www.dloc.com) where all partners contribute by digitization/born-digital curation, metadata, governance, training, etc. Additional partners collaborate on specific projects, for specific materials and with UF as the technical host partner.

John Carter Brown Library (independent library on the Brown University campus) shares its digital image collections with the Brown University Library.

Kentucky Digital Library hosts a consortial repository of KY related images.

Library of Congress National Digital Newspaper Project: Chronicling America is the host for Hawaii newspapers digitized in the project, UH hosts a smaller (PDF-only) version of the files; see above answer for other partner activities.

Local consortium (Five Colleges Consortium, a mix of public and private institutions, large and small schools within a few miles of one another).

National consortia are Shared Shelf and Sahara.
Partners are not state wide, but rather region within the state: Association of King County Historical Organizations and people and organizations on the west end of the Olympic Peninsula.

Sheet music consortium

University of California's California Digital Library (CDL)

University legal counsel supports rights negotiations as needed. Digitizing and creating born digital occasionally involves (a) Media and Technology Services and (b) Office of Marketing and Communication. Users/faculty/donors may create metadata for images.

Additional Comment N=1

We are in consultation and negotiation with a variety of vendors, as well as other state institutions for each of the above categories, but nothing is final.

8. Does your library contribute to any shared digital image collections with other institutions? N=78

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

55% 45%

If yes, please identify the institution and briefly describe the collections. N=40

Advanced Papyrological Information System (papyrology collections). Society of Architectural Historians (Faculty collections).


Archeocore community: Dumbarton Oaks, Institute of Fine Arts @ NYU, UC-San Diego. The Jefferson Library @ Monticello.

ARTstor, Shared Shelf, Luna, Flickr

Association of Southeastern Research Libraries (ASERL) hosts a collaborative repository of Civil War images.

Because of our robust scholarly cyber-infrastructure, the university hosts over 500 different collections from the university and partners. Additionally, all materials are accessible via record feeds in OAI-PMH, MARCXML, an API, and search engines with SEO support done by the Libraries.

BYU-Hawaii, BYU-Idaho, LDS Business College Campus Photographs; LDS Church History Library Historical Photographs (C.R. Savage Collection)

Digital Library of Georgia (all digitized and publicly accessible images)

Grant-based partnerships
Greater Western Libraries Alliance: Western Waters Digital Library. Multi-institutional project to highlight collections related to water in the West.

Internet Archive, Hathi Trust, OurOntario

Internet Archive; Digital Library of the Caribbean; World Digital Library

Iowa Heritage Digital Collection now hosted by the State Library. Participation is open to all not-for-profit cultural heritage institutions. All collections are freely available to the public and designed for K-12 Iowa history curriculum support.

Kentucky Digital Library

LC participates in the Flickr Commons, ARTstor, World Digital Library, Viewshare and Memento (Web archiving/discovery projects supported by NDIIPP, the National Digital Information Infrastructure and Preservation Program administered at LC). LC does not currently store its image collections with other institutions; the images that LC has placed on the Flickr Commons are also available on LC’s servers.


Locally digitized and created images are harvested by provincial agencies such as Our Ontario and Archeion.

Maine Memory, Digital Commonwealth

Manitoba Library Consortium: Manitoba.ca project; work with Ukrainian Catholic Archeparchy of Winnipeg Archives, Centre du Patrimoine, Archives of Manitoba, U of Saskatchewan, Winnipeg Art Gallery on several projects: Prairie Immigration, Prairie Prestige, Women & Education, Landmarks, Monuments & Built Heritage.

New Jersey Digital Highway.

Online Archive of California and Calisphere (California Digital Library repositories), ARTstor, PRDLA

Part of Shared Shelf program via ARTstor. WorldCat Collection of Collections.Canadiana.org.

SAHARA, a digital image archive developed by the Society of Architectural Historians in collaboration with ARTstor.

Scanned and contributed images to the Romantic Circles project at the University of Maryland.

Small collection shared with Smith College, Mt. Holyoke College, Amherst College, and Hampshire College. Contributions from Roman Art Historians at UMass Amherst, Smith & Mt. Holyoke to form a collection of images shot by those Art Historians at Roman sites.

South Carolina Digital Library and the Digital Public Library of America

Southeast Missouri State University: Civil War digital collection.

Texas A&M University (Primeros Libros project)

Thai books in Northern Illinois University’s Southeast Asia Digital Library: King Chulalongkorn’s diary, 1876–1887, and travel writings chronicling royal visits to India, Malaya, Singapore, Java, Western Europe, Russia, and Siam.

The larger Libraries general visual collections; Harvard University Fine Arts Library visual collections.

The Texas Digital Library. Our unrestricted collections from architecture faculty are part of this library.

Trail: a project under GWLA and CRL for older federal reports.
We are members of FADIS.

We contribute image metadata to aggregated collections (Canadiana and the West Beyond the West). We host image collections, which other institutions have contributed to (BC Bibliography and Chinese Canadian Stories).

We contribute to the Western Waters Digital Library via harvesting using OAI-PMH. The WWDL and the harvester are maintained by the University of Utah.

We participate in Calisphere and the Online Archive of California (OAC). Both of these projects are content developed and hosted by UC Libraries with a web interface developed and hosted by CDL.

We will be contributing to HathiTrust, ArtStor, SharedShelf, and Internet Archive, imminently.

Additional Comments N=2

Our “no” answer assumes that metadata in OAlster does not count as a “shared digital image collection.”

We are currently in negotiation with other institutions in the state to do so, but nothing is final.

**STORAGE AND DELIVERY**

9. Which platforms are used to store digital image databases/resources at your institution? Check all that apply. N=81

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Licensed image database provider (i.e., ARTstor)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty or institutional/organization server</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open source digital repository</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proprietary digital repository</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open source software</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared digital repository</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public photo sharing site</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other platform</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you selected “Other platform” above, please specify the platform. N=9

Amazon Web Services, DuraCloud, Glacier-cloud, external media: drives, discs

ARTstor Shared Shelf, custom-built websites

Master images are stored on external drives and access images are hosted through proprietary DAM and some duplicate copies available on shared network drive.

MDID
Portable hard drives

SobekCM is the open source digital repository and is a shared digital repository, and runs on Open Source software in addition to being Open Source itself.

Third-party vendor software for online database

We are migrating to DSpace.

Widen Media Collective, Luna Insight, ARTstor

10. Which of the following delivery methods does your library use to provide access to digital image databases/resources? Check all that apply. N=81

For the purposes of this question in-library access refers to a reading room or other monitored space; online means remote access to materials, i.e., not in a monitored space.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Online access to a digital repository system</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online exhibition</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Database search engine</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web site browse/directory</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search and discovery layer that allows for searching</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for images within e-resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third-party access and delivery system</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-library access on dedicated computer workstation</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online access to a file space</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other delivery method</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you selected “Other delivery method” above, please briefly describe the method. N=7

For materials where we have permissions/rights and when needed, materials are also sent to partners who have limited Internet access via hard drives and DVDs.

Online access to a MySQL-powered open source web-based image database system, “Streetprint” (similar to Omeka).

Products of customer duplication services are delivered via email attachments, DVDs and third party systems such as Dropbox.

Search engine site maps; OAI-PMH repository

Several thousand images & metadata posted on Flickr.

Staff members retrieve images from portable media and file drop them to patrons.

The Prints and Photographs Online Catalog (PPOC) covers about 95 percent of the library’s analog and digital images held in the Prints and Photographs Division. N.B.: The library provides access to some textual content via online access to a commercial digital repository system (ProQuest, etc.), but has not extended this to access digital image assets.
**SERVICES**

11. **What service points support the use of digital image databases/resources at your institution? Check all that apply. N=80**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Point</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specialized unit located in the main library</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized unit located in a branch or subject library</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized unit located in a department/faculty</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No dedicated service unit</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other service point(s)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please briefly describe the service point(s) you selected above. N=52**

Accessible via Internet from any location.

AKDC in located within Specialized Content and Services division of Rotch Library (architecture). Our other service point is located with our endowing organization, Aga Khan Trust for Culture.

All service points provide service to digital images and databases, based on the researcher’s need, although Special Collections is probably the most prominent user and contributor. All actual digitization and reformatting is performed by our Digital Conversion and Media Reformatting unit within our Information Technology Division.

All service points provide support for general image collections, e.g., Colourbox.

Archives and Records Management department; Scholarly Communication and Digital Curation department; Collections Acquisitions and Management department; Collection Development department.

Art history manages “slide library,” no dedicated unit in the library.

Art/Architecture branch library; CDRS Services

Both main and branch libraries support the use of digital image databases/resources, but no specialized units within them. Chiefly this happens through the reference desk and Ask-a-Librarian services, as well as a research guide on finding images.

Center for Innovative Teaching and Learning, University Information Technology Services help desks.

Clemons Library has the Robertson Media Center, which collects and manages the video collections, including streaming video.

Dedicated staff at multiple libraries

Digital Collections Center in main library is responsible for creation, metadata, hosting, and access.

Digital Initiatives is a unit located in the main library that serves both internal library units and their scanning needs and external faculty and other campus units.

Digital Library Services Unit

Digital Library Services, the Art & Architecture Library, and the Visual Resources Center are the main services points supporting the use of digital images.
Digital Library Technologies Unit, Visual Resource Center

Digital Projects Librarian at main library: responsible for locally created digital collections. Architecture and Art Librarian: responsible for commercial databases of images and some locally created collections.

Digital Services and Web Services. Former responsible for digitization and metadata, later responsible for repository development.

Digital services in the library, as well as our Special Collections and Oral History collections. We also have two branch libraries that fit this category, Architecture and Veterinary Medicine.

General reference and Special Collections and Archives public services staff provide support in use of these resources.

History of Medicine Division provides the primary service point for the digital image resources at the NLM with the Images in the History of Medicine database. We are currently exploring the use of the NLM Digital Repository for the preservation and possible access support for digital still and moving images.

MAGIS is part of the Government Documents & Maps Department, which has its own reference point in the main library.

Main patron access point is the service desk in the Visual Resources Collection located in the Robert B. Haas Family Arts Library. Library staff in other units routinely refer patron questions regarding digital image use in the Arts and Humanities to the Visual Resources Collection manager; some image reference questions are handled by other reference staff.

Many players; potentially all public service points and many internal units.

Most analog to digital project to date managed by staff in Special Collections and Archives and University Libraries Systems units.

Most image collections are created and managed by a centralized group in the Digitization Centre (part of the Digital Initiatives portfolio) but some other units also create and provide access to image collections, for example, in University Archives and Art, Architecture and Planning.

No dedicated service point

Our Art librarian within the University Library, the staff of the Humanities Division’s Visual Resource Center, campus IT staff.

Our facility is a branch library. Within the main library our Special Collections & Archives unit works independently to manage its own digital assets.

Our special collections is the service point and it is located in the Science Library Building.

Rare Books & Special Collections, Digital Humanities, Art/Music Library, Visual Resources Collection (housed in the library, but part of the Department of Art & Art History).

Reference departments support use of licensed electronic databases, librarian selectors “curate” content and use of subject/area specific local image databases.

Reference Services, Course Reserves, GIS data lab

Research & Outreach Services (ROS) department (especially the subject librarian for art), Digital Production Services unit

Scholarly Communication Center, Special Collections, Institute of Jazz Studies
Selected examples include: Digitization Services lab (located in the main library), Fine Arts Visual Resource Center (located in a branch library).

Service desks are provided in our Archives & Special Collections Department on our Ft. Garry campus and in the Medical Archives in the Neil John MacLean Health Sciences Library on our Bannatyne campus.

Service points are in Image Collections & Services unit at UW Bothell Campus Library, part of the UW Libraries system, and in Visual Resources collections in the School of Art and College of Built Environments.

Services in support of digital resources are provided library-wide.

Special Collections and Archives; Rivera Library and Orbach Science Library reference desks; Map Collection (in Orbach Science Library); Water Resources Collection and Archives (in Orbach Science Library).

Support for the use of locally created digital images is provided by a combination of staff in Special Collections and our Digital Research and Publishing Department. Use of licensed image resources is provided by reference staff both in our Main Library and our Art Library.

The Architecture Library manages most of the purchased resources at our institution. They are a branch of the main library.

The curators of the collections offer some support as to the content within the collection. The reference libraries will answer very basic inquiries and will direct inquiries to others who then can provide further information.

The Fine Arts Library and the Special Collections Library, the VRC in the Art Department, the Fralin Art Museum

The library provides access to many of its digital image resources in several reading rooms. As determined by the specific license agreement, access to some digital image resources is limited to the Prints and Photographs Reading Room.

There are various units, groups, and people that support the use of different digital image databases/resources for different support needs. This is done, to some degree, by all faculty and staff in the libraries.

These services are “by appointment only” in the library that primarily serves the School of Architecture and Planning. This is not a “drop in” service point.

These services points include the Help Desk and Archives and Special Collections.

Two special collections reading rooms

Visual Resources Collection and its staff are part of the university library system but located within a branch adjacent to Art/Art History/Design faculty and classrooms.

Web services is in the main library. Information services is in the main library. E-resources is in the main library. The Metadata Unit is part of the cataloging department. Information Services is in the main library. Digital Services is a specialized unit in a branch library where special collections are housed.

Within the main library, Reference provides support for the use of digital images. In addition, Archives & Special Collections, the Art Library, the Music Library, and the Health Sciences Library also provide support. From outside the libraries, the Visual Resources Center supports faculty and student use of their images.
12. Please indicate what types of service are provided for users by each applicable service point. Check all that apply. N=79

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Service</th>
<th>Main library</th>
<th>Branch/subject library</th>
<th>Department/faculty</th>
<th>Other service point(s)</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finding/locating images</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisting with copyright, citing, and permissions</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creating images (i.e., scanning, digitizing)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using local/institutional image databases/resources</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using licensed image databases/resources</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saving and storing images</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posting images for student review and assignments</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creating presentations</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other service(s)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Responses</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you selected “Other service(s)” above, please specify the service. N=4

Access to software to create images.

Digital Library Services unit manages the Kentucky Digital Library.

Metadata creation to support findability by metadata librarians in cataloging; OAI-PMH by web services; long-term management and search engine site maps by digital services unit in branch library (with OIT and OLT support).
We assist with editing and printing of images.

If you selected “Other service point(s)” above, please specify the service point and briefly describe its service. N=22

Academic Technology Center (scanning images, building presentations, image storage) and the Copyright Information Center (for copyright assistance)

Aga Khan Documentation Center, SCS, Aga Khan Trust for Culture

Campus IT provides help with teaching and general tech support.

Center for Teaching Excellence

Chiefly, the Media Commons units: tech support groups that help students and faculty with creative uses of technology. Also a related IT group called Teaching and Learning with Technology focusing on faculty uses.

Computing service

Copyright Office within Libraries and Cultural Resources. Digital Media Commons within main library: support with locating and incorporating images into creative works. Also use of image software. Centre for Scholarly Communications within Libraries and Cultural Resources creates, hosts, disseminates, and preserves digital image collections in a variety of platforms.

Digital Media Services digitizes material for classroom use and reserves.


Fordham Lab at Douglass Library.

General Counsel’s Office

Institute Archives and Special Collections, and the Aga Khan Documentation Center (within the Specialized Content and Services, SCS, department)

Instructional Technology Group assists faculty with presentations and course websites.

Open access computer labs managed by campus IT provide access to scanners. Images can also be saved/stored to student or faculty accounts provided by campus IT.

Our IT office has an academic computing division. They will not do the work but offer equipment and instruction for faculty who wish to do the work themselves.

Reference Desk, Ask-a-Librarian service, online research guide

SHANTI

Some selectors and digital image collection creators choose to put files on university server or commercial service. Interlibrary Loan unit is involved with copyright. Video off-air taping copyright is handled by librarian at Sinclair Library (where Audio Visual Center is located).

Special Collections archivists and curators, who seek to promote collections and assist researchers in finding and citing content.
Special Collections provides limited research support, duplication services, and publication permission letters that include known copyright status, required citations, and assessment of commercial use fees.

University Copyright & Licensing Office

Visual Resource Center assists Art faculty and students with using their images in lectures and other presentations.

13. What finding aids does your library provide for locating digital image databases/resources? Check all that apply. N=79

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding Aid</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Web page</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LibGuide</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handouts</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other type of finding aid</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you selected “Other type of finding aid” above, please specify the finding aid. N=25

- Archival finding aids.
- Archon
- Blog for new video art
- Content management system
- Current use of MetaLib allows location of image databases.
- Digital Penn web page
- Downloadable PDFs. We will be creating EADs, as well as experimenting with different data visualization techniques to display finding aids in intuitive, spatial ways.
- EAD
- EAD finding aids created by Archives and Special Collections.
- EAD-encoded finding aids, digital repository interface, harvesting records out to WorldCat
- Electronic bulletin boards (flatscreens) in multiple libraries
- Library catalog
- N/A at this time
- Online database with attached thumbnails, stock photo collection/online exhibit with downloadable zip files of publication quality images
- Our catalogue & discovery layer
- Past Perfect
- PC Prints and Photographs Online Catalog
Real archival finding aids

Special Collections registers

Special Collections units use Archon to deliver finding aids. The Modern Graphic History Library has the largest digital image collection of the Special Collections units.

Summon allows discovery of ARTstor content.

Video links in CMS

Videos

We have a database of over a 1400 EAD finding aids online and full text searchable. 286 of these finding aids contain links to almost 55,000 digitized items.

Working on a finding aids database with EAD marked up finding aids.

14. What method of instruction/training is provided for the use of digital image databases/resources at your library? Check all that apply. N=75

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Web pages provided by the library/visual resources staff</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshops provided by the library/visual resources staff</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web pages provided by licensed image database provider</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web based tutorials provided by licensed image database provider</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web based tutorials provided by the library/visual resources staff</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-site training provided by licensed image database provider</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other method of instruction/training</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you selected “Other method of instruction/training” above, please briefly describe the method. N=12

Art department staff train on use of ARTstor.

As needed

In-person reference desk activity. Also, some image use/locating instruction is provided in the course of in-person bibliographic instruction.

Individual faculty and student consultations provided by image curator.

Instruction is part of a credit class, part of library instruction sessions, and one-on-one with users.

Invited class lectures/instruction sessions.

LibGuide: Finding images, Medical images

On demand services

One-on-one consultations with instructors upon request

Reference desk inquiries
Subject librarians create web-based tutorials and/or instruction sessions tailored to specific needs of different subject areas, courses, research projects, etc., and the use of digital image resources is incorporated as appropriate. We do not do generic workshops/tutorials on the use of digital image resources.

“Web-based tutorials” is taken to mean webcasts of one-time live presentations, made available on the library’s webcast page.

15. What method does your library use to promote digital image databases/resources? Check all that apply. N=81

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Web page</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LibGuide</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blog</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listserv/electronic mail list</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other method(s)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you selected “Other method(s)” above, please briefly describe the method. N=27

- Brochure
- Conference presentation, published articles, Twitter, Facebook, individual reference/instruction interactions
- Conference presentations
- Database trials, subject librarian targeting specific user groups
- Exhibits, press releases, social media
- Facebook page (2 responses)
- Facebook, Pinterest, Social Pin, Twitter
- Facebook, Twitter (2 responses)
- Flyers and posters as part of a marketing effort in the library building
- Printed ephemera
- Promotional materials, print and digital
- Public display monitors
- Social media and internal training
- Social media, e.g., Facebook, Twitter, Pinterest (2 responses)
- Social media, Facebook, Tumblr
- Social media, press releases prepared in collaboration with Marketing & Communications
- Subject guides, not specifically LibGuide
Targeted emails to faculty
Training sessions for students
Twitter, Facebook, presentations, instructional modules for freshmen
Videos, in-person presentations, published research articles, news releases, social media tools, etc.

We have several flat screens mounted in public spaces in the main library. These cycle through various slides, which the Art & Architecture Library has used to publicize new image databases.

Webcasts about library digital image collections
Working with departments and university partners to promote to special user groups; Twitter, Facebook (social media)

POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

16. Does your library have a collection development policy that explicitly addresses the acquisition of digital images, including licensed image databases/resources? N=78

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digital images are not explicitly addressed</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital images are addressed in a general collection development policy</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital images are addressed in an electronic resources collection policy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is a separate digital images policy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments N=11

Collection policies are typically format agnostic.

Digital images are addressed in standard agreement materials, as with the deed of gift.

Digital photography is addressed in the collection policy on photography.

Minimally addressed

Part of the Visual Arts collection development policy

Special Collections and Archives acquires material of subject/topic relevance, regardless of format.

There is a policy for videos.

There is a selection guide for the digitization of images [that also includes other types of materials]. I suspect that there is a separate policy for purchased images, but am not sure.

We are in the process of revising all our collection development policies (both general and disciplinary). During this process, we will define the digital images collection policy.

We do have a policy for digitization of our own rare and special collections, but none that addresses all types of acquisition.

We treat digitized collections different from purchased collections. There are different selection criteria for both.
17. Does your library provide copyright guidelines regarding the fair use of digital images? N=81

Yes 71 88%
No 10 12%

If yes, please indicate who implements the guidelines. Check all that apply. N=68

Library 63 93%
Parent institution 26 38%
External organization 5 7%

If an external organization developed the guidelines, please specify the organization. N=5

Aga Khan Trust for Culture
ARTstor
CAUT
Commercial partners, California Digital Library
Legal counsel

18. Does your library provide privacy and publicity guidelines regarding the use of digital images that protect the interests of the person(s) who may be the subject(s) of the work or intellectual creation? N=80

Yes 31 39%
No 49 61%

19. Please indicate which content standards you use to describe your digital images. Check all that apply. N=80

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content Standard</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Getty Art &amp; Architecture Thesaurus</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library of Congress Thesaurus for Graphic Materials</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AACR2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getty Union List of Artist Names</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataloging Cultural Objects</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describing Archives: A Content Standard (DACS)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICONCLASS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No content standard used</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other content standard</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If you selected “Other content standard” above, please specify the standard. N=18

- Encyclopaedia of Islam, GeoNames (2 responses)
- Library of Congress Name Authorities
- Library of Congress Subject Headings (LCSH) (5 responses)
- Library of Congress Subject Headings/LC Authorities
- Local guidelines
- Local, LCNAF, LCSH
- Locally digitized content to date follows guidelines for Dublin Core elements but has not reflected a specific descriptive standard.
- None, free text keywords
- Rules for Archival Description (RAD)
- RDA: Resource Description & Access has replaced AACR2 for most LC original cataloging including still images.
- Resource Description and Access (RDA).
- Standards developed at the Fogg Art Museum (Harvard)
- Various international standards

20. Please indicate which metadata standards you use to describe your digital images. Check all that apply. N=80

- Dublin Core 65 81%
- Encoded Archival Description (EAD) 40 50%
- VRA Core 37 46%
- MARC 34 43%
- Categories for the Description of Works of Art (CDWA) 5 6%
- No metadata standard used 2 3%
- Other metadata standard 21 26%

If you selected “Other metadata standard” above, please specify the standard. N=21

- ArcheoCore
- Darwin Core
- In-house metadata schema
- Local
- METS, MODS
MODS: Metadata Object Description Schema (10 responses)
MODS and all of these are made from automatic transformations supported by the SobekCM software.
MODS, QDC
MODS, RAD, ISAD (G)
MODS/METS, PREMIS
VRA Core, Modified

21. Are locally created images given alt text for accessibility by screen readers? N=78

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Images aren’t created locally</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

22. Are there research and development initiatives at your institution that involve the use of digital images (e.g., creation of e-learning tools that use digital images, digitization of a special collection for use in a larger project, creation of new research tools that use digital images)? N=80

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If yes, please describe the project and what image collections are being used. N=60

A digital manuscript collection was created in the course of research by an anthropology professor. A digital collection of recipe boxes is currently being created in collaboration with, and to serve the research of, a gastronomy professor. Almost certainly there are other faculty using digital image collections in their research, but we are not involved and unaware of the specifics.

A variety of special collections regularly digitize visual materials, including the Department of Manuscripts and Archives, Arts Library Special Collections, Divinity School Library Special Collections, and Beinecke Rare Book and Manuscript Library, sometimes with grant funding.

ArchNet Digital Library, a collaboration between the Aga Khan Trust for Culture and the Aga Khan Documentation Center which is part of the Specialized Content and Services department in the MIT Libraries. ARTEMIs (short for ART for Engineering, Math and Science) combines principles of visual communications with the tools of modern computer graphics to create visualization tools. MIT Media Lab’s Camera Culture which is exploring how to create new ways to capture and share visual information.

ArchNet Digital Library collaborates with Aga Khan Trust for Culture, Aga Khan Award for Architecture, Aga Khan Historic Cities Programme, Harvard University Fine Arts Library, Aga Khan Program for Islamic Architecture at Harvard
and MIT, DRMI-AKPIA (Disaster Risk Reduction in Village Planning), Aga Khan Building and Planning Services, University of Central Asia.

At present, no R&D within the Libraries focuses on digital images. Many in the Libraries and at the university use digital images as an aspect of a project or as research data.

Being planned for university anniversary.

Biology professor working with us to create a research database of minnows. Public history professors creating small digital libraries/projects to teach students how to create digital libraries/projects. Building science faculty use images from construction of a “green” building on campus to teach a class.

Converting camera RAW to TIFF and creating metadata for two collections of born-digital images. Legacy images and current images will continue to be added to the backlog.

Creating web portal with information about how to cite and use image collections.

Dental Dams: dentistry images for e-learning. GIS projects: maps for e-learning & contribution to other projects.

Development of an image viewer in our discovery tool. Scanning of special collections works in support of research in Jesuit iconography.

Digital humanities projects

Digital humanities project utilizing one of our special collections. Related courses and students actively using the digitized content on their course blog.

Digitization of a special collection (public domain content) for an open access project

Digitization of images supports faculty research and teaching. Collections of images are used in museum exhibitions.

Digitization projects: Lou Wise aerial photographs, John Warkentin Manitoba rural landscapes, Alan Fleming, Toronto Telegram

Great Smoky Mountains Regional Project provides support for researchers at all levels who study the Smokies and the surrounding communities. The project consists of three major areas of emphasis.

I am uncertain of specifics, though I know that such projects are underway as part of digital humanities projects.

Immersive image studio uses images to create 3D immersive experiences.

In support of other’s online and monographic publishing efforts

Instructional Design and E-Teaching Unit provides/builds websites related to classroom teaching. University Archives material was used significantly to support sesquicentennial celebration at the university.

Interns in special collections are using digital images to create learning modules and exhibits.

Jefferson Trust Grant to use multi-spectral scanner

Los Angeles Aqueduct Project with other local institutions. Participation in Western Waters Digital Library. Avery E. Field photographs in UC Calisphere.

Maps for our map center

Marcel Breuer Digital Archive, The Plastics Collection

Multiple projects
NEH grant for a play/teacher guide related to Kent State Shootings; May 4 Digital Archive is being used.

Newspapers for Chronicling America

Our Data Curation Program provides data management and data storage solutions to a variety of disciplines in the humanities, sciences and social sciences.

Profiles in Science uses digitized analog and born-digital images from the history of science and medicine. Turning the Pages uses collections of digitized images from rare books and manuscripts. Education Resources from the HMD Exhibition Program uses images from the history of medicine to enhance education modules.

Roman de la Rose digital library image tagging, course titled, “Collecting Hopkns” based on our images from a Mark Dion public art project. We believe there are others but we don’t have the data at our fingertips to extrapolate.

Scottish Studies materials, L.M. Montgomery, theatre collections, agricultural history, landscape architecture

SCRC/DLI project: Website that offers primary source material and historical context/lesson plans, etc.

Seward Paper Project

Special collections

The Colorado Coal Collection, a multimedia archive of images, video, and text documenting mining history in Colorado, is currently being digitized. The digital collection will be used by students to create a documentary on the subject. The Special Collections department is digitizing volumes for a history of medicine class. Students are using/citing these sources in their papers. Special Collections digitized a collection of Women Poets of the Romantic Period. A literature class used the materials in a website project.

The digitization of Special Collections materials support wider teaching and research initiatives at the university. Digital Library Services and Special Collections units have digitized a large number of unique holdings and have plans to develop more digital projects in the near future. Concrete examples of this are digital images from the Modern Graphic History Library supporting work in the Sam Fox School of Art and digitized manuscripts written by Gass and Merrill supporting work in the humanities.

The libraries have collaborated with several units within the College of Arts & Sciences to host digital exhibits commemorating historical events of community and research interest, e.g., 50th anniversary of civil rights sit-ins. The libraries have also collaborated with a photography class to create and house a repository of images. The libraries provided instruction on creating metadata and digital rights issues as well as hosting the images. We have also created a crowd-sourcing project for transcribing the digitized text of a local, African American newspaper.

The university and the library are pursuing Open Education Resources, as well as supporting bibliographies that incorporate social media and data visualization.

The university has many current grant projects including some supporting innovative practices using and manipulating images, some for digitization and digital curation of materials, and some for the creation of new works of scholarship and integration with research and teaching using digital images. There’s another current grant on Teaching Resources Digital Collection for a repository of teaching materials. These current grants are in addition to ongoing, programmatic work to support and integrate library work and collections with research and teaching.

The Virtual Museum of the Holocaust and the Resistance is one such project.

The Wetlands Digital Collection, curated by the library’s Image Collections & Services department, is a repository of research documents, images, maps, and other materials related to the campus wetlands. This is the primary research collection for the wetlands, and is used in faculty research and teaching.
There are hundreds of researchers using images. The same can be said for teaching and learning. From STEM to humanities, social science, and the arts. It would be more concise to answer who is not.

There are numerous initiatives at place presently. One project is examining display of literary correspondence online as a digital edition. We are currently conceiving a project to crowd source transcription, and we are currently digitizing audio broadcasts for use in an exhibit in the fall.

There are special collections such as the Inquisition collection, as well as Architectural Archive materials such as the Seaside Research Portal. These are mostly in the digital exhibit category.

This is the project that is under exploration with the College of Veterinary Medicine. It will involve a test bed of born-digital radiographic images that can be pulled into Moodle.

To give several examples: Congressional Video Project is a joint project between LC and the US House of Representatives Recording Studio to produce live and archived streaming video of House committee proceedings; launched Jan. 17, 2012. “Teaching with Primary Sources from the Library of Congress,” a 45-hour online course produced by LC in collaboration with (US) National Public Broadcasting Service’s "PBS Teacherline." Five-day Summer Teacher Institutes; in summer 2012, focused on World Digital Library and on LC’s digitized U.S. Civil War collections. Primary Source Project Plans and other e-learning tools.

Too many to describe.

Too many to list, really, but a few of them are ArcheoCore, Flowerdew Hundred (in Special Collections), MOOCs, Sahara. Too numerous to cite.

Varies, including historic images of the campus for upcoming 50th anniversary.

Voinovich Collections project is a collaborative project with George Voinovich School of Leadership and Public Affairs at Ohio University, and Center for Public History + Digital Humanities and the Maxine Goodman Levin College of Urban Affairs at Cleveland State University. Omeka-based web platform featuring documents from the Voinovich gubernatorial, senatorial, and mayoral collections. These documents are selected by faculty from OU and CSU for digitization, to be used in their classrooms. OHIO History project is a collaborative project between the Ohio University administration and University Libraries to create university history learning modules utilizing university archives digitized content. The Omeka-based modules will be required for incoming freshmen.

We are digitizing materials from our Water Resources Archive that will someday be part of a state-wide digital resource. These materials are also being harvested by the Western Waters Digital Library.

We have a Digital Humanities Center in the library that works with these collections and we are also working on getting the collections in K-12 lesson plans.

We have a number of ongoing digitization projects that are producing a variety of digital images of special collection materials.

We have a robust digitization program led by both research and teaching requests, as well as large-scale projects.

We have engaged and continue to explore/build/adapt systems and tools for describing, preserving, maintaining, delivering, and manipulating (context of teaching and research.) A more recent example of tool building is our Image Collections Online service (shared cataloging / web delivery solution for non-manuscript images.) We also commonly digitize special collections for use in a larger project. Again, more recently, we released the War of 1812 project, which
is delivered via Omeka by pulling content from our various services (i.e., Image Collections Online, Finding Aids, etc.)
We have also developed and deployed an open source page turning system, METS Navigator, for facsimile page images
(though we’ve used the page turner for other multi-part objects).

We regularly digitize images from our archival collections and provide online access to them via Omeka and Historypin.
Yes, various, though effort is very distributed.

ASSESSMENT

23. Does your library perform regular assessment of the use of digital image collections for the
    purpose of adding or cancelling collections? N=80

    Yes  48  60%
    No   32  40%

If yes, what methods does your library use to assess/evaluate digital image databases/resources?
Check all that apply. N=48

Usage statistics  46  96%
Informal feedback from users  34  71%
Surveys/requests for formal feedback from users  17  35%
Evaluation forms  6  13%
Other assessment method  4  8%

If you selected “Other assessment method” above, please briefly describe the method. N=4

    Faculty inquiries

    Formal usability testing. The usability reports are also in the digital collections for ease of reference and for ongoing
    continuity in continuous improvement.

    Student project user survey

    Usability testing; focus groups

Additional Comments N=2

    Assessment is not regular. Google Analytics is pointed to specific pages sporadically upon request. Facebook “Insights”
    give us some feedback regarding featured images.

    Cannot speak to any assessment that may or may not take place for licensed subscription image collections such as
    ARtstor.
24. Who is responsible for identifying and evaluating digital image databases/resources for acquisition and/or renewal at your library? Check all that apply. N=74

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff Category</th>
<th>Acquisition</th>
<th>Renewal</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject librarian</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic resources librarian</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual resources staff</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other staff</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Responses</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you selected “Other staff” above, please specify the staff and their responsibility. N=17

**Acquisition**

Archivist responsible for digital projects and outreach
Archivists
Archivists and curators for special collections
Digital Collections Center staff
Digital Library Program particularly to answer questions regarding reformatting and ingest to our DAMS.
Often collection development leadership is involved as well.

**Renewal**

Collection Management Librarian

**Acquisition and Renewal**

A very collaborative and open process, we have an e-resources review board.

Bibliographers

Coordinator for Collection Services

Curators of special collections, the coordinator for the Digitization Laboratory, and the Library Chief Technology Officer identify and evaluate digital image databases/resources for acquisition and/or renewal at the library.

Head of Acquisitions & Resource Sharing and Assistant Dean for Collections & Access sometimes identify digital image resources for acquisition, and frequently participate in evaluating for renewal/cancellation. We have no staff member with the title “Electronic Resources Librarian,” and our staff who work extensively with visual resources are also subject librarians.

Head of Acquisitions and Collection Development
Head, Media Library
Program Head

The Media Librarian chooses all videos regardless of subject.
UML Head Archivist; Medical Archivist; Associate University Librarian (Services to Libraries).

Additional Comment N=1

Architecture and Art Librarian identifies commercial databases for acquisition/renewal. Digital Projects Librarian makes decisions about what local collections to create/acquire.

25. Are commercial products evaluated for accessibility by disabled users? N=75

Yes 30 40%
No 45 60%

26. How important are the following criteria in the evaluation of digital image databases/resources for acquisition and/or renewal? Please select one choice per row. N=72

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of use</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image resolution/quality</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty demand</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject area</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleared copyright/permissions</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility (e.g., alt text/captions that describe the image)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Responses</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments N=9

Accessibility across the university is receiving significant attention with a new university wide group founded in the past year.

Accessibility is considered but is not overriding. LC does not have “faculty” but our primary customers, members and staff of US Congress, serve the same role in determining how resources are spent.

Accessibility relates to delivery mechanism, not acquisition of special collections materials.

Accessibility will be a higher priority in the future.

Metadata quality is also a high priority criteria.

Most of the existing stats on use are incomplete and difficult to use (ARTstor being the exception.)

Presence of descriptive metadata is becoming an important criteria. We have acquired too many undescribed images in the past.

These criteria mainly apply to commercial databases; for locally created databases, most important are *expected* use/demand, difficulty/cost, cleared copyright, subject area (Image quality is presumably under our control).

We have found that image collections serve departments/disciplines outside of projected use.

27. Please indicate how important each of the following digital image collection characteristics is for teaching, learning, and research at your institution. Please select one choice per row. N=74

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ease of use (i.e., incorporating images into presentations, course websites, etc.)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having all the images online in one place</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to integrate images with other media</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having access to a large database of images</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having rights to use images in websites, course sites</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of technical assistance</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to integrate images from several sources</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having rights to use images in publications</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having image alt text/captions to provide accessibility</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Responses</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments N=6

Metadata is crucial to the quality of an image database. Size of database is of no value unless images are easily found using a variety of terms and natural language.

My unit provides technical and content creation and description services. The choices listed above would be evaluated by reference librarians/selectors.

Quality metadata accompanying images is highly important.

Technical assistance is an issue with more complicated displays used for interactive medical images.

These answers relate to commercial image databases. Don’t have faculty feedback for locally created collections.

Value for money is increasingly important as LC saw a reduction in congressional funding for collection purchases in 2013.

**ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

28. Please enter any additional information that may assist the authors’ understanding of your library’s digital image collections and services. N=24

ArchNet Digital Library is part of Archnet.org, a collaboration between the Aga Khan Trust for Culture and Aga Khan Documentation Center at MIT. The Program Head of the Documentation Center is also the director of the Digital Library. Curation of the Digital Library is done in the Documentation Center.

At the University of Washington, digitized Special Collections materials are funded and supported by the Main Library. Image database subscriptions (ARTstor, APImages, and Camio) are funded by the Main Library, the UW Bothell Library, and the UW Tacoma Library, according to a 3-campus funding formula. Instructional image collections (traditional Visual Resources Collections, including images licensed from vendors such as Scholars Resource, Art on File, Archivision,
Bridgeman, Davis, etc., and scanned images from print or other materials) are funded and supported by the UW Bothell Library (in the UW Libraries system), the School of Art, and the College of Built Environments.

Digital Library processes and policies are in the process of becoming more integrated into other library departments.

It’s difficult to know the larger image environment on campus. We know that other projects are happening and we hear of other repositories on campus, but building a 1-stop source for all campus images eludes us. Other entities on campus seem determined to go it alone. Increasingly our faculty undertake image searching, retrieval, and production on their own.

Map Collection manages their own digital image collections in terms of acquisition and access with technical support from DNS. Archives is a hybrid. Commercial image systems/collections are handled by selectors, Acquisitions Unit, Serials Unit, Electronic Resources Librarian in varying degrees. Each area/subject department can, and sometimes does, create their own digital image collections or databases and mount them on personal, campus, or commercial systems without consulting or working with DNS. The Preservation unit listed this priority “Develop Library policy for preserving and managing digital resources” in their 2000 collection development statement, but that unit is not officially charged to work with digital image collections. An “Electronic Collections Committee” last met in early 2006. The Electronic Resources Librarian serves on the library Collection Development and Management Committee (CDMC) but to my knowledge there is no separate electronic resources collection development/management statement.

New policy on digitization projects will affect our developments in this area.

Our currently available locally digitized collections can be found at http://digitalcollections.mcmaster.ca/. Six digital collections (including both images and textual materials) sourced from our collections are available via Gale Cengage’s Archives Unbound platform. We were also contributors to Adam Matthew’s “First World War: Personal Experiences” collection.

Our Digital Collections department is part of the Libraries Systems department, but coordinates the digitization with the curators of the special collection libraries on campus. The acquisition of licensed content for users is decided within the main library’s general collections staff on campus and not in systems.

Our digital image collections are for the most part not treated separately from our other commercial databases and our other digital assets.

RE: collection development, the most important thing is content. All else is secondary.

Responses are based on in-house special collections content and in-house production, mounted in a content management system.

Some scattered thoughts: In my library, we have prioritized the creation of digital images, but not the acquisition of licensed digital image resources nor services focused on digital images (locally created or licensed). Creation/acquisition, use, and management of digital images is so integrated with other types of collections and services that it is quite difficult to pull this apart and speak exclusively about digital image collections and services. Furthermore, for better or worse, there are very different practices and services, and completely different staff involved with managing licensed vs. locally created digital collections. So the questions in this survey that coupled “digital image databases/resources” were difficult to answer accurately.

The authors might want to consult with visual resources curators; they have been dealing with these issues since the early 1990s. Check the Visual Resources Association web site.
The born digital Media Relations Photo Collection we have been offered (527,000 images) dwarfs our entire inventory of previously scanned photographs. We are focused on clearing our backlog of existing but undescribed files from previous digitization work and establishing scaleable workflow for existing and incoming born digital materials.

The library is undergoing a process of reviewing and defining its digital library policies and practices, including digital images management.

The Library of Congress conducts a number of Web archiving projects that have added 6.9 billion “Web documents” including many images. Projects to digitize LC’s own analog collections have resulted in 37.6 million digital files of which the National Digital Newspaper Program accounts for 5 million, including many images. The World Digital Library accounts for 336,000 “managed images.” The very large scale of these projects, even though not all the items are images, tends to swamp the licensed collections.

We are in a period of tremendous transition and hope to begin to offer a robust digital repository that meets all the criteria discussed in the survey, because our researchers need us to.

We did not include licensed collections that we do not host (e.g., ARTstor and AP Photos) because we license it but we do not hold it and we felt it would skew our responses.

We found ourselves having difficulty answering questions that separate LibGuides from “web pages.” We offer high quality, in-depth subject research guides on our Libraries’ website (WordPress-based). In terms of quality and nature, these research guides are on par with LibGuides. However, they are not hosted at libguides.com.

We have a combination of commercial, licensed remotely hosted collections and local digitized collections.

We have a very old homegrown digital image database.

We have transferred the digital images from our previous Media Library Catalogue (home grown) into Shared Shelf (ARTstor). We are now cataloguing into this product and our local and commercial collections are available as a collection through ARTSTOR2. The Centre for Scholarly Communication facilitates the creation of unique digital collections showcasing University Library, museum, and archival primary holdings and provides the means to share our scholarly output globally.

We subscribe to ARTstor’s Shared Shelf service which allows us to ingest our locally managed collection into the Shared Shelf cataloging environment thereby offering access to the combined general ARTstor collection plus the Yale-VRC collection through the ARTstor interface; A growing percentage of VRC activity is devoted to digitizing materials from our Study Photograph Collection (our entire slide and photo collection was moved to off-site storage in 2007 when the VRC moved to a renovated office in the Arts Library. We have a full range of finding aids for our analog collections, which have made the collection accessible to patrons. We regularly recall analog materials at patron request and digitize materials for online access. There is a steady decline in traditional faculty requests, with the exception of new course offerings in areas not currently well served by existing VRC or ARTstor collections, especially in non-Western subject areas. We license the entire Archivision Archive as a service to the School of Architecture, which is available through our local search interface and through the ARTstor interface.
**RESPONDING INSTITUTIONS**

| University of Alabama          | McGill University          |
| University at Albany, SUNY    | McMaster University       |
| Arizona State University      | University of Manitoba    |
| Auburn University             | University of Maryland    |
| Boston Public Library         | University of Massachusetts, Amherst |
| Boston University             | Massachusetts Institute of Technology |
| Boston College                | University of Michigan    |
| Brigham Young University      | Michigan State University |
| University of British Columbia| National Library of Medicine |
| Brown University              | North Carolina State University |
| University of Calgary         | Northwestern University   |
| University of California, Irvine | University of Notre Dame   |
| University of California, Los Angeles | Ohio University         |
| University of California, Riverside | University of Oklahoma    |
| University of California, San Diego | Oklahoma State University |
| Case Western Reserve University| University of Ottawa      |
| University of Chicago         | University of Pennsylvania|
| University of Colorado at Boulder | Pennsylvania State University  |
| Colorado State University     | Purdue University         |
| Cornell University            | University of Rochester   |
| University of Delaware        | Rutgers University        |
| Duke University               | University of South Carolina |
| University of Florida         | Southern Illinois University Carbondale |
| Georgia Institute of Technology | Syracuse University     |
| University of Guelph          | Temple University         |
| University of Hawaii at Manoa | University of Tennessee   |
| Indiana University Bloomington| University of Texas at Austin |
| University of Iowa            | Texas A&M University      |
| Iowa State University         | Texas Tech University     |
| Johns Hopkins University      | University of Virginia    |
| Kent State University         | Virginia Tech             |
| University of Kentucky        | University of Washington  |
| Université Laval              | Washington University in St. Louis |
| Library of Congress           | University of Wisconsin—Madison |
| Louisiana State University    | Yale University           |
| University of Louisville      | York University           |
REPRESENTATIVE DOCUMENTS
Auburn University Digital Library

Auburn University Photographs Collection
Photographs of notable people, places, and events in the history of Auburn University, from the late 19th century onwards.

Auburn University Glomeratas:
Viewable pages of Auburn University's yearbooks dating back to 1897.

The Eugene B. Sledge Collection
This collection consists of materials on the life and career of Eugene B. Sledge.

The digitization of most of these collections is funded by a gift from EBSCO Industries.
August 2013: Paper Work
Jerry Reed

Jerry Reed's black and white abstractions have a quiet lyricism about them—the antithesis of the burgeoning noise of instant and fleeting images that surround us today. Like a perfectly crafted poem, each photograph is a delicate and intriguing balance of forms and shapes, lights and darks. Reed begins by creating a sculpture from paper, which he then illuminates with a hard light. He then photographs these paper constructions close-up, focusing on the contrast of highlights and shadows and the subtle gradations in between. Through this process, he achieves varied effects: whereas some of the photographs capture the dimensionality of the paper constructions, others celebrate the flatness that can be achieved through lighting and framing. Collectively, the photographs underscore the beauty, and even sensuality, of paper as it unfurls, undulates, and turns before our eyes.

To say that Reed's work is strictly a poem to formalism, however, is to ignore the deeper meanings that it communicates. Starting with the connotations of the series title, "Paper Work" and broadening to the concept for the project, "Paper Work" not only relies on the paper constructions in Reed's photographs (and perhaps unwittingly to the paper on which he prints his photographs) but also to the tedious document and forms that constitute "paperwork" in our society. More broadly, the artist argues for social responsibility by re-using paper from other projects to create the constructions he photographs.

His re-use of paper can also be viewed as a metaphor for Reed's engagement with artistic predecessors. He cites his inspirations as the 1920s abstract photographers Francis Bruguières (an American who photographed paper cut-outs) and Jaroslav Röšler (of the Czech avant-garde), as well as contemporary photographer Alistair Morrison. Borrowing from and reinventing aspects of photography's history, Reed creates something altogether new and woolly.
The University of Florida Digital Collections (UFDC) hosts more than 300 outstanding digital collections, containing over 8 million pages, covering over 77 thousand subjects in rare books, manuscripts, antique maps, children's literature, newspapers, theses and dissertations, data sets, photographs, oral histories, and more for permanent access and preservation. Through UFDC, users have free and Open Access to full unique and rare materials held by the University of Florida and partner institutions.

The UF Libraries encourage and support faculty collaboration on digital collections and digital scholarship.

UFDC is constantly growing with new resources, new scholarship, and system enhancements to the Open Source SobekCM Software. The search box above searches across all the digital resources in all the collections. By clicking on the icons below, you can view and search individual collections.
Welcome to Image Collections Online

Image Collections Online serves as a showcase for various image collections curated by the libraries, departments, and cultural institutions of Indiana University. Launched in December 2011, Image Collections Online includes historical photographs from the Liberian Collections and images of cultural objects from the Lilly Library at IU Bloomington. As the site grows we will be adding new collections from throughout the Indiana University community, as well as bringing in existing collections such as:

- IU Archives Photograph Collection
- The Charles W. Cushman Photograph Collection
- The Frank M. Hohenberger Collection
- The Hoagy Carmichael Collection
- Steelmaker-Steeltown: U.S. Steel Gary Works Photograph Collection, 1906-1971

For access to additional image collections from IU, please visit IU Digital Library Program Collections and Digital Collections of IUPUI University Library.

Image Collections Online is supported by the Indiana University Digital Library Program, a partnership between the IU Libraries and University Information Technology Services.
The University of Louisville Digital Collections include rare and unique images, documents, and oral histories from our archives, special collections, and other campus units. Learn more.
Welcome to Digital Collections

Your portal to digitized materials from the collections of the University of Maryland Libraries. The University of Maryland’s Digital Collections support the teaching and research mission of the University by facilitating access to digital collections, information, and knowledge.

Search the collections

Collections, Gateways, and Tools

Collections include groupings of digital files of original photographs, correspondence, literary manuscripts, digital videos, and other formats discoverable within one searchable database. Gateways and Tools consist of theme-based bibliographies maintained by Library faculty (such as the Maryland History and Culture Bibliography) and links to digital resources (Internet Archive and the Maryland Map Collection).

Subject browse terms

Browse the University of Maryland’s Digital Collections by subject terms based on the broad collection strengths of the University of Maryland Libraries. These subject terms will return results from across the University's digital collections. Once a browse term is selected, the results may be limited by media type, collection, or dates.

What's new @ Digital Collections?

- French Pamphlets, Education, Thermometers, and Goodbyes
- Unstuck in the Mud: Concrete Tasks for Forward Motion
- Born Digital Working Group: Configuring FRED

This is a project of Digital Stewardship > University Libraries > University of Maryland
Explore more than forty digital projects covering a wide array of subjects including, art, architecture, history and literature, engineering, medicine, maps, music, and urban design.

Latest Additions:

- The archives of the Civil Code Revision Office (April 2013)
- Cultural Practises of Intermediarity (Oct 2012)
- Telling Stories: Nursery Rhymes, Fables and Fairy Tales from the Sheila R. Bourke Collection (Sept 2012)
- Pulling Strings: Roslynne Stern Puppet Collection (July 2012)
- The Redman of McGill: Casey Wood - Avid Collector, Magnanimous Donor and Generous Friend (May 2012)
- Celebrating the Winter Olympics 1924-2006 (April 2012)
- Under Library Prints Collection (Mar 2012)
- McGill Yearbooks

By alphabetical order:

- Canadian Architect and Builder Online
- Industrial Architecture of Montreal
- Art Deco and the Decorative Arts in the 1920s and 1930s
- In Search of Your Canadian Past: The Canadian County Atlas Project
McMaster University Library Digital Collections

Historical Perspectives on Canadian Publishing

This site features nearly 100 case studies – short essays written by Canadian scholars – on topics relating to Canadian publishing. The case studies are augmented with letters, photographs, multimedia, and other materials from publishers’ and authors’ archives at McMaster University Library, Queen’s University Archives, and The Thomas Fisher Rare Book Library (University of Toronto).

Peace & War in the 20th Century

This interactive, thematic website on Peace and War in the 20th Century has been designed to be informative, educational and engaging. Its major themes have been organized into the opposing paths of Waging Peace and Waging War. Within the themes, case studies provide context and insights. International conflicts are represented by the images and official records available in McMaster University Library’s archives. We invite you to explore Peace and War in the 20th Century.

World War, 1939-1945, German Concentration Camps and Prisons Collection


Digital Russell
Images from the History of Medicine (IHM) provides access to over 70,000 images in the collections of the History of Medicine Division (HMD) of the U.S. National Library of Medicine (NLM).

The collection includes portraits, photographs, caricatures, genre scenes, posters, and graphic art illustrating the social and historical aspects of medicine dated from the 15th to 21st century.

The records from the Images from the History of Medicine database are also searchable in LocatorPlus.

The purpose of the IHM database is to assist users in finding and viewing visual material for private study, scholarship, and research. This site contains some materials that may be protected by United States or foreign copyright laws. It is the users' responsibility to determine compliance with the law when reproducing, transmitting, or distributing images found in IHM.
About DigitalPenn

DigitalPenn features unique primary source materials for teaching, research, and discovery drawn from the Penn Libraries' signature collections or from our collaborations with the Penn community and with cultural heritage institutions. It provides access to important rare books, manuscripts, photographs and multimedia sources represented by images, texts, audio files, bibliographic databases, catalogs, and archival finding aids for the study of a wide array of subjects ranging from Philadelphia neighborhoods and the life of Marian Anderson to medieval manuscripts and Shakespeare's plays. In addition, this site gathers together Penn Libraries' pre-1923 materials publicly available through the Internet Archive as well as Penn-produced scholarship accessible in the ScholarlyCommons.

Created over the past fifteen years with generous support from Penn benefactors, the National Endowment for the Humanities, the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, the Pew Charitable Trusts and other non-profit agencies, DigitalPenn, like its founding project the Schoenberg Center for Electronic Text and Image, continues to grow as we engage with partner institutions locally and around the world. DigitalPenn collections are sustained by curators who are responsible for the life cycle management and stewardship of the content within the framework of Penn's digital library infrastructure.
South Carolina Digital Libraries combines collections from libraries, archives, museums, and cultural heritage institutions across the state to promote South Carolina’s cultural and historical resources.
Our Digital Collections site offers free worldwide access to the unique primary historical and cultural resources held by the Temple University Libraries and to selected scholarly works and other publications produced at Temple. We are actively digitizing additional materials; to suggest materials you believe should be digitized, please contact us at: diglib@temple.edu

About the collections
Browse Collections by Subject
- African Americans
- Athletics and Sports
- Business and Economic Development
- Community Affairs
- Crime and the Legal System
- Culture
- Dance
- Education
- Health
- Housing
- Immigrant/Ethnic Communities
- Labor
- Music
- News Media
- Parks
- Planning
- Politics
- Protests and Demonstrations
- Religion
- Science
- Senior Citizens
- Social Services
- Temple History and Publications
- Transportation
- Women

See also
Exhibitions
- Russell Conwell & the Founding of Temple College
- The War on the Walls: Posters from the George F. Tyler WWI Collection
- Potable Power: Delaware Valley Bootlegging during Prohibition
- Works by Salvatore C. DiMarco and Gilbert J. Tucker of the Philadelphia Evening Bulletin
- Celebrating Black History & Women’s History Months
- Curious Looks at Artists’ Books

Legacy Collections
Search Digital Diamond, our legacy catalog of digital objects scanned from 2000 to 2007:
- Betancourt Slide Collection -- images of Philadelphia architecture
- C. William Fox Architectural Slide Collection -- architecture slides of important local and international buildings
- Worcester Art History Photograph Collection -- images of worldwide architectural and art history photographs ca. 1890-1920
Format-Based Collections

In order to manage the materials we select and scan from within various collections, we group them by collecting area and format of material. Some of the material in the following collections appears in the subject/theme collections listed above and is best searched and used in that subject context. Other material appears only in these collections and may be discovered through keyword and other searches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blockson Ephemera</th>
<th>Blockson Manuscripts</th>
<th>Blockson Pamphlets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blockson Photographs</td>
<td>Urban Archives Audio</td>
<td>Urban Archives Ephemera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Archives Film &amp; Video</td>
<td>Urban Archives Manuscripts</td>
<td>Urban Archives Pamphlets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Archives Photographs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Welcome!

This site features materials such as photographs, maps, newspapers, posters, reports and other media from the University of Washington Libraries, University of Washington Faculty and Departments, and organizations that have participated in partner projects with the UW Libraries. The collections emphasize rare and unique materials.

Keep current on updates and additions by subscribing to our blog.

Quick Search across all collections

What's New

- A Ballot for the Ladies
- Dream, Design, Build: The UW Architecture Student Drawing Collection, 1914-1947
- Evergreen Playground
- Fannie Taylor, Postmistress of Mora
- Great Forks Fire of 1951
- Historical Book Arts
- Homesteaders and Pioneers
- King County Snapshots
- Korean Literature 1945-1950
- Labor Archives Digital Resources Portal
- Makah Culture
- Man to Machine: Peninsula Logging
- Mountaineers Summer Outing 1920
- People without Borders
- Researching the Roadside

Looking for the TYEE Yearbooks? They can now be found here. All available years are now online!
WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY IN ST. LOUIS
Modern Graphic History Library
http://library.wustl.edu/units/spec/MGHL/

The Modern Graphic History Library at Washington University is comprised of original art and printed material from many fields of popular American pictorial graphic culture. Focusing on 20th century illustration, the collection includes artists' working materials and sketches as well as original artwork from books, magazines, and advertising.

Search MGHL Collections
Browse All MGHL Collections
About the MGHL | Contact Us | Policies and Procedures | Professional Opportunities | Student Worker Applications
Resources: Finding Aids | Digital Galleries | Periodical Collections | Links
Programming: Exhibitions and Events | Publications
Support: Donating Materials | Donating Funds
Frequently Asked Questions

Request a Catalog
The Mount Horeb Area History collection documents and depicts the early 20th century in Mount Horeb, WI. The collection is comprised of family memoirs, and books. Read about early life in Mount Horeb, when, "As long as you had a fire in the stove,..."
About the Visual Resources Collection

Introduction
The Visual Resources Collection (VRC) provides digital images in all areas of visual culture in the Arts and Humanities. The VRC Digital Library contains approximately 300,000 images and grows through faculty requests and general collection development. Faculty are welcome to submit requests for new digital content to be added to this collection. Please contact Robert Carlucci, Manager, Visual Resources Collection to learn more about request procedures or visit us in the Arts Library, 180 York Street, Room B33 on the lower level. Use of this digital collection is restricted to current faculty, students and staff of Yale University.

Using the VRC and other Yale Digital Image Collections
Access to the VRC Digital Collection is available through several portals including this page or via the Yale Digital Collections cross-collection search that encompasses content from other Yale collections including Beinecke Rare Books and Manuscripts, the Department of Manuscripts and Archives, the Department of Classics and the Lewis Walpole Library. In addition, a large selection of the VRC collection is available through ARTstor.

Image Search Features
Simple Search operates as a general keyword search, using one or more terms. The Search Results Page includes features to refine your search by criteria such as Creator or Subject Heading. Individual image pages include hypertext metadata and a selection of related images to assist patrons in discovering content. Advanced Search features include “strict/fuzzy” parameters for each metadata field to handle spelling variations or uncertain terminology. A Guided Search allows patrons to navigate the collection broadly using selected criteria such as Creator or Subject Headings. These search and discovery features are designed to assist all Library patrons, from regular users who visit this Web site often to new or infrequent users seeking additional assistance, in locating images.

Image User Tools
With CAS login, patrons have access to advanced tools including a private workspace to collect images from search sessions and means to create and edit multiple groups of images for personal use or to share with other members of the Yale community. Image groups include a slideshow feature for classroom display and a quiz feature for individual study and exam preparation. Large images (1000 pixels) are available, suitable for PowerPoint presentations.

ARTstor
ARTstor, an initiative of the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, is a subscription-based digital image resource with more than 1,200,000 images of global art and architecture. The ARTstor Web site offers a variety of features useful for teaching support. In addition to content provided by ARTstor, a selection of the Yale Visual Resources Collection is also accessible through the ARTstor interface. This combined collection total more than 1,500,000 images.

Teaching with Digital Media
The Visual Resources Instructional Technologist is available to assist faculty with classroom presentations and strategies for using images in teaching and research. Please contact Carolyn Caizzi to arrange an appointment.

Visual Resources Collection Administration
The VRC is administered jointly under the supervision of Library Access Integration Services (LAIS) and the Robert B. Haas Family Arts Library reflecting the fundamental interconnections between academic content and technology in 21st-Century library services.

History of the Visual Resources Collection
The Visual Resources Collection (VRC) was established in the 1930’s to support the curriculum in the fine arts. Study photographs were purchased from the major European photo vendors including Alinari, Brogi and Anderson. The photograph collection eventually reached more than 150,000 items covering every aspect of global art and architecture with particular strengths in European architecture, sculpture and painting. In the early days of the
slide collection, 3.5 x 4 inch lantern slides, a technology invented in 1849, were the standard format used for classroom presentation. By the 1950's, 35mm color slides began to supplant this mechanism. Over the decades, the slide collection grew to more than 320,000 lantern and 35mm slides with particular strengths in areas of faculty expertise such as Medieval and Renaissance art as well as the arts of Asia. By 2002, the transition to digital technology was underway as new media formats were introduced in the Yale classroom. In 2007, the Office of the Provost provided major funding to digitize 100,000 slides and 30,000 photographs. In less than a decade, the VRC digital image collection has grown to approximately 300,000 images of global art and architecture, one of the largest academic collections in the world.

Accessing the Analog Slide and Photograph Collections
The analog photograph and slide collections were transferred from Street Hall, the former office of the VRC, to the Library Shelving Facility in 2008 and are stored in optimal conditions for long-term preservation. Catalog records for these collections are available in ORBIS and include finding aids to assist patrons in locating materials. Finding Aids are also available in the Finding Aids Database. Please contact Robert Carlucci or Maria Zapata for assistance requesting materials from the analog collections.

Using the Collection Off-Campus
Click the CAS button on the upper left of the page and enter your NetID and password to use digital collections from off-campus. Other library databases (journals, reference resources, etc.) require the use of VPN.
Shared Digital Image Collections
Alabama Mosaic is a repository of digital materials on Alabama's history, culture, places, and people. Its purpose is to make unique historical treasures from Alabama’s archives, libraries, museums, and other repositories electronically accessible to Alabama residents and to students, researchers, and the general public in other states and countries. More...

You can add an Alabama Mosaic search box to your Website. Here’s how.
Photographs of the Maricopa People by Daniel Boone Linderman

As a missionary, teacher, and photographer, Daniel Boone Linderman documented the Pima and Maricopa during the early 20th century. His photographs center on Native American ethnography, particularly showcasing mission schools, group portraits of families and their homes, and farming.

Visit Arizona Digital Newspaper Program

The years 1859-1922 represent a time when Arizona grew up. A broad documentation of this valuable history can be found in the newspapers of the day. In accordance with their mission to ensure that Arizona's history is documented and preserved, and in joint effort with the National Endowment for the Humanities and Library of Congress, The Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records is proud to contribute to the National Digital Newspaper Program by digitizing select Arizona newspaper titles from this vital time in history in preparation for global online access. Visit http://adnp.azlibrary.gov/
Civil War in the American South

In recognition of the sesquicentennial of the start of the American Civil War, Civil War in the American South provides a central portal to access digital collections from the Civil War Era (1850-1865) held by members of the Association of Southeastern Research Libraries (ASERL).

ASERL members hold deep and extensive collections documenting the history and culture of the American South, developed over hundreds of years to support scholarly research and teaching. Many of the special or unique manuscripts, photographs, books, newspapers, broadsides, and other materials have been digitized to provide broader access to these documents for scholars and students around the world. Civil War in the American South is a collaborative initiative to provide a single, shared point of access to the Civil War digital collections held at many individual libraries.

This site currently links to more than 10,000 items from 30 libraries. The shared collection continues to grow, providing ready access to a world-class collection of primary source materials from the American Civil War era.
The University of Colorado Digital Library, a collaborative project between the University of Colorado System and institutions of the Auraria Higher Education Campus, provides centralized access to locally created digital collections as well as additional resources for teaching, learning, and research. Collections include images, audio, and video files. Many of these resources are available to the general public.

How to Search
There are two ways to access the digital library collections. Click on the "Browse all Collections" button to view a complete list or choose a collection from the drop-down menu.

Copyright
Respect for the intellectual property of others is a critical aspect of academic ethics. The University of Colorado encourages individuals to learn about copyright law and exemptions such as fair use. More >>

Additional Digital Images:

Find Additional Research Resources:

- Auraria Campus
- Boulder
- Colorado Springs
- Health Sciences

- UCD, MSCD, CCD
- UCB
- UCCS
- HSC Anschutz Medical Campus

Help | About | Copyright | Contact | ARTstor | Creating a Collection

CU Digital Library
libdial@colorado.edu

© University of Colorado Board of Regents
The Digital Library of the Caribbean (dLOC) is a cooperative digital library for resources from and about the Caribbean and circum-Caribbean. dLOC provides access to digitized versions of Caribbean cultural, historical and research materials currently held in archives, libraries, and private collections.

Read the dLOC Fact Sheet (and more about dLOC), see the dLOC partners, read about dLOC's Protecting Haitian Patrimony Initiative, or Register for a free mydLOC user account. Please contact us with any questions.

dLOC is developing a collaborative funding model. Support dLOC financially by becoming an institutional or a personal member.

**Collections**

- Partner Collections
- All Topical Collections
- News
- Caribbean Map Collection
- Caribbean Newspaper Digital Library
- Haitian Law
- Panama and the Canal
- Teaching Guides & Materials
- Vodou Archive

**News**

*The third dLOC newsletter is now online!*

In this edition you can learn about the latest dLOC milestones, new collaborations for outreach, content and sustainability, the latest dLOC partner training and summaries of content available in dLOC.

**2012-2013 Financially Supporting dLOC Members**

Thank you to the following institutions who have already joined to support dLOC with membership for this year. dLOC relies on the institutional support of its partners and the financial support of institutional and personal members to continue to sustain and grow this important resource.
Welcome to the LOUISiana Digital Library.

The LOUISiana Digital Library (LDL) is an online library of Louisiana institutions that provides over 144,000 digital materials. Its purpose is to make unique historical treasures from the Louisiana institution’s archives, libraries, museums, and other repositories in the state electronically accessible to Louisiana residents and to students, researchers, and the general public in other states and countries. The LOUISiana Digital Library contains photographs, maps, manuscript materials, books, oral histories, and more that document history and culture. We hope that you find the items in the Digital Library as diverse and interesting as the people and places in Louisiana.

...more about LDL

How To Get Started.

There are several different ways items can be accessed in the Louisiana Digital Library. Collections may be Browsed or Searched. By choosing one of the criteria on the left menu bar, you may browse across all of the collections based on your selection. The browse options provide hyperlinked results to more specific collections and items based on the chosen criteria.

Collections may be searched by using either the Search box located in the upper-right of the page or the Advanced Search located on the menu bar at the top of the page. The Advanced Search allows for item level queries and provides results to individual items based on the search criteria. The Advanced Search feature also allows for collection specific searches.
Digital Image Service Points
Digital Production Services (DPS) is responsible for digitization of materials in support of scholarship, research, and teaching, as well as metadata production and consulting services for Library and academic units undertaking digital projects.

DPS work ranges from projects designed in collaboration with Brown researchers and the Library’s Center for Digital Scholarship to the digitization of “signature collections” from Brown’s world-renowned Special Collections. DPS also offers imaging and metadata consulting for grants and other University initiatives.

DPS Staff
- Ann Caldwell, Department Head
- Catherine Busselein, Metadata Librarian
- Lindsay Elgin, Digital Photographer
- Robin Wheelwright Ness, Digital Production Specialist
- Ben Tyler, Digital Imaging Specialist

DPS Department Blog
- **Curio**: imaging rare, unusual, and intriguing objects at the Brown University Library
Digital imaging technology has become ubiquitous, but in order to achieve high quality image capture and reproduction DPS adheres to imaging best practices established by the cultural heritage and library communities at large. In addition to the image capture stage, color management workflows and principles are also important to implement in relation to viewing conditions (display environments and monitors) and printing (output devices and materials; DPS does not offer printing services).

Handling guidelines provide instruction on the proper handling of archival and special collections materials during digitization, and are modified for specific projects based on the materials being digitized and their condition. Review of the condition of the materials takes place prior to the beginning of a digitization project and is done in consultation with Preservation staff. Special care is taken with fragile, rolled, or oversize materials.

The various tasks performed by Digital Production Services are tracked by an in-house project management system. From the creation of a digital surrogate entry to the publication of its METS record, the system also records user permissions, equipment and software registration, and provides links directly into the Brown Digital Repository (BDR). Security is integrated with a campus-wide authentication system.

### RECOMMENDED IMAGE FORMATS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File Format</th>
<th>Color Space</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Master&quot; (archival) file</td>
<td>8-bit uncompressed TIFF (Tagged Image File Format)</td>
<td>Adobe RGB For images: 600 ppi (min.) at actual size; for text: 300 ppi (min.) at actual size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web-based display files</td>
<td>JPEG and JPEG2000</td>
<td>sRGB JPEG: assorted thumbnail sizes; JPEG2000: varies per view, up to max resolution of &quot;master&quot; file.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DPS IMAGING EQUIPMENT & SOFTWARE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use Cases</th>
<th>Hardware</th>
<th>Software</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flatbed scanning</td>
<td>For flat, printed materials and photographs</td>
<td>Epson Expression 1640XL and 10000XL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative scanning</td>
<td>Slides and 4x5 transparencies</td>
<td>Nikon Coolscan 5000 (slides); transparency adaptors for Epson Expression 10000XL &amp; 1640XL (4x5 transparencies)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reprographic cameras</th>
<th>For oversize materials and materials requiring special handling (e.g., book cradles)</th>
<th>PowerPhase FX+ scanback with Schneider Apo-Digitar 120mm lens; Leaf Aptus–II 12 digital back with Schneider Apo-Digitar 72mm lens</th>
<th>Phase One 3.6 (Mac OS 9), Capture One (Mac OS X)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portable digital cameras</td>
<td>For shots of 3D or in-situ objects/artifacts (also used for events photography)</td>
<td>Leaf Aptus–II 12 digital back with Mamiya/Phase One 645 camera &amp; 80mm lens; Canon 5D Mark II with assorted lenses</td>
<td>Capture One, Adobe Photoshop, Adobe Photoshop Lightroom</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IMAGING TARGETS, CALIBRATION/PROFILING SOFTWARE, & RECOMMENDED REFERENCES

Imaging Targets (color swatch and scale references):

- X-Rite ColorChecker cards
- Kodak Color Card
- Image Science Associates targets

Calibration/Profiling software:

- ProfileMaker & i1Profiler (monitors)
- SilverFast IT8 profiling feature + X-Rite neutral gray reference (flatbeds)

Recommended References:

Reference Guides:

- Columbia University Libraries, *Imaging Standards*
- Cornell University Library, *Moving Theory Into Practice: Digital Imaging Tutorial*
- Federal Agencies Digitization Guidelines Initiative (FADGI): Digitization Guidelines
- FADGI, *Digital Conversion — Documents and Guidelines, A Bibliographic Reference* (PDF)
- Getty Research Institute, *Introduction to Imaging* (Revised Edition)
- UPDIG Coalition, *Universal Photographic Digital Imaging Guidelines*
- U.S. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA), *Technical Guidelines for Digitizing Cultural Heritage Materials: Creation of Raster Image Master Files* (PDF)

Specifications:

- International Color Consortium (ICC color profiles)
Outside Services
- Audio Digitization (Music Library)
- Video Digitization (CIS/ITG)

Quick Links:
- Ask a Librarian
- Josiah (Catalog)
- WorldCat / easyBorrow
- Databases A–Z
- ejournals A–Z
- eBooks
- Course Reserves (OCRA)
- MoBUL for your phone

Research:
- Getting Started
- Guides: by Subject / Course
- Videos & DVDs
- Instructional Images

Relevant Books:
- *Real World Color Management*
The Taylor Family Digital Library (TFDL) Digital Media Commons consists of space and technology for students, faculty and the public to explore emerging digital collections, high-end Apple hardware, edit suites, touch tables, cutting-edge gaming PCs, retro and contemporary gaming consoles and software. It facilitates and provides support for exploration and creation of new media forms such as animation, soundscapes, graphics design, and digital video and maintaining a progressive position in new media applications within an academic environment. Come by to use our equipment and applications for your next project, get support from our friendly staff, or explore our innovative emerging technologies.

New! Book A/V Edit Suites, Gaming PCs and Console Stations

Workshops
Check out workshops on how to create cool stuff!

Tutorials
Tutorials for media creation.

Projects
Check out what’s been created at the DMC.

Hardware & Software
Equipment and software available for use in the DMC.

Search Video Games

Search Collections

Services

Hours & Locations

About Us

Get Help
Digital Collection Services

The DLC provides a variety of services for developing, managing, and promoting archival and scholarly assets.

Digital Collection Support: Hosting & Customization

- Creation, hosting, support, and stewardship of digital objects and collections within the UF Digital Collections
- All collections are:
  - Full-text searchable
  - Browseable with browse views by title and thumbnail, and by new items
  - Serve text, image, multimedia, audio, video, files, data sets, and more within the same collection
  - Support for multiple file types (text, image, oversized images, video, audio)
  - Powered by rich metadata support, with automatic transformations for maximum interoperability
- Customization of collections:
  - Customized collection pages and supplemental pages
  - Customized interfaces (e.g., ILOC and Mathewson Historical Museum)
  - Collections benefit from central infrastructure - new technologies are added for all (e.g., map search using the Google Maps API)
  - Internationalized/localized interfaces in English, Spanish, and French

Sharing/Access

All records are open and fully available for download and use under the terms of Creative Commons CC0.

- Open Archives Initiative (OAI) compliant
- UFDC supports the OAI protocol for metadata harvesting (OAI-PMH). OAI allows anyone to obtain records from UFDC for inclusion in their collections. The base URL is: http://ufdc.ufl.edu/titlestom_oai.aspx?
- Using this base URL, you can add OAI verbs and other commands. For example:
  - Verbs: Identify
  - Verbs: ListMetadataFormats
  - Verbs: ListSets
- URIs provide unique and constant links ready for bibliographic citation
- Records for all collections and items
  - available as METS/MODS, MARCXML, and qualified Dublin Core
  - all formats are accessible online
  - a batch record feed in MARCXML is available here
  - all records and feeds include thumbnail icons. Catalogs can show the thumbnails along with records to greatly assist patrons in browsing and reviewing materials
  - RSS feeds for all items and all new items
  - Worldcat reach via Google, Google Scholar, and other search engines
  - Rostered in providing Open Access to archival and scholarly assets
  - Notification tools for new content alerts via RSS
  - Increase archival and scholarly assets’ audience and access
  - Image zoom and pan viewing capabilities
  - Ability to serve a variety of organizational options for objects including:
    - hierarchical groupings allowing collocation by Area, topic, and geographical hierarchies for College, Department
    - monographic, page-turning functionality
    - multiple files connected to one descriptive record
    - one item, one record
    - mapping between various versions of a single work
  - Journal volume, issue, title organization
  - Ability to apply controlled vocabularies and name headings

Scholarly Publications & Rights management

- Journal hosting with Open Journal Systems (OJS), supported through the UF Libraries (more information)
- Authors retain the copyright holders
- Library requests right to distribute content
- Assist with understanding copyright status of work
- Creative Commons licensing capabilities
- Assist with mandatory deposit of electronic-only publications to the Library of Congress

Digitization of materials held by the Libraries

- Digitization equipment operated within a color managed environment for artifactional fidelity:
  - large format scanner for maps
  - slide scanner
  - open book scanner for fragile objects
  - high speed sheet feed scanner
  - flat bed scanners
  - digital photography studio for large or 3-D objects
- Digitization experts
- Software Suite - the Digitization Toolkit
  - Customizable Software Toolkit for contributing digitized materials
  - Digitization manual
  - Presentation about the Toolkit
- Publishing
  - Convert traditional publications into digital publications
  - Provide a means for born digital publication
  - For other materials: UITF Faculty Media Lab (prices)

Digital Curation & Stewardship

Records for all collections and items:
- URI’s provide unique and constant links ready for bibliographic citation
- Internationalized/localized interfaces in English, Spanish, and French
- Collections benefit from central infrastructure - new technologies are added for all (e.g., map search using the Google Maps API)
- Serve text, image, multimedia, audio, video, files, data sets, and more within the same collection
- Support for multiple file types (text, image, oversized images, video, audio)
- Powered by rich metadata support, with automatic transformations for maximum interoperability
- Customization of collections:
  - Customized collection pages and supplemental pages
  - Customized interfaces (e.g., ILOC and Mathewson Historical Museum)
  - Collections benefit from central infrastructure - new technologies are added for all (e.g., map search using the Google Maps API)
  - Internationalized/localized interfaces in English, Spanish, and French
Internships (internship policy; available internships; internships with partners: Samuel Proctor Oral History Program)
Content Knowledge & Outreach
Collection Support & Processing
Metadata Coordination
Preservation & Archiving
"Bit level archiving"
"Multiple redundant data back-ups provided"
"Migration of various formats supported"
"Ability to archive all format types"
"System interoperability"
"Training on technologies for digital stewardship"
"Digital curation for data sets"
"Digital curation for digital scholarly works (following established guidelines to support evaluation)"
"Digital humanities (speculative computing) project collaboration and support; see example projects:
Curated online exhibits: by scholars and students with scholarly review
Digital Library of the Caribbean: Teaching Materials Collection: graduate humanities students developed materials as part of course work
Arts of Africa: curated online collection from library and museum materials, with related physical exhibit
Digital Vodou: curated scholarly archive of primary and research materials
Supporting interns, fellows, and visiting scholars
Collaborative support on projects (speculative; reflective; interpretive; analytical) and to support existing research enhanced with technology"

Authors and Patrons
myUFDC
self-submission system for the UF Institutional Repository
user tools for saving searches, creating and sharing collections, and many others
reference support by email, phone, and mail for all collections

Standards Compliant
METS Metadata
Digitization standards followed:
High resolution TIFFs or A/V for archiving
JPEG2000 format for viewing

Digital Collection Development, Project Management, and Post-Development Tools & Services
Funding: Grants and assistance locating other funding opportunities
Project Development Resources
Copyright and permissions
Digital Collection development template
Sample Operational Workflow for digitization (draft)
Information for potential partners
Evaluation
Online usage statistics provide usage counts overall, by collection, and by item
Annual usability studies
User feedback
Promotion
Collection Findable through main UFDC site, which is already optimized for search engines; through the UF Catalog; through WorldCat
Promoted through the UFDC Blog; through RSS feeds; through press releases and training classes
Development of promotional materials (brochures, exhibits, slideshows)
Additional
Assistance available for loading to external sites (YouTube, Flickr) for promotion
New technologies benefit all when implemented
The Digital Library Production Service (DLPS) was formed in 1996 to provide infrastructure for campus digital library collections, including both access systems and digitization services. DLPS is a unit of the University Library, and is part of the University Information Technology Division. DLPS is also responsible for the Digital Library eXtension Service (DLXS) and host services for other academic institutions and non-profit organizations.

A unit within DLPS is the Digital Conversion Unit (DCU) which digitizes original materials including books, photographs, journals, rare documents and artifacts primarily for the University Library using flatbed & planetary scanners and digital photography.

We can work with you to digitize and host image and text collections. Please start with these workflow documents.

DLPS provides access to over 200 text, image, and finding aid collections that collectively provide access to over a million digital objects.

- Staff: Departments & Contact Information
- Papers & Publications
- History & Mission
- Access & Use Policy
- Past Projects (e.g., OAIster)

Send comments and questions to DLPS Help.

Quick Links
- List of All DLPS Collections
- View Usage & Size Statistics
- Search Items Within Collections
- Featured Digital Collections
- Common Collection Access Problems

Page maintained by Kat Hagedorn
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SHANTI promotes innovation at the University of Virginia through the use of advanced digital technologies in research, teaching, publishing and collaborative engagement... Read more

Featured Programs

Mandala Project
A scholar’s system for creating powerful websites without the programmers... Read more

Digital Departments
The initiative employs a two-pronged approach to creating and sustaining a dynamic digital infrastructure... Read more

UVA Community Tools
Digital technologies which are powerful, easy to use, reliable, interoperable, and suited for academic use... Read more

UVA Knowledge Base
Instructions, models, and tips for using digital technologies in research, teaching, and engagement at UVA... Read more

Curricular Activities
Courses to broaden student understanding of digital technology... Read more

SHANTI Networking
This website portal with its profiling and networking facilities for UVA people, projects, and organizations... Read more

Events Calendar

Subscribe to SHANTI Newsletter

A Featured UVa Organization
Virginia Center for Computer Music (VCCM)
The VCCM is the premier audio facility at the University of Virginia. Founded in 1988 and housed in UVA's Department of Music, the Center focuses on music creation using emerging technologies of our time. The Center supports advanced research projects and creat...
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
Visual Services / Image Library
http://art.washington.edu/about/artfacilities/visual-services/image-library/

Visual Services / Image Library

ABOUT
The School of Art Image Library (SoAIL) houses a physical work space for faculty who use the 3,5mm slides from a collection of 240,000+ 35mm slides of artwork and other image cataloging staff.

The slide collection is available to School of Art faculty, students, and students of other UW faculty and graduate students and researchers at the Henry Art Gallery, the Burke Museum, and the Seattle Art Museum. The collection is currently open to UW alumni, other institutions, or the public.

Access to the School of Art’s digital image database (soaMIDD) is limited to currently School of Art faculty and graduate students and is arranged through the Director of Visual Services whose office is in the SoAIL.

BLOG
The SoAIL blog, provides information about image resources for teaching and image presentation tools. Updates are made approximately once a week. Check it regularly or subscribe to the RSS Feed through the link at the bottom of the blog.

SoAIL: Digital Image Database (soaMIDD)
Our digital image database, known as soaMIDD, provides images that fulfill the unique teaching needs of School of Art Faculty. It also provides access to other image collections at the UW and beyond. The soaMIDD is a local implementation of the Mallison Digital Image Database (MDID) open source software, which was developed at James Madison University.

ACCESSING THE DIGITAL IMAGE COLLECTION (soaMIDD)
The soaMIDD can be accessed from any computer with browser software, Flash Player installed, and an internet connection. An account must be set up by the Director of Visual Services. School of Art faculty, teaching assistants, and graduate students are eligible for access. Undergraduate students have indirect access through primary image reserves (PIRs) for their individual School of Art classes.

A soaMIDD user is able to search the database and view groups of selected images in slideshows. One can then choose to use the MDID Image Viewer for presentations or download images for use in other presentation software such as Adobe Acrobat (via pdf creation), PowerPoint, or Adobe’s Offline Image Viewer (OIV).

Other UW faculty and graduate students are not eligible for a soaMIDD account, but they may access digital images through the UW Libraries subscription to ArtPlant or the UW Image Bank. The Image Bank’s web page also provides links to other image collections available through the UW Libraries.
Representative Documents: Digital Image Service Points

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
Visual Services /Image Library
http://art.washington.edu/about/artfacilities/visual-services/image-library/

TRADING

Once an account has been established, the new user(s) will receive an email from the Director of Visual Services that includes information on scheduling a training session. Group training sessions for School of Art graduate students who are not teaching assistants will be scheduled at least once a year or through a request to the Director of Visual Services. More information can be found on the Visual Services Vimeo channel.

TERMS OF USE

The collections accessed through soaMSS are only for use by current UW School of Art faculty, staff, and students for purposes related to the UW's teaching missions. Other uses may be a violation of the U.S. Copyright Code. Publication is not allowed. By using the soaMSS, account holders are agreeing to these terms.

SoAII: 35mm Slide Collection

The slide collection consists of School of Art faculty, staff, and students; other UW faculty and graduate students; and museums at the Henry Art Gallery, the Burke Museum, and the Seattle Art Museum. The collection is not currently open to UW alumni, other institutions, or the public.

ORIENTATION

When visiting the SoAII for the first time, please ask a staff member for an orientation. We can also provide a map of the collection.

Slide carousels are available for checkout from the SoAII.

LOAN PERIODS AND RESTRICTIONS

Note: all slides may be placed on hold up to one week prior to checkout.

School of Art (SoA) Faculty
Loan period: 1 week
Faculty with slide-intensive courses are assigned work areas in the SoAII. People with these spaces do not need to check out slides, provided they keep slides in their SoAII work space (except for in-class use).

School of Art (SoA) Students
Loan period: 48 hours
Slides taken to offices or for use in non-class lectures must be checked out.

Non-SoA Faculty and Curators
Loan period: 24 hours

Graduate Students
Loan period: 48 hours (checkout, return does not alter loan period)

Undergraduate Students
Loan period: time of class
Slides may be borrowed only for assigned class presentations.

No browsing is allowed; students must be looking for specific artists.

FEES

Replacement of lost or overdue slides is $50 per slide. Repairs to damaged slides or slide mounts is prorated based on damage.
Digital Image Finding Aids
Related UBC Websites & Subject Guides

Click here to find many other health and medicine related subject guides.

- MedIT
  UBC. See also, under Resources, Education Technology Resource Library
- MEd Portal - Medical Education Portal
  UBC. See many resources under the Teaching Resources tab.
- Current Awareness Tools
  Guide for staying current in academic work.
- Images - Finding and Using
  Guide for finding and using images for academic work.
- Learning Technology
  Guide with links to eJournals, photos, media, and blog information.

Comments (0)

Remote access

Access to licensed UBC Library resources should be seamless from on campus. To connect from off campus please use EZproxy.

UCB licensed resources with multimedia

Authentication with a UBC Library card or Campus Wide Login is required for off-campus access. Please see remote access information in the box above.

- AccessMedicine
  Once logged in, click on the Multimedia tab from the menu at the top of the page for access to numerous video and audio resources from a variety of topics.
- Anatomy and Physiology Online (Primal Pictures via OvidSP)
  Includes 19 comprehensive modules on the human body with 3D anatomy images, and integrated physiology content with narrated animations, illustrations, dissection slides you can label, clinical case studies, the impact of aging on each body system, pronunciation guide, quizzes and much more!
- AnatomyTV (Primal Pictures via OvidSP)
  Offers 9 regional anatomy systems including over 6500 interactive 3D models of the human body with MRI correlations and detailed text linked to dissection slides, video clips and animations. Rotate any anatomical view 360° to view the interaction of nerves, muscles, ligaments and bones or add/remove layers of anatomy and label any visible structure. Built-in self-assessment quizzes are also included along with a 3D real-time body and regional study guides.
- Bates: a visual guide to physical examination, 4th ed.
  Streaming videos in WMV format. A comprehensive way to teach and refine physical examination skills using the Bates' method. Each lesson is dedicated to a specific body
• Anatomy TV and Primal Pictures
• Google Scholar with UBC eLink
• e-Therapeutics
• CINAHL
• Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews
• Canadian Virtual Health Library
• TRIP database
• EvidenceUpdates

system and includes a step-by-step demonstration of appropriate examination techniques.

• Concept Media Streaming Video Collection
  Please see the Table of Contents for the complete list of medical videos in the collection.

• JAMA
  The Journal of the American Medical Association, click on the Multimedia tab to view videos and podcasts.

• Journals@Ovid
  To search for images and diagrams within journal articles in this collection, connect at the URL above, choose the 'search fields' tab, check the 'caption text' box and enter your keyword.

• MD Consult Core Collection
  Quickly search over 50,000 high-quality medical images from the medical books on MD Consult. Images include photos, tables, graphics, and more. Connect to MD Consult using the link above, then click the 'images' tab in the top bar.

• New England Journal of Medicine
  Browse NEJM for videos and images in clinical medicine, interactive medical cases, and weekly NEJM audio summaries. Click on the Articles tab, then on the right side of the menu list, you may view the multimedia types available.

• Scientific & Medical (SMART) Imagebase
  Created by Nucleus Medical Art, SMART Imagebase provides a comprehensive collection of downloadable medical illustrations and animations, illustrations, animations, and interactive multimedia for 26 body systems/regions and 19 medical specialties.
Searching the Web

If you’ve ever looked for an image online before, you know that there are millions out there on the web. But, are they the ones you want? How many times have you found what seems to be hundreds of images of a work online, only to discover that they are all just copies of the same, poor quality image that was originally posted years ago by some anonymous blogger? And what about image size? Remember, if you’re projecting them in a classroom, you want to get a decently sized image or you will have a mass of pixellation up on your screen. Here are some tips to consider:

- Try the library’s image collections first. ARTstor has over a million images, Luna has 400,000. There’s a good chance what you need will be there and you will know that the quality will in general be high, the size will be large, and the rights will have already been taken care of.

- Is it a work in a museum collection? Try the museum’s website! Museum collections online vary considerably. Some post only a small selection, others attempt to get everything they own up online. Resolution also varies considerably. Some will post only thumbnails while others will allow large sizes to be downloaded. Rights also vary. More museums have recently begun offering their images for free, sometimes just for educational purposes, sometimes with no restrictions.

- Is it architecture or public sculpture? Flickr Commons can be a good place to look for architecture. Some very good photographers post their work there with various degrees of licensing available.

- Is the work by a contemporary artist? Many, if not most, artists now have their own websites. The size of images posted will vary as will the restrictions on use. You must obey the restrictions as set by the artist, but this is always a good place to start.

- How can I find large images and weed out the small ones? Google Advanced Image Search allows you to limit your search by size, color, aspect ratio, usage rights and more.

- How do I know if the image is ok to use? There are a lot of images on the web that have been posted with no attribution or permission. Using images responsibly is a matter of academic integrity. Please see the tabs for Copyright and Ethics of Image Use.

Finding the Web Images

Specific Resources

Art and Architecture Images on the Web: Annotated list of resources compiled by Art and Architecture Librarian Karen Bouchard.

Science Images on the Web: Annotated list of resources compiled by Art and Architecture Librarian Karen Bouchard.

Ask Karen Bouchard

It's not on chat right now. Please email your questions.

Powered by Springshare; All rights reserved. Report a tech support issue. View this page in a format suitable for printers and screen-readers or mobile devices.
This guide will help you find the images you need!

Interested in finding digital images? This guide (designed to accompany the Finding Images workshop) will lead you through the process of identifying image collections, searching for images in licensed and Cornell-built image collections, and finding images on the open web.

Cannes. Boulevard de la Croisette.
A.D. White Architectural Photographs, Cornell University Library.

Free library workshops

Free workshops on finding, managing, and manipulating images and multimedia.
(Online registration required)

Arranged by date

- Google Earth
- Google Maps
- Finding Images and Visual Media
- Google Earth
- Adobe Photoshop CS6

View Website
View Feed
Comments (0)
Collection Guides & Finding Aids

Guides, collection overviews, subject overviews, and finding aids help provide access to the Library of Congress Prints and Photographs Division's resources by summarizing holdings and offering sample images.

Division Overviews

- Library of Congress Prints and Photographs: An Illustrated Guide
- Prints and Photographs Division section of American Women: A Gateway to Library of Congress Resources for the Study of Women's History and Culture in the United States (American Memory)

Collection and Subject/Format Overviews

Collection/subject/format overviews include:

- Summaries of collections such as the Farm Security Administration/Office of War Information photographs, the New York World-Telegram & Sun Newspaper Photograph Collection, the WPA Poster collection, and more.
- Overviews of the Division's holdings in particular subject areas, such as Native Americans, women's history, photographic print processes, etc.
- Most include selected images.

Finding Aids to Contents of Particular Collections

The Prints and Photographs Division is one of several parts of the Library that provides access to finding aids using Encoded Archival Description (EAD).

View list of Prints and Photographs Division online EAD finding aids

- For further information on Library of Congress EAD finding aids, see the Library's EAD Finding Aid page.
- For further information on the EAD standard, see the EAD official site.
A guide to help you find and use images and other visual resources from the University Library. Visit us at Imageworks on the 2nd floor (east side) of the Art, Architecture & Engineering Library.

Recent Additions

Nicolaes Visscher, Orbis terrarum nova et accuratissima tabula ca. 1658
Source: Stephen S. Clark Library, University of Michigan Libraries

To date, 107 digitized maps from the Stephen S. Clark Library for Maps, Government Information and Data Services are available. Full description, including Coded Cartographic Mathematical Data, is provided. The images are all in the public domain; please attribute access and use of the digitized maps to the Stephen S. Clark Library, University of Michigan Libraries.

This database will be updated frequently. The print map collection at the Clark Library contains over 370,000 maps; as such it is the largest in the state of Michigan and one of the largest at an academic institution!
Representative Documents: Digital Image Finding Aids

http://libguides.northwestern.edu/images
Visual literacy is a set of abilities that enables an individual to effectively find, interpret, evaluate, use, and create images and visual media.

When to use what!

Guides for selecting which resource(s) to use when you are looking for a digital image.

- Images for Academic Use Prezi
- Images for Academic Use Mind Map
FAQ UCSD Libraries Digital Collections Website

How did you select the content in the Digital Collections Website?

The Digital Collections Website is a gateway to the digital collections created and acquired by the UCSD Libraries and designed to support the needs of our undergraduate and graduate students and the public. More than 16,000 digitized items - including photographs, documents and moving images - can be found here. They reflect a range of materials collected, managed and preserved by the UCSD Libraries to support teaching, learning and research. Content has been drawn from the collections of the Arts Library, Mandeville Special Collections Library and the Scripps Institution of Oceanography Library with strengths in the topical areas of Baja California, Melanesia, oceanography, Spanish Civil War, and UCSD campus history.

Where is the Digital Collections Website?

It can be found at http://libraries.ucsd.edu/digital.

Who can use the Digital Collections Website?

The UCSD community (faculty, staff and students) has access to the entire digitized collection and the public has access to all digitized collections that can be made freely accessible with respect to copyright restrictions, fair use and licensing agreements. If you have any questions about your access level, or the copyright status of collection, please use the Feedback link found at the bottom of every page.

What can I do once in the Digital Collections Website?

You can search across several collections or within a single collection, narrowing your search in a variety of ways. Or simply browse the collections by topic, format, collection or library. You can download or print single items.

What is available in the Digital Collections Website?

Currently, we feature over 16,000 digitized photographs, documents and moving images, drawn from the collections of the UCSD Libraries Art Library, Mandeville Special Collections and the Scripps Institution of Oceanography Library.

How do I report problems?

For immediate help please use the “Feedback” link found at the bottom of every page.
The items I'm interested in aren't available online. Why aren't all the items digitized?

Not all of the contents in all of the UCSD Libraries collections have been digitized. The Libraries decide what items to digitize and make available online based on a number of considerations (including the need to support teaching and research, available funding and resources for digitization, copyright restrictions that may prevent the item from being distributed online, and the scholarly significance of the item). To learn more about a particular item, or to learn how you can see it in its original form, or to find out if particular item can be digitized and made available online, please email us using the "Feedback" link found at the bottom of every page.

I see the item I'm interested in online, but for my research I need to see the physical object. How can I find out more about it?

To learn more about a particular item, or to learn how you can see it in its original form, or to find out if particular item can be digitized and made available online, please email us using the "Feedback" link found at the bottom of every page.

I'm conducting research. Can you help me find more information about a particular topic?

If you have any questions about your research, or need help finding information on a particular topic (including help on primary sources), please call, email, to chat us using the Ask a Librarian service at http://libraries.ucsd.edu/help/ask-a-librarian/index.html.

How do I report a mistake in the Digital Collections Website?

To report mistakes or errors you see on the Digital Collections Website, please use the "Send Us Your Feedback" link found at the bottom of every page.

Copyright Attribution

If you are the copyright holder and believe our website has not properly attributed your work to you or has used it without the requisite permission, please let us know. Please use the "Feedback" link found at the bottom of every page with your contact information and identify the content at issue, including a link to the relevant content if possible.

What am I permitted to do with the digitized items find on the Digital Collections Website? May I use the digitized items in a publication or on a web site?

These collections are available from the UCSD Libraries and the digital copies of the work are intended to support research, teaching and private study. All items may be protected by the U.S. Copyright Law (Title 17, U.S.C.). Usage of some items may also be subject to additional restrictions imposed by the copyright owner and/or the institution. Use the "Send Us Your Feedback" link to be directed to the originating UCSD Library to request permission to publish or obtain a reproduction of a particular digitized item.

What is the technical architecture of the Digital Collections Website?

The Digital Collections Website is an expression of the UCSD Libraries XDRE (eXtensible Digital Resource Environment) framework which is built on the following components: RDF (Resource Descriptive Framework), Solr (search server based on Lucene), JSON (Java Script Object Notation data exchange format), SRB (storage resource broker), ARK (Archival Resource Key) and a Java based development platform. For the Digital Collections Website XDRE produces XML as a web service and uses XSLT, CSS and AJAX to produce the HTML output displayed in the browser.

What is the Digital Object URL and metadata link displayed in the descriptive metadata?

The Digital Object URL and metadata view provides the user with a citable persistent universal resource locator (URL); the technical information about how the digitized item was scanned; and additional descriptive metadata associated with the item that is indexed and searched including alternative titles, abstracts and translations where appropriate.

Searching Tips

The Search (keyword) option is available from the home page and every results page.

About Search:

- For an exact phrase search, enter words and/or phrases surrounded by quotes (").
- The default search will look for these words and phrases in titles, names, dates, topics and identifiers.
- To exclude a word or phrase, put a minus sign (-) before it.
Keyword search does not support Boolean operators (AND, OR, NOT). However, entering a multiple keyword search will work like Boolean AND. Results will be returned only when ALL of the keywords appear.

- Searching is not case sensitive. For example, searching for 'dancers solomon islands' or 'DANCERS SOLOMON ISLANDS' will yield the same results.
- Very common words (a, and, the, do, of, etc.) are ignored.
- Results are sorted by relevance and the scoring of a result depends on a number of factors:
  - The more times a search term appears the higher the score. Also matches on rarer terms count more than matches on common terms.
  - If there are multiple terms in a query, the more terms that match, the higher the score.

The Advanced Search option is available from the home page and every results page.

About Advanced Search:

- User can determine which Boolean operator to apply in search:
  - Find all words (AND)
  - Find any word (OR)
  - Without these words (NOT)
- Supports limiting search to specific fields: title, name/creator or topic or expanding search to keyword (title, name/creator, topic and notes).
- Supports searching full text (when available).
- Supports searching all collections or narrowing to a specific collection or multiple collections using check-boxes.

Can I narrow my search results more than once?

Yes. As you can see below, on your search results page, the plus symbol in the Narrow Your Results box allows you to see all possible terms by which you can narrow a search. For example, if you click on the plus symbol next to Topic you will see topics assigned to the items in your search results. To narrow the result set, choose the topics of interest by clicking the box next to each topic and then complete your selection by clicking on the blue Find button at the bottom of the box. Note that you can see all of the topics associated with your result set by clicking on the highlighted Choose more.... You may at any time select additional terms or deselect terms for your results, but always complete your selection by clicking on the blue Find button at the bottom of the box.
FAQs - National Library of Medicine (U.S.). History of Medicine Division

IHM FAQs
FAQs
Images from the History of Medicine (IHM)

Jump to:
IHM Basics | Searching | Browsing | Image Information and Viewing Options | The Workspace | Printing and Downloading Images | Media Groups | Ordering Images and Finding Copyright Information | Technical Information

IHM Basics
• What is IHM?
• How do I connect to IHM?
• How do I use IHM?
• Is there bibliographic information in IHM?
• Am I required to register in IHM?
• Why should I register in IHM?
• How do I register and create a user account?
• How do I change my password?
• What happens if I forget my password?
• How do I change my user settings once I am registered?
• What are the main menu options?
• I want to return to the previous page. Where is the back button on IHM?

Back To Top

Searching
• How do I search for an order number?
• How do I conduct a keyword search?
• How do I conduct a wildcard search?
• How do I use Advanced Search?
• How do I search for images in the public domain?
• How do I search for words that contain special characters, such as accent marks, ampersands, apostrophes, parentheses, etc.?
• How do I search by date?
• How can I sort images in my retrieval set?
• How do I search by language?
• What is the Search IHM Images and Text option that I see above the Search IHM box?

Back To Top

Browsing
• How do I browse thumbnail images in IHM?
• How do I navigate the Browse All page?
• How do I narrow my search on the Browse All page?
• What else can I do from the Browse All page?
• What is meant by Browse Categories?
• What does each Browse Category represent?
• How do I navigate the Browse Categories page?

Back To Top

Image Information and Viewing Options
• How can I change the number of thumbnail images per page?
FAQs. Images from the History of Medicine (IHM)

- How can I resize thumbnail images?
- How can I make large thumbnail images larger for detail viewing?
- How do I add annotations to an image in Detail View?
- What are my options for viewing images?
- What information is available about an image?
- What does the resolution size number mean in the record?

The Workspace
- What is the Workspace?
- How do I add an image to my Workspace?
- How do I know that an image has been successfully added to my Workspace?
- Why do I only see one image at a time in my Workspace even though I added several?
- How do I manipulate the images in my Workspace?

Printing and Downloading Images
- How do I print my search results?
- I don't see a Printer Friendly link on the page. What do I do?
- How do I download a JPEG file?
- After I downloaded the image I discovered it was a zip file. What is this and how do I open the image?
- I tried to print from my browser window or by right mouse clicking and all I got was a black box or my data was not clear. What happened?
- Where can I find information on the size of the image if I don't have Adobe® Photoshop® or other imaging software?
- How can I use the images that I download?

Media Groups
- What is a Media Group?
- How do I create a Media Group?
- How do I add images to my Media Group?
- How do I work with a Media Group?
- Can others view my Media Group?
- How do I use my Media Group in a PowerPoint® presentation?
- How do I share my Media Group with others?

Ordering Images and Finding Copyright Information
- How do I obtain high resolution (TIFF) images for print publication?
- How do I locate copyright information for an image?
- I want to use an image for a research paper. Do I need to obtain permission from the Library?
- I'm publishing an image from IHM. Do I need to give credit to the Library?
- Does the Library charge fees for using images?

Technical Information
- What browsers support IHM?
- What are the system requirements for using IHM?
- How do I report an error I found on IHM?
Training and Media Lab
Digital Collections Department staff offer training and assistance to faculty and graduate students to help them get started on a digital project in the Digital Media Lab.

We offer training for slide and image scanning, video digitization, audio-recording/editing, text scanning, and basic video editing.

To make an appointment, please call (847) 467-1080 or email digitalcollections@northwestern.edu.

The lab is open M-F 9:30am - 5:00pm.

Office Visits
One-on-one training and office visits are available by request for help with the same topics that the workshops cover. In a more informal setting and give you more individual attention. Office visits are possible for those who have the necessary software installed in your office. Contact Julie Rudder for more information.

Help for People Teaching With Media
Digital Collections staff are here to teach you how to use image databases such as ARTstor and the Digital Image Library. These databases are a great way for you to share images with your students.

Images Libguide
See our Images Libguide for more a variety of resources to help with discovering and teaching about images.

The Libguide covers materials available through NUL including Teaching with ARTstor and an introduction to the Digital Image Library, as well as resources available elsewhere via Recommended Websites.
The Images Guide provides links to image databases and resources to help you find and effectively use images in your scholarly work.

### Starting Points
- **Images from UW Libraries** - licensed and local image databases available from the UW Libraries
- **Images by Subject** - image databases & websites by subject
  - All
  - Advertising
  - Architecture
  - Art & Design
  - History
  - Interdisciplinary
  - Medicine
  - Pacific Northwest (includes UW)
  - Photography
  - Science
- **Open Access Images** - images with few restrictions on use
- **Search Strategies** - find the right image for your project
- **Image Analysis** - interpret and analyze images
- **Citation & Copyright** - image citation examples and copyright information
- **Tools** - tools for editing, presenting, and working with images

### Related Links & Guides
- **Related Guides**
  - Subject Guides
  - I UW Research Guides
  - CCC Research Guides
- **UW Libraries**
  - Image Collections & Services, UWUW/Campus Library
  - UW/Campus Library Home
  - UW Libraries Home

---

*Image credits*

*What’s in the Guide*

*Image Curator*

Denise Hattwig
Contact Info:
dhattwig@uwb.edu
Campus Library, LBA-308
Send Email
Links:
Website / Blog
Profile & Guides

*UW Libraries Images for Teaching, Learning, and Research blog*

- New images: Maria del Mar’s “La Corola Invertida”
- New images: collages
- Images in DPLA, the Digital Public Library of America
- New images: North American contemporary art

View Website
View Feed
Comments (0)
About the Visual Resources Collection

Introduction

The Visual Resources Collection (VRC) provides digital images in all areas of visual culture in the Arts and Humanities. The VRC Digital Library contains approximately 300,000 images and grows through faculty requests and general collection development. Faculty are welcome to submit requests for new digital content to be added to this collection. Please contact Robert Carlucci, Manager, Visual Resources Collection to learn more about request procedures or visit us in the Arts Library, 180 York Street, Room B33 on the lower level.

Use of this collection is restricted to current faculty, students and staff of Yale University.

Using the VRC and other Yale Digital Image Collections

Access to the VRC Digital Collection is available through several portals including this page or via the Yale Digital Collections cross-collection search that encompasses content from other Yale collections including Beinecke Rare Books and Manuscripts, the Department of Manuscripts and Archives, the Department of Classics and the Lewis Walpole Library. In addition, a large selection of the VRC collection is available through ARTstor.

Image Search Features

Simple Search operates as a general keyword search, using one or more terms. The Search Results Page includes features to refine your search by criteria such as Creator or Subject Heading. Individual image pages include hypertext metadata and a selection of related images to assist patrons in discovering content. Advanced Search features include "strict/fuzzy" parameters for each metadata field to handle spelling variations or uncertain terminology. A Guided Search allows patrons to navigate the collection broadly using selected criteria such as Creator or Subject Heading. These search and discovery features are designed to assist all Library patrons, from regular users who visit this Web site often to new or infrequent users seeking additional assistance, in locating images.

Image User Tools

With CAS login, patrons have access to advanced tools including a private workspace to collect images from search sessions and means to create and edit multiple groups of images for personal use or to share with other members of the Yale community. Image groups include a slideshow feature for classroom display and a quiz feature for individual study and exam preparation. Large images (1000 pixels) are available, suitable for PowerPoint presentations.

ARTstor

ARTstor, an initiative of the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, is a subscription-based digital image resource with more than 1,200,000 images of global art and architecture. The ARTstor Web site offers a variety of features useful for teaching support. In addition to content provided by ARTstor, a selection of the Yale Visual Resources Collection is also accessible through the ARTstor interface. This combined collection total more than 1,500,000 images.

Teaching with Digital Media

The Visual Resources Technology Specialist is available to assist faculty with classroom presentations and strategies for using images in teaching and research. Please contact Carolyn Caizzi to arrange an appointment.

Visual Resources Collection Administration
Promoting Digital Collections
Charles H. Smiley was a professor of Astronomy and director of Ladd Observatory at Brown University from 1938-70. During his career, he led fourteen solar eclipse expeditions to far-flung locations around the world. Many of these expeditions are documented in scrapbooks and can be viewed in the Brown Digital Repository. The scrapbooks serve as part astronomical log recording scientific data, part photo album, and part travelogue. The Smilies, along with their good friends and colleagues, the flyers, were fastidious in their collecting of materials for inclusion in the scrapbooks. Everything from cocktail napkins to diplomatic correspondence were carefully pasted into place.

Processing the page images from the scrapbook labeled Sweden was of particular interest to me, having lived for a time in Västervik, and myself. The scrapbook documents an astronomical expedition to record the total eclipse of the sun on June 30, 1954. Brown University sent teams to Canada, Pakistan, and Sweden to record the eclipse. An Eastman Kodak executive and two Brown students made up the Canadian team. Charles H. Smiley traveled to Pakistan with Brown God student Lt. Somachali Chauhan, while Smiley’s wife Margaret, along with Mary Quirk ’22, Constance Henrietta Reed ’34, and Donald S. Reed traveled to Sweden, the key point for observations. The scrapbook tells the chronological tale of the trip through ephemera, photographs, postcards, Swedish and American newspaper clippings, letters, and reports on the expedition, all providing a portrait of mid-century travel to Sweden.

The expedition team arrived in Gothenburg on May 21st, where they spent some time sightseeing. In early June, the party departed from their lodgings at The Pia’s Hotel, after loading all manner of astronomical equipment onto the roof of a red VW bus, and traveled inland to Småland. The group stayed at Sandhöggen, a lake country estate, and searched for the best site to observe the eclipse. Once the site, in a nearby eyrie field, was decided upon, local farmers helped to construct a cement pier and platform on which to mount the photosphere.
Basic Marketing Plan Components for Promoting New Digital Collections, and for Milestones for Existing Collections

Write standard announcement with subject specialist liaison/curator

- Archive announcement in UFDCHelp: http://ufdc.ufl.edu/ufdche lp
  - http://ufdc.ufl.edu/AA00009727/
- Blog announcement
- Update/add Wikipedia entry.
- Email Cataloging to update/add collection level record
- Update/add to LibGuide(s) as appropriate
- Send announcement to subject specialist UF departments and groups
- Send announcement to the Director of Communications for standard wider distribution venues, which may include:
  - UF PR
  - UF Faculty update newsletter
  - Gator Times
  - Alligator
  - Gainesville Sun
  - Chapter One
  - Library news blog
  - UF Libraries on Facebook

Additional Lists/Venues to Consider

- Subject Specialist/Curator lists
- DISC: SUSDIGIT-L@LISTS.UFL.EDU
- SobekCM list: https://lists.ufl.edu/cgi-bin/wa?A0=SOBEKCM-UPDATES-L
- SobekCM highlights page: http://ufdc.ufl.edu/sobekcm/development/highlights
- Florida Libraries Journal: http://www.flalib.org/ (see Fall 2011 issue with story on PCM)
- Image collection lists
  - diglib@infoserv.inist.fr
  - VRA-L@LISTSERV.UARK.EDU
  - IMAGELIB@listserv.arizona.edu
- D-Lib Magazine: http://www.dlib.org/
- FACRL newsletter: http://facrl.fcla.edu/newsletter/newsletter.html
Basic Marketing Plan Components for Promoting New Digital Collections

Lyrasis member newsletter: [http://www.lyrasis.org/News/Member-News.aspx](http://www.lyrasis.org/News/Member-News.aspx)

ALA Digital Library of the week: [http://ilovelibraries.org/articles/digitallibrary](http://ilovelibraries.org/articles/digitallibrary)


Technical lists: ASERL ITDIG and CODE4LIB

DLOC list and newsletter

UF LAC newsletter: [LACNEWS-L@lists.ufl.edu](mailto:LACNEWS-L@lists.ufl.edu)

Humanities/Digital Humanities
  - Center for Humanities and the Public Sphere
  - UF Digital Humanities list

Exhibits and museum related
  - Check with Exhibits Coordinator for additional promotion, which may include: [mcn-announce@mcn.edu](mailto:mcn-announce@mcn.edu) and Smithsonian list for exhibits

GovDocs
  - Check with Documents for additional promotion, which may include: [GOVDOC-L@lists.psu.edu](mailto:GOVDOC-L@lists.psu.edu)

Newspapers
  - Check with Journalism for additional promotion, which may include: [newslib@listserv.unc.edu](mailto:newslib@listserv.unc.edu)

Maps
  - Check with the Map Library and Special Collections for additional promotion, which may include: [MAPS-L@listserv.uga.edu](mailto:MAPS-L@listserv.uga.edu)

Rare books/textual studies
  - Check with the Map Library and Special Collections for additional promotion, which may include: [SHARP-L@listserv.indiana.edu](mailto:SHARP-L@listserv.indiana.edu)

Consider Additional Opportunities

For instance:

- A PowerPoint of selected items can be shown on the public facing computers in the different libraries
- Webinars on specific digital collections and milestones tend to be popular (NEFLIN, Tampa Bay Library Consortium, and others organize these events)
Collection News
South Asia: 19th Century & Earlier Imprints

Announcing a new collection of materials, entitled “South Asia: 19th Century & Earlier Imprints”, on e-Vols. This digitization project aims to provide better and greater access to South Asia related 19th Century and earlier imprints on a variety of subjects, including folktales, philosophy, and religion. All these materials belong to the collections of Hamilton Library at the University of Hawaii at Manoa and are in the public domain.

Items in this digital collection include title pages, table of contents, and, when available, prefatory notes, and selected illustrations. Links will be provided to the catalog record, and to full text sites, if available. Full text will be provided on e-Vols, if unavailable elsewhere.

This project was conceived and coordinated by Monica Ghosh, South Asia Librarian. The digitizing and metadata was created by Philip Whitford (LIS Intern), in consultation with Jerrold Shiroma (Desktop Network Services), and Beth Tillinghast (Library Information Technology/eVols). Currently, there are 48 titles in the collection, but work on this project is expected to be ongoing, with regular additions to the content.
Inspiring Images of La Sagrada Familia

Posted on August 1, 2013 by Alison

Photographer Cyril Bays' black and white images of La Sagrada Familia speak to a place lost in time. Gaudi's final project has been under construction for the last 130 years and completion is still not anticipated for another thirteen years. The intricacies of the cathedral are captured in these images and portray an understanding of the building's slow evolution. Bays' new work is focused on inspiring his viewers; he couldn't have picked a more apt subject.

Image Source: Visual News
What's New

New Resources Bring Fashion, Renaissance to Life

In recent weeks, the Libraries have added several fascinating and useful online tools to the growing pool of resources available to the WUSTL community.

The Berg Fashion Library (Oxford University Press) is an online resource now available through the catalog, databases, and Fashion research guide. Featuring fully cross-searchable text and images, it covers world fashion and dress, from pre-history to the present day. The collection includes the Berg Encyclopedia of World Dress and Fashion online, ebooks, reference works, images, and much more. More information about the content is available here.

Oxford Bibliographies Online (OBO) – Renaissance and Reformation is also newly available online. OBO Renaissance and Reformation is a tool to quickly find significant and reliable resources (reference works, books, journals, archives, websites, and more) on selected topics in history and culture from the 14th through the 17th centuries. Articles are written by well-known and respected scholars, including Washington University’s Barbara Murphy Bryant Distinguished Professor of Art History, William Wallace (see Michelangelo Buonarroti). Updates and new entries will be added on a regular basis. To learn more, visit About OBO. WU Libraries also subscribe to OBO Islamic Studies and OBO Social Work.

December 13, 2011 in Collections & Resources, Databases & Catalogs | Permalink
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Collection/Selection Policies
Selection Policies and Procedures

Our primary purpose is to serve the needs of the University of Alabama faculty. Secondarily, we seek to serve the needs of the UA students, and thirdly, we seek to serve the community at large.

In order to sustain our progress, we must also seek funding, and digitize material which will facilitate in obtaining the support needed to deliver content and services to our target audiences.

Selection Factors

Copyright Status

The first question that must be addressed when a collection or portion of a collection is considered for digitization is: what is the copyright status of the materials? In a large collection, the copyright status might vary from item to item. This may require that different parts of a collection are more accessible than others. Most material considered for digitization and access on the open Web falls into one of the following three categories:

1. Public domain: works that never were, or are no longer covered by copyright. Works in the public domain may be used without permission. What's in the public domain?
   - All works published before January 1, 1923.
   - Works published between 1923 and 1964 and not renewed in the 28th year.
   - Unpublished works whose author died before 1932; otherwise, the term is life plus 70 years.
2. Works for which the copyright is held by The University of Alabama
3. Works for which we have secured permission to digitize

We may also digitize works for which the copyright status is unknown and which would require research to determine their copyright status. This category also includes Orphan Works, which are works for which the copyright holder has gone out of business (in the case of publishers) or cannot be located. For works in this category, we may choose to provide limited access under the doctrine of Fair Use. It may also be possible to provide access to digital surrogates for copyright-protected materials, using Fair Use or other provisions in the law. In addition to Fair Use, the Copyright Law provides specific exemptions established for archives and libraries. These provisions in the Copyright Law allow libraries to provide access to copyright protected materials without permission under certain conditions.

Even though the owner may have donated the physical item, the right to digitize the object (see the Digital_Services_Permission_Agreement) and make it freely available on the web (see our Copyright_Guidelines) are separate issues, and the owner of the current physical item may not own the copyright. Much content must be turned aside because we are unable to obtain legal permission to digitize and provide open access.

Significance of the Collection

The significance of the collection is the next consideration. Significance depends on a number of indicators, but it is always the subjective judgment of a librarian, archivist, curator, or faculty member. The following questions may be used to establish the significance of a collection:

1. Will experts attest to the importance of the collection?
2. How does it fit into current or potential research activities?
3. How is the collection currently being used? How might digitization increase use of the
collection?
4. Does the intellectual quality of the source materials warrant the level of access made possible by digitization?
5. Will digitization enhance the intellectual value of the material?

Current and Potential Users
There is some evidence that digitization always increases use, but current use is still an important indicator:

1. Are users consulting the proposed source materials?
2. Is current access so difficult that digitization will create a new audience?
3. Will electronic access to these materials enhance their value to users?
4. Does the physical condition of the originals enhance their use?
5. Are related materials widely dispersed?
6. Are there librarians or archivists who might collaborate on the project?
7. Will digitization meet the needs of local users?

Organization and Descriptive Metadata
Metadata is also a necessary part of digitization. Descriptive metadata will enable users to find the object via search and retrieval mechanisms; other kinds of metadata will be needed for preservation, administration, online delivery, and reuse. The creation of descriptive metadata generally takes 2/3 of the time needed for any digital project.

No matter how important a collection might be, the collection must be organized and described before it is ready for digitization.

1. Has the collection been organized and processed?
2. Are there MARC records or some other form of catalogued records for the collection?
3. Is there a finding aid - either paper or online?

If the collection has not been organized, organization should be completed before the collection receives further consideration for digitization. If there is no form of description by way of a finding aid, catalogued entries, etc., project planning and project costs will increase. In order to create a finding aid or descriptive records, there must be ample documentation on the collection and the objects in the collection, otherwise the necessary level of search and discovery can not be supported. Users require factual description at the item level.

Existing description should be evaluated by the Metadata Librarian with regard to its quality and potential for metadata harvesting. All description should be brought up to minimum standards for shareable metadata before the digitization project has been completed; this additional work may add significant cost to the overall project.

Relationship to Other Digital Collections
It is important to contribute to "critical mass" of digital materials in the subject whenever possible. By complementing existing online collections, the value of your collection will enhance the subject area and, in turn, the user experience. The following questions can help guide selectors through this aspect of decision making:

1. If published material, has it already been digitized? All? Parts of the collection?
2. Would cooperative digitization effort improve this project? Could you find partners?
3. How does this collection fit in with other digital collections? Will the whole be greater than the sum of the parts?
4. Are there complementary collections in other institutions? Would one of these institutions be interested in partnering?

**Formats/Languages/Nature of the Materials**

Some formats are more established for digitization and online delivery than others. Currently, we have the equipment necessary to digitize:

1. unbound documents (such as letters) and photos;
2. unbound books in good condition, if not oversized;
3. bound books dependent upon condition, looseness of binding, margins and size;
4. fragile documents, dependent upon archivist recommendations;
5. slides and glass plate negatives
6. artifacts, dependent upon size; and
7. cassette and reel-to-reel (1/4 inch) audio tapes.

We do not currently support the online storage and delivery of video.

Special formats such as newspapers represent another type of material that would require special systems to store and deliver.

Foreign-language materials require project staff who are proficient in the language(s), which may add to the difficulty of assembling the project team. This factor may also add to the expense of the project and the timeline.

Creation of searchable text requires additional time and skills; non-Western languages present challenges. Searchable text in a foreign language requires the user to enter text in this language. In general, the decision to provide searchable text, either corrected or uncorrected, adds considerable expense to a text project and should be evaluated using the other factors noted above.

Another factor related to the format is the condition of the materials. Digitization may serve either a preservation or access need, but most projects address both issues. Digitization may protect fragile items by reducing handling of the originals. However, these materials must be able to withstand the handling necessary for digitization. If the determination has been made that the items can withstand digitization, the condition of the material will also be a factor in deciding whether to outsource digitization or perform the work in-house.

**Sources of Funding**

Digitization projects are funded with internal university funds and external grant funds. Oftentimes, the funding agency stipulates priorities for funding. The goal is to match a high-priority project with the appropriate funding source. Other funding opportunities may present more difficult challenges, such as requiring a large number of partners or a specific type of partner or specifying very short deadlines for completion of the work, without the possibility of an extension.

The best approach with regard to grant funding is to develop skeletal outlines for digitization projects for a number of important collections and then research potential funding sources. Once a good match has been found, the details of project planning can be finalized, bringing the project in line with funding requirements and evaluative criteria as closely as possible.

Selection references: [1]
Policy

UBC Library - Digital Collection Development Policy Last revised October 6, 2010

Digitization Strategy Vision

The University of British Columbia Library is a collaborator and leader in the creation, access and preservation of digital materials. The Library’s digital initiatives embrace new technology, methods of access, workflows and preservation strategies while building sustainable digital collections to support and enrich the educational, cultural and economic endeavors of the University, the People of British Columbia and communities beyond.

Policy

In considering materials for UBC Library digital collections some broad areas should be considered priorities: British Columbiana, materials which directly support the curriculum, Faculty and Student research output, the material record of the University, and those materials which are considered to be rare or unique.

Collection Review Criteria and Questions

Value

1. Does the material have intrinsic value to current students, researchers or the broader community?

2. Is the material something already collected by the Library – does it deepen, broaden or enhance a current collection? Does it build on a current digital collection?

3. Does the material reside in a collection area that is weak or non-existent and does it offer potential research value for possible future programs at the University?

4. Does the material offer possibilities for funding, creating partnerships and collaborations which in themselves strengthen the Library in some way?

5. Is the material likely to be transitory in its current format? E.g. print, audio tape, video tape, cd-rom, dvd, data sets, film, etc. Would digitizing it create value for future research?

Usefulness

1. Does the material support the teaching and research functions of the institution?

2. Does the material support teaching and research within the province?

3. Does the material support broader teaching and research initiatives?

4. What is the likely demand for the material?

Access

1. By reformatting (print, audio tape, video etc.) or placing material in repositories is access broadened? E.g. better metadata for subject access etc.

2. Is material that is fragile in the current format (print, audio, cd, dvd, film) likely to be used more in a digital format?
3. Does the material create a virtual collection of geographically scattered materials?

4. Does the target material duplicate resources already available digitally elsewhere? Could the Library simply point to their use?

**Potential**

1. Does the material help the Library to strengthen its mandate to explore, research and create using new technologies and formats? (see Digitization Strategy Vision)

2. Does the material provide opportunities for new collaborations?

3. Does the material provide an opportunity to develop and enhance mechanisms for access: metadata, new formats, new tools in scholarly communication etc.?

**Available Resources and Technical Feasibility**

1. Are there sufficient financial and human resources to complete the entire project?

2. If the project must be done in phases is it likely that there will be resources to complete them?

3. Comparing the resources consumed by the target project to other available projects are there compelling reasons to choose this project over another - preservation, access, value to present or future researchers etc.

4. Is the project ongoing for the foreseeable future? What are the ongoing resource cost estimates for a 3-5 year window?

5. Are the technical challenges so large that the project is likely to become unwieldy or unsustainable? Is the project, as it is currently conceived, simply impossible to do because of the technical challenges?

6. Is it possible to automate much of the technical side of the project to avoid overly labour intensive workflows?

7. What resources are likely to be needed for the ongoing curation of the material?

8. Are there technical issues around curation which need to be considered?

**Rights Issues**

1. Does the Library hold copyright for the material to be digitized?

2. Does the Library have written documentation from the rights owner allowing it to hold a digital copy of the material?

3. Does the Library require any other permission prior to embarking on the project?

**Process**

After reviewing the various questions the decision makers will weight criteria under "Value and Usefulness" as essential but the deciding factors are really under "Access and Potential" as most projects would likely stand up to the scrutiny of "Value and Usefulness". In prioritizing projects there should be elements from all criteria present. The issue of available resources and technical feasibility must always be considered and factored into the final decision and lack of resources or technical complexity may be the final deciding factors regardless of the merit of the project.

Last updated on February 17, 2012 @9:58 am
Mission

The Georgia Institute of Technology Archives & Records Management collects, preserves, exhibits, and makes available for research institutional archives, manuscripts, personal papers, organizational records, visual materials, rare books, theses, dissertations, sponsored research, and memorabilia. These materials primarily document the history of Georgia Tech and the activities of its faculty, staff, students, and alumni. The department also promotes research and scholarship through collections relating to the academic curriculum, provides a research experience for students in the use of primary sources, and preserves the legal and administrative documents of the Institute. In the latter role, the Georgia Tech Archives administers the Records Management program for the Institute. The Archives always seeks to augment its holdings, through transfer or donation.

Collecting Areas

Institutional Archives/Personal Papers/Organizational Records

The Archives houses the official records of Georgia Tech, which document administrative, research, faculty, student, and staff activities on campus from its establishment in 1885 to the present. The holdings of the Archives include institutional archives, manuscript collections, organizational records, correspondence, monographs, serials, and meeting minutes.

Papers of faculty members are a particular collecting strength, and include those of physicist Joseph Ford, historian Melvin Kranzberg, chemical engineering professor Helen Grenga, and sculptor Julian Harris. Papers of staff members, including those of Dean George Griffin and former librarian and novelist Frances Newman, form another important component of the collection. The activities of students are documented in organizational records such as those of the ANAK Society and DramaTech Theatre, as well as in personal papers such as those of Harold A. “Dutch” Faisst and Lowell Terrell. Papers of administrators, especially those of the Institute’s Presidents, feature valuable information on the development of Georgia Tech from its beginnings in 1885 to the present day.

The Archives also maintains subject files and personality files, composed primarily of newspaper clippings, which provide ready reference on a multitude of Georgia Tech subjects.
Visual Materials

The Georgia Tech Photograph Collection, composed of images depicting the campus, faculty, and sports, is the centerpiece of the visual collection. The Visual Materials Collection also includes architectural drawings for some campus buildings, visual collections related to manuscript collections, and materials donated by the Institute, faculty, students and alumni.

Two significant collections of architectural drawings are the Fulton Bag and Cotton Mill Collection and the Aaron French Textile Building Collection.

Notable collections of personal photographs include the Dean George Griffin Photograph Collection, William Anderson Alexander Photograph Collection, and Robert Lee (Bobby) Dodd Photograph Collection, all of which document campus and athletic activities in the twentieth century. Harold Bush-Brown, former Georgia Tech professor, administrator, and architect, also served as district officer for the Historic American Buildings Survey (United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service) in the 1930s; his collection of photographs documents the Historic American Building Survey (HABS).

Digital Collections

In conjunction with the library’s Scholarly Communication and Digital Services department, the Archives’ digital collections provide access to cultural and historical resources of Georgia Tech. The collections support the instruction, research, and mission of the library and the Institute through collaboration with university faculty, students, and staff. Notable digital collections include “A Photographic Atlas of Selected Regions of the Milky Way,” by E.E. Barnard, “Fulton Bag and Cotton Mills”; and “A Thousand Wheels are Set in Motion.”

Also, in support of the Library’s instructional mission, the Archives developed the campus E-Publication program. The Archives is responsible for identifying and collecting archival materials including campus e-publications, born digital materials, and other archival collections, some of which are selected for digital conversion and web access via the Institutional Repository, SMARTech. Some of the current e-publications are the Blueprint, North Avenue Review, and Technique.

Digital Materials

For inclusion in the Archives’ digital repository, materials must fall within the Archives’ standard collection policy (see page 1).

In addition, due to the availability of storage space, the Archives must give priority to certain types of electronic materials over others. In descending order of priority, the Archives will use the following criteria to make selection decisions:
1. Top priority given to items that only exist in digital form.
2. Items that are in danger of being lost due to degradation of their medium.
3. Items that are in danger of being lost due to the lack of availability of the necessary hardware to access the item.
4. Digital photographs should be transferred or converted into non-proprietary formats.
5. Items that support teaching and learning at Georgia Tech, e.g., items used in classes or research that may be accessed electronically in ways not possible in paper format.
6. Items that have a high value to the history of Georgia Tech that may be accessed electronically in ways not possible in paper format.

Georgia Tech Design Archives

The Georgia Tech Design Archives (GTDA) collects, preserves, and provides access to materials related to architectural design in the Southeast. The Archives’ acquisition of the Heffernan Design Archives Collection serves as the foundation for expanding the architectural collecting area to include locations outside of Georgia Tech proper, specifically focusing on the design and development of the modern South.

For more information, please refer to the GTDA collecting policy.

Science and Technology

Another collecting initiative for the Archives is documenting the impact of Georgia Tech in the fields of science and technology.

As an example, the Joseph F. and Vary T. Coates Papers (MS #175), document science and technology and its potential impact on public policy, especially the activities of the U.S. Congressional Office of Technology Assessment.

Southeastern Textile Industry Records

Graduates of Georgia Tech’s School of Textile and Fiber Engineering operated and supported mills throughout the Southeast. Consequently, the Archives houses strong collections pertaining to the textile industry, including the Fulton Bag & Cotton Mill Records, Chipman-Union Mill Records, and the Louis Magid Papers. Related to these records are collections documenting instruction in textile engineering at Georgia Tech, including the Charles A. Jones Papers, and a set of architectural drawings of the A. French Textile Building at Georgia Tech, featured as one of the digital collections of the archives.

The Archives actively collects materials documenting this important Southeastern industry.
Memorabilia
Rat caps, buttons, belt buckles, tickets, cheerleading uniforms, and Buzz bedroom shoes are examples of the treasures found in the Georgia Tech three-dimensional collection. Other acquisitions include gloves and class rings from early women graduates.

Theses and Dissertations
All theses and dissertations are stored electronically in the electronic theses and dissertation collection (ETDs) maintained by the Library’s Scholarly Communication and Digital Services department. The program increases access to theses and dissertations by making them available over the Internet without regard to geography or time of day. ETDs also provide valuable institutional records in digital format linked through the Library’s catalog. All copies are available on-line via the institutional repository, SMARTech.
http://smartech.gatech.edu/handle/1853/3739

The Library continues to maintain one copy of all student theses and dissertations from the early years of Georgia Tech until 2004.

Rare Books
The rare books collection supports and complements Georgia Tech’s academic curriculum, with subjects including the history of science and technology, cartography, architecture, and science fiction. Rare book collecting began in 1958 with the acquisition of the first edition of Sir Isaac Newton’s Principia Mathematica (1687). With this as a cornerstone, the collection was enhanced over the years by the acquisition of the second and third editions of the Principia, published in 1713 and 1726. In addition to the Principia, the library owns early editions of several other works by Newton, notably Opticks (1704), Universal Arithmetick (editions published in 1720 and 1769), and The Method of Fluxions and Infinite Series, published in 1736. A number of works by contemporaries of Newton such as Pemberton, Keill, and MacLaurin, as well as additional Newtoniana, round out this special collection.

The Library owns the nine-volume Dutch edition of Joan Blaeu’s Grooten Atlas, or Atlas Major, published in Amsterdam in 1664-1665. Seventeenth-century Dutch exploration and commerce culminated in this atlas, one of the most sumptuous cartographic collections ever published. The Library also maintains a supporting collection of works about this atlas and cartography of the era.

Science Fiction
Forming the basis of the Bud Foote Science Fiction Center is a comprehensive collection of books and periodicals in this genre donated to the library by Professor Irving (Bud) Foote. This 9,000+ volume collection has been augmented by an additional 5,000 works of science fiction donated by friends of the library. In addition, noted science fiction writers David Brin and Patrick Malone have donated many of their works to this collection.
Faculty Publications

The Archives collects faculty publications. For electronic publications, please see SMARTech http://smartech.gatech.edu.

Records Management

The Records Management Division stores inactive departmental records, the retention of which is required to meet legal and/or fiscal directives. All records created by Georgia Tech are assigned a retention schedule in accordance with the University System of Georgia's Records Retention Guidelines.

Sponsored Research

The Archives collects sponsored research reports. For electronic reports, please see SMARTech http://smartech.gatech.edu.

Languages Collected and Excluded

English by far predominates, but materials in foreign languages are not excluded.

Donations to the Archives

The Georgia Tech Archives & Special Collections accepts donations from academic departments, faculty, staff, students, and alumni, as well as outside organizations and individuals. The department’s archivists review items offered for donation for their relevance to the collection, preservation and maintenance concerns, and uniqueness. The size, organization, and physical condition of materials are a major consideration in acquisition, because each involves resource commitments. Due to space restrictions, the Archives cannot accept everything it is offered, nor can the Department make guarantees about exhibition or digitization of materials.

The Archives always seeks additional materials related to its current holdings. As the Archives has a very limited budget for purchasing materials, almost all such acquisitions are by gift.
Representative Documents: Collection/Selection Policies

Prioritization and Selection Criteria

Hawaiian/Pacific Collection

Policy Considerations for Digital Projects

Hawaiian/Pacific Collections and appropriate collection development librarians set the priority for materials to be digitized and for cooperative digital projects, in consultation with appropriate colleagues in DLS, Cataloging and Preservation departments, and other collections.

PRIORITY based on:

- Importance of collection
- Need to disseminate information
- Availability of information elsewhere
- Availability of funding
- Availability of staffing
- Form of final digital product (language, where hosted or archived, fee-based or free, etc.)

CONSIDERATIONS

- Purpose of project
- Audience
- Copyright clearance process
- Funding
- Description of collection
- Timeframe
- Staffing
- Equipment/Supplies/Workspace
- Processing, pre and post digitization
- Digitizing standards and quality control
- Metadata
- Access and standardized search method
- Future considerations
- Cooperative projects

Prioritization Criteria

Hawaiian/Pacific Guidelines


Hawaiian/Pacific Collections and appropriate collection development librarians set the priority for materials to be digitized and for cooperative digital projects, in consultation with appropriate colleagues in DLS, Cataloging and Preservation departments, and other collections.

PRIORITY based on:

- Importance of collection
- Need to disseminate information
- Availability of information elsewhere
- Availability of funding
- Availability of staffing
- Form of final digital product (language, where hosted or archived, fee-based or free, etc.)

CONSIDERATIONS

- Purpose of project
- Audience
- Copyright clearance process
- Funding
- Description of collection
- Timeframe
- Staffing
- Equipment/Supplies/Workspace
- Processing, pre and post digitization
- Digitizing standards and quality control
- Metadata
- Access and standardized search method
- Future considerations
- Cooperative projects

Prioritization Criteria

Project Evaluation

The following criteria are designed to assess strengths and weaknesses of a proposed project and promote an analytical approach. They should be used to establish a strong rationale when requesting support from internal or external sources but they are not all relevant to any given project.

- The project provides significant support for research and instruction.
- There are faculty and library advocates for the project.
- The project’s intrinsic value will ensure long-term use by a significant audience within and/or beyond the University community.
- The project can be completed with available funding, or has the potential to generate funding through grants, donors, or other external fund sources.
- The project will strengthen or enhance an existing digital resource, become part of an important virtual collection, or support a national initiative such as those sponsored by Association of Research Libraries and Digital Library Federation.
- University of Hawaii has intellectual property rights to the content and can manage any required restrictions to access, or can realistically solve any rights issues.
- The project links within traditional areas of library service or moves our services in a direction consonant with the Library’s strategic directions.
- The project advances sustainable models for scholarly publishing.
- The project brings credit to UH Manoa Library in a manner likely to generate further digital library projects and funding.
- The project has local or regional importance, and represents an effort only UH Manoa Library D-CARP can initiate.
- The project is reasonable, practical, and achievable.
- The project saves money in the long term by eliminating the need to acquire resources, or by freeing up staff time.
- The project creates or sustains a partnership that the library will find valuable for future development.
- There is a compelling argument for digitizing material that is deteriorating.
- The project will expand our technical infrastructure or contribute to the development of national digital library standards.

(adapted from: http://www2.library.ucla.edu/libraries/2639.cfm, accessed 10/30/08)
Selection Factors

UHM Library holds a large number of collections that would be appropriate for digitization and online access. However, digitization projects are costly and require a commitment of staff time. The following list of criteria is recommended to guide selection of collections of analog materials for conversion to digital format. Selection is an activity led by content managers and specialists with the help of D-CARP.

Copyright Status

What is the copyright status of the materials? Most material considered for digitization and access on the open Web falls into one of the following three categories:
- Public domain: works that were never were, or are no longer covered by copyright. Works in the public domain may be used without permission.
- All works published before January 1, 1923.
- Works published between 1923 and 1964 and not renewed in the 28th year.
- Works published without copyright notice before 1990.
- Unpublished works whose author died before 1932; otherwise, the term is life plus 70 years.
- Works for which the copyright is held by the University.
- Works for which we have secured permission to digitize.

Significance of the Collection

Significance depends on a number of indicators, but it is always the subjective judgment of a librarian, archivist, curator, or faculty member. The following questions may be used to establish the significance of a collection:
- Will experts attest to the importance of the collection?
- How does it fit into current or potential research activities?
- How is the collection currently being used? How might digitization increase use of the collection?
- Does the intellectual quality of the source materials warrant the level of access made possible by digitization?

Current and Potential Users

There is some evidence that digitization always increases use, but current use is still an important indicator:
- Are users consulting the proposed source materials?
- Is current access so difficult that digitization will create a new audience?
- Will electronic access to these materials enhance their value to users?
- Does the physical condition of the originals limit their use?
- Will digitization meet the needs of local users?

Organization and Descriptive Metadata

No matter how important a collection might be, the collection must be organized and described before it is ready for digitization.
- Has the collection been organized and processed?
- Are there MARC records or some other form of catalogued records for the collection?
- Is there a finding aid - either paper or online?

If there is no form of description by way of a finding aid, catalogued entries, etc., project planning and project costs will increase.

Relationship to Other Digital Collections

It is important to contribute to "critical mass" of digital materials in the subject whenever possible. By complementing existing online collections, the value of your collection will enhance the subject area and, in turn, the user experience.
- If published material, has it already been digitized? All? Parts of the collection?
- How does this collection fit in with other digital collections? Is it the whole be greater than the sum of the parts?
- Are there complementary collections in other institutions?

Format/Language/Features of the Materials

Some formats are more established for digitization and online delivery than others. D-CARP is best equipped to provide sustainable access to text, photographs, other 2-D visual materials, and compressed audio. We do not currently support the online storage and delivery of video.

Foreign-language materials require project staff who are proficient in the language(s), which may add to the difficulty of assembling the project team. Creation of text for non-Western languages requires the creator to enter text in this language and it may not be possible to provide searchable text, either corrected or uncorrected.

Materials must be able to withstand the handling necessary for digitization. If the determination has been made that the items can withstand digitization, the condition of the material will also be a factor in deciding whether to outsource digitization or perform the work in-house.

Sources of Funding

Digitization projects are funded with internal university funds and external grant funds. The goal is to match a high-priority project with the appropriate funding source. The best approach with regard to grant funding is to develop skeletal outlines for digitization projects for a number of important collections and then research potential funding sources. Once a good match has been found, the details of project planning can be finalized, bringing the project in line with funding requirements and evaluative criteria as closely as possible.

Project Description Form

Comments (0)
Selection Criteria for Digital Projects

Proposed digital projects must demonstrate viability by providing that there are no impediments that would prevent digitization and must address the significance of the project, so that projects can be evaluated and prioritized by the Committee.

Viability
In order for materials to be considered for digitization they must meet the following four criteria:

1. Duplication of Effort: The proposing Department or Library must demonstrate that the project does not duplicate other digital collections, of comparable quality and openly accessible, available from the University Libraries or from another institution via the web.
2. Restrictions: The proposing Department or Library must demonstrate that project materials are not subject to restrictions by the donor.
3. Copyright: The proposing Department or Library must demonstrate that project materials are either in public domain or that permission has been obtained from copyright holder, and that other uses protected by the Digital Millennium Copyright Act have been satisfied.
4. Extent and level of resource commitment: The proposing Department or Library must demonstrate their commitment to the digital project. This commitment includes: the support of the Department head or Library Director; Department or Library direct funding support or a commitment to seek outside funding support for the project; and a commitment of staff time for developing and undertaking the project.

Significance
All digitization project proposals must address the significance of the project to the University and Libraries missions in terms of one or several following criteria:

1. Programmatic Value: Does the project support current or emerging research or instruction in one or more specific subject areas or support the academic work of one or more defined user groups or information communities?
2. Accessibility/Added Value: Does the project enhance the value and/or the preservation of existing collections by making them more accessible, better integrated, and/or more likely to be used?
3. Historical/Cultural Value: How does the project contribute to the holdings of materials relating to the history and culture of the University, the region, the nation or international communities?
4. Intrinsic Value: Are the materials rare or of some other self-evident value, that the project would contribute to the reputation of the University libraries?
5. Collaborative Value: Does the project promote internal collaboration between or among units of the library, between the library and other University units, and/or external collaboration with other universities or institutions?
6. Developmental Value: Does the project promote a specific developmental or stewardship initiative?
7. Public Service Value: Does the project serve users beyond the immediate University community?
Copyright and Use Rights Policies
In general, follow relevant contract, license or agreement and copyright law. The term of copyright in Canada is the life of the creator + remainder of calendar year of death + 50 years.

NOTE: Where use is permitted, a statement of attribution (source of image, photographer, owner of work, where appropriate, should always be displayed with the image.

Libraries and Cultural Resources digital image databases, such as ARTstor, provide access to over a million high-resolution digital images as well as presentation creation tools for teaching. To check for permissions for ARTstor images, check the licensing database. In addition, Libraries and Cultural Resources has acquired a further 67,000+ high resolution digital images and provides access to these from our in-house Image Catalogue. These digital images are licensed for educational use and have authentication for remote access. Information on copyright permissions for these images can be found as follows: Saaskia, Archivision, Hartfi, Ehrentraut, Art Gallery of Ontario, Davis, Bridgeman, Harappa. A list of all licensed image databases can be found at library.ucalgary.ca/images.

The slide collection of 250,000 slides is being phased out. A selection of 20th/21st century slides will be browsable in Visual and Performing Arts library, 3rd floor, TFDL (Taylor Family Digital Library). The images represented in these collections include significant objects of visual culture and architecture from around the world and from prehistoric civilization to the modern area. Royalty free images that you may want to use are available on various sites such as Wikimedia, National Geographics, Flickr as well as those licensed from Microsoft as part of your university access.

**Permitted uses:**
- Search, view, print and download images for research and private study and to link to individual images, image groups or page images with electronic bookmarking
- Instructors may project these images as part of their lectures at the University of Calgary
- Instructors may include images posted to a course management system or handouts with proper credit included
- Inclusion of print images in a paper is acceptable if that paper is not copied and distributed outside the institution

**Non-permitted uses:**
- Posting to a public website
- Publishing or distributing images in any manner for commercial uses or uses that are made widely available, without obtaining permission
- Altering the work in any way, unless the creator has waived these moral rights

For further information, contact Marilyn Nasserden, Liaison Librarian nasserde@ucalgary.ca or the Copyright Officer, Wendy Stephens, wstephen@ucalgary.ca or email copyright@ucalgary.ca
Copyright@Case
Case Copyright Compliance Policy

Case Western Reserve University primacies discovery and communication of information that transform creation and use of copyrighted materials are an important part of the research mission, and respect for others' work is part of the academic ethic.

University policies regarding authorship, use of networked resources, and respect for federal copyright other: The Case Intellectual Property Policy, Acceptable Use of Computing and Information Technology Policy, and the Copyright Compliance Policy inform the Case community, diminish liability both for II publications, and help individuals take full advantage of appropriate legal exemptions in order to support teaching responsibilities.

Each member of the University community is accountable for copyright compliance. The Copyright@Case information about using copyrighted materials, various checklists and charts for informed decisions, legal changes, and support through University contacts.

Scholarly Communications, Author's Rights & Digital Publications

Learn more about how to retain your copyrights when you publish, as well as more about the new model on the Case site for Scholarly Communications and Author's Rights, and Digital Publishing.

Whether you are new to publishing, or are a student who needs to publish articles but protect your intellectual property, the Scholarly Communication Lecture Series site has information and helpful links for you. You'll find discussions, more about working with publishers to advance knowledge while protecting your rights.

We look forward to talking with you, or bringing a session to your department or group about new models for protecting both you and your publisher's interests.

The content presented on this site is informational and is not to be construed as legal advice. Counsel is always the final, appropriate source for legal advice.
Copyright Policies for Collection Managers

In 2005, the Digital Asset Management Task Group on Content worked with university counsel to create guidelines for managers of digital image collections at the University of Colorado. These forms are intended to provide broad and general guidance for creating image collections used in teaching and research by the CU community. CU students, staff, and faculty should direct inquiries to Legal Counsel, University of Colorado.

Best Practices for Acquiring Digital Content for Image Collection Managers

Image Collection Managers Checklist for Fair Use of Images

The contents of this document may be integrated into another type of software, such as Excel or an image management software system, to keep electronic records of collection managers’ good faith efforts in observing the four factors of fair use.

When Works Pass Into the Public Domain

Additional Copyright Resources for Collection Managers:

Visual Resources Association

Image Collection Guidelines: The Acquisition and Use of Images in Non-Profit Educational Visual Resources Collections
http://www.vraweb.org/resources/ipr/guidelines.html

Digital Image Rights Computator (DIRC)
http://www.vraweb.org/resources/ipr/dirc/index.html

Overview
Copyright Policies for Collection Managers
Digitization Best Practices (pdf)
Metadata Best Practices (pdf)
Luna Insight

Choose a Collection:
Digital Library of the Caribbean (dLOC) Manual
Guide to Permissions & Copyright/Public Domain Review

Introduction

In addition to digitizing materials following preservation standards, dLOC further supports preservation and access by ensuring that all applicable rights are respected and supported by seeking Internet distribution permissions as needed. Internet distribution permissions can be required for cultural and documentary heritage rights, privacy rights, and copyright.

This guide provides an overview of rights and responsibilities related to copyright and moral rights for digitization projects. The guide includes step-by-step procedures used by dLOC partners for evaluating rights and requesting permissions.

Permissions-Based Model

dLOC relies on a permissions-based model. In this model, dLOC members request permissions as the primary method for ensuring compliance with copyright, moral rights, and other applicable rights. Using a permissions model allows dLOC to provide equitable support for rights-holders and the public good. This is especially helpful for dLOC as an international collaborative, with different rights protected and understood in different countries and institutions. The permissions model supports discussing rights as a collaborative opportunity. The permissions-based model complements the process of reviewing materials for their status under copyright or within the public domain.

Rights & Responsibilities

Copyright law has changed dramatically in the US in the last 50 years and even more so when viewed on an international scale. Copyright is a property right that seeks to balance the rights of the creator with the rights of the global society. Copyright is but one of many rights and responsibilities that must be considered when digitizing materials. Digitization makes use of new and emerging technologies, technologies whose use may not yet have applicable laws supporting their use for the global public good.

Moral Rights

In addition to copyright, creators may also retain moral rights to their works. Moral rights are generally the creator’s right of attribution and right of integrity for the work, but can include many specific rights depending on the type of work and the law of the country. Moral rights are separate from other rights,
and creators retain moral rights even after transferring copyright or ownership of the work. dLOC supports moral rights by ensuring proper attribution is included for materials, for their creators and the partner institution that contributing materials. Moral rights also grant creators the right to integrity which offers protection for holism of the creator’s work. dLOC supports these rights again by ensuring that works are presented and preserved as artifacts. The U.S. does not yet fully recognize moral rights, limiting support only to visual art creators’ right of attribution, right of integrity, and right to prevent destruction of their work (1990 Visual Artists Rights Act). The U.S. has a reductive view of moral rights compared to many countries. France offers a counter model where moral rights may survive the expiration of copyright.

Cultural Heritage Rights

In many countries, cultural heritage and public institutions have specific additional rights and responsibilities. These may include provisions to support access, preservation, use in teaching, and use in research. These responsibilities may include rights for certain uses that would otherwise be prohibited by the creator’s rights.

Copyright

Copyright law varies from country to country. Though many of the Caribbean Basin countries are Berne International Copyright Convention signatory nations, it is often difficult to determine which set of laws to apply or what the term of protection may be from one country to another or, for that matter, from one year to another.

The copyright laws of the European Union and the United States represent the Caribbean’s most challenging and longest lasting copyright protections. When in doubt it is often a safe bet to apply the laws of those countries. For assistance understanding United States copyright legislation and duration of protections see: Cornell University’s Copyright Term and the Public Domain in the United States.1

When an item selected for digitization is scanned in its country of origin, the laws of the country of origin should be understood to apply. However, when an item selected for digitization is scanned away from its country of origin, consider both the laws of the country of origin and the laws of the location from which the digital resource will be made accessible via the Internet and apply those laws that afford the item the longest protection. For content providers using the centralized services of the Digital Library of the Caribbean, the laws of that location are the laws of the United States. Applying the longest protections may not be to the advantage of archives, libraries and museums, but this policy affords the Digital Library of the Caribbean the greatest protection under the international law.
Permissions, Evaluating for Copyright/Public Domain, and Handling for Orphan Works

Evaluating for Copyright/Public Domain

Evaluation for copyright status will vary based on the jurisdiction, type of materials, whether the work was officially published, and whether the work was created by an individual, corporation, or government. International trade agreements have assisted in standardizing many areas to a default length of copyright and for many it is the life of the author plus either 50 or 70 years. Copyright requirements and length are subject to change based on legal changes.

Overview of Permissions

Because of the collaborative opportunities presented by requesting permissions and the complexities of copyright law, dLOC partners focus on requesting and receiving permissions to support the digitization, online access, and long-term preservation of materials.

dLOC partners frequently work with publishers and copyright owners to request permissions. Copyright owners can grant permissions to dLOC through any partner or by contacting the dLOC Coordinator.

dLOC’s permissions process has been refined over the course of many years. Currently, dLOC partners use a template letter as a cover letter to send to rights holders along with a template form for the grant of permissions that rights’ holders are requested to sign.

The cover letter template provides basic information: on dLOC, the permissions model wherein rights’ holders retain all rights, and the included grant of permissions.

The grant of permissions template is the standard agreement signed by all who grant permissions. The grant of permissions explicitly states that the rights’ holder is only granting:

- a non-exclusive grant of permissions for on-line and off-line use for an indefinite term. Off-line uses shall be consistent only with the maintenance and preservation of an archival copy.
- Digitization allows dLOC to generate image- and text-based versions as appropriate and to provide and enhance access using search software.

To ensure the permissions model is clearly understood, the grant of permissions also specifically states that dLOC is not requesting and is not being granted permissions for commercial or for-profit uses.
Overview of the Process to Request Permissions

Requesting permissions does require locating the person or entity holding the rights and sending them the permissions request letter and grant of permissions. Most often, simply locating the person is the most difficult part of this process. Luckily, the Caribbean fosters many rich collaborative networks and often other dLOC partners can assist in locating particular rights’ holders.

The dLOC cover letter and grant of permissions templates cover most of the information needed, including:

- the scope of dLOC as a collaborative, international digital library
- where and how the work will be used
- any future uses envisioned
- the specific rights being requested

Partners will need to amend the templates to include the specific creator, title, and item information for the item for which permissions are being requested. Placeholder text in red marks where the information needs to be updated in the templates. The templates are included with this guide and are also available from the dLOC website.

Partners will need to maintain documentation on the process of requesting permissions: when, where, and to whom permissions have been sent; and similar documentation on any responses received from rights’ holders. This documentation is important for internal workflows. Further, if the rights’ holders prove to be un-findable, the documentation will show due diligence in the process. With this documentation, some institutions will proceed with digitization. For example, some institutions will digitize orphan works for open access online. The works are displayed with a note stating that the institution believes the use to be acceptable per copyright and other laws and requesting that any concerned parties contact the institution, and then providing contact information.

www.dloc.com

Permissions and Copyright: 4
Workflow Steps

Evaluating materials for copyright:


2. Note: items published before 1900 are often simple to review, and most often are in the public domain.

3. Determine further research requirements, which may include:
   a. What are the copyright terms based on (publication date, author death date, material type)?
   b. For copyright terms based on years after the death of the creator, consult reference materials to determine when the creator died. Newman, 2010
   c. Are there any special considerations?

4. Conduct any additional research needed copyright, and conduct research on other rights when needed.

5. Decide on the next action:
   a. Determine the work is in the public domain.
   b. Determine the work has an unclear status and request permissions.

Requesting Permissions:

1. Determine the appropriate contact for requesting permissions.

2. Locate contact information for the rights’ holder or an appropriate group to forward the request.
   a. Scholars and others who have been in contact with the rights’ holders are often able to pass on the inquiry and/or to share contact information.

b. For books and published works, the copyright page will usually state who the copyright holder is.

   i. Individuals: individual authors and their estates can often be reached care of their publishers. Well-known authors often have a contact address for copyright contact address listed in an online database.iii

   ii. Publishers: the mailing address for a publisher can often be found using “Books in Print.”

3. Download a copy of the templates:


   b. Grant of Permissions Templates: http://dloc.com/AA00004147

4. On cover letter template (http://dloc.com/AA00002863), update the text in red with the appropriate information:

   a. Date the letter or email is being sent.

   b. Creator, title, and item for which permissions are being requested.

5. Update the text in red in the grant of permissions template (http://dloc.com/AA00004147) to include the item’s information.

6. Send the permissions request through mail, email, or in person.

   a. When sending through email: copy and paste the text from the cover letter into the body of the email; and attach the grant of permissions document.

7. Update documentation tracking the permissions process.

   a. Note in internal documentation: date permissions request was sent, where permissions request was sent and include address information (email or physical).

   b. Note any responses for the permissions request as they are received.iv
COPYRIGHT STATUS

Many of the digital resources here were created from public domain materials - that is, materials not protected by copyright. However, in many other cases the materials are still within copyright and the owners have only granted permissions for access and preservation. This is the case for many modern materials, including artworks, newspapers, books, oral histories, journals, and more.

Additionally, there are many materials for cases where the rights holder and status was not clear, and so the contributing institution followed normal due diligence to locate the rights holder and, finding none, digitized the materials for access and preservation with a note for any rights holder, if found, to contact the institution. Also, a number of materials have been digitized from the US for creation and presentation as part of a new work, supported as a transformative creation of works under US copyright.

For questions on the status of any materials or for more information on any procedures, please contact us.

PERMISSIONS OVERVIEW

The SobekCM system - here powering the University of Florida Digital Collections (UFDC), the Digital Library of the Caribbean (dLOC), and many other digital collections - holds digital resources made from source materials contributed by libraries, archives and museums of the University of Florida and its many partner institutions.

Many of the digital resources were created from public domain materials - that is, materials not protected by copyright. However, in many other cases the materials are still within copyright and the owners have only granted permissions to the University of Florida for Internet Distribution. Moral, cultural heritage, and other rights may be present in addition to copyright. Copyright laws vary by country and type of material, so the information here cannot address the complexity of the law. Users are responsible for respecting all copyright restrictions.

Fair Use is explicitly supported and encouraged for materials. As with all uses within Fair Use, proper citation/attribution should be included.

Proper attribution should include sufficient information for someone else to identify and locate the resource (e.g.: title and permanent link) and to identify the source institution (listed in the "citation" tab).

Before using any materials for uses other than those expressly permitted by Fair Use, please contact us.

FAIR USE

Unless additional restrictions are noted, copyrighted electronic materials in this collection may be used for research, instruction, and private study under the provisions of Fair Use. Fair Use is a provision of United States Copyright Law (United States Code, Title 17, section 107) which allows limited use of copyrighted materials under certain conditions. The text for Fair Use, current as of December 2011, is:

§107 · Limitations on exclusive rights: Fair use

Notwithstanding the provisions of sections 106 and 106A, the fair use of a copyrighted work, including such use by reproduction in copies or phonorecords or by any other means specified by that section, for purposes such as criticism, comment, news reporting, teaching (including multiple copies for classroom use), scholarship, or research, is not an infringement of copyright. In determining whether the use made of a work in any particular case is a fair use the factors to be considered shall include—

(1) the purpose and character of the use, including whether such use is of a commercial nature or is for nonprofit educational purposes;
(2) the nature of the copyrighted work;
(3) the amount and substantiality of the portion used in relation to the copyrighted work as a whole; and
(4) the effect of the use upon the potential market for or value of the copyrighted work.
The fact that a work is unpublished shall not itself bar a finding of fair use if such finding is made upon consideration of all the above factors.

Under Fair Use you may view, print, photocopy, and download images from this site without prior permission, provided that you provide proper attribution of the source on all copies.

For other uses, including but not limited to display, publication and commercial use, permission of the copyright holder must be obtained.

**Attribution of Source**

Citations, quotations, and use of images, multi-media or other data in these collections made under Fair Use or with permission of the copyright holder must acknowledge their source.

Proper attribution should include at least:

- Title/Name of the resource
- URL of the resource (listed in the citation as the permanent link), or the URL of the collection where the resource can be found
- Name of the holding institution

Attribution elements may be found in the "Citation" view, available for every item.

For materials in the Digital Library of the Caribbean (dLOC), please include this in the citation:

Digital Library of the Caribbean (dLOC), http://www.dloc.com

**Citations in a CV**

Author/creator materials that have been contributed to the digital collections for permanent preservation and access support scholarly and public discourse and so should be include in CVs and other materials that document contributions. Citation of contributed materials in a CV should include the same information as a standard citation for attribution. For a CV, the citation format should follow the style standard in use.

Depending on the type of material contributed, there may be an existing standard category where these citations can be listed. Often enough, these will be listed within a section like "Creative Works or Activities" with a short description and then the contributed materials. Also, these are frequently listed under a section within publications, often "Miscellaneous" or "Non-refereed" depending on the resources.

Typical information for listing author/creator contributed materials includes:

- Author name(s). Title/name of resource. Digital Collection/Library (e.g., Digital Library of the Caribbean, UF Digital Collections, etc.). Publisher (institution through which the material is published, which may be the George A. Smathers Libraries, University of Florida). Publication date listed in citation for the resource.
- URL of the resource.

Possible example:


**Permission for Use**

Users assume all liability for copyright infringement and are advised to contact the Holding Location for copyright information and permission to use the electronic versions. Permission must be obtained for display, publication, commercial use, or any other use of the digital materials in these collections except as allowed under Fair Use.

The Holding Location is noted in the "Citation" view of any item. Exact copyright information can be found by contacting
us regarding any item in question.

**PUBLIC DOMAIN**

Bibliographic Records

All bibliographic records are open - the data is fully available for download and use under the terms of Creative Commons CC0.

Florida Geological Survey Publications

All Florida Geological Survey (FGS) Publications are available for use under the terms of Creative Commons CC0.

**CLEAR RESTRICTIONS**

Users interested in University of Florida Athletic images post 1992 will need to contact the University Athletic Association.

Many publishers and authors have granted Internet Distribution Permissions that restrict commercial and profit uses. For any commercial or profit-based uses, please contact us to research permissions.

**REFERENCING SOBEKCM**

For standardized referencing of collections or materials in SobekCM, please use (and modify as needed) the following text samples for UFDC or dLOC:

**UF Digital Collections (UFDC)**

The materials and collections are hosted by the University of Florida Digital Collections. The functionalities and features of the UF Digital Collections are supported using the UF-developed SobekCM software. SobekCM is released as open source software under the GNU GPL license and can be downloaded from the SobekCM Software Download Site: http://ufdc.ufl.edu/software. To learn more about the technologies, please visit the SobekCM page: http://ufdc.ufl.edu/sobekcm.

**Digital Library of the Caribbean (dLOC)**

The materials and collections are hosted by the Digital Library of the Caribbean. The functionalities and features of the Digital Library of the Caribbean are supported using the University of Florida–developed SobekCM software. SobekCM is released as open source software under the GNU GPL license and can be downloaded from the SobekCM Software Download Site: http://ufdc.ufl.edu/software. To learn more about the technologies, please visit the SobekCM page: http://ufdc.ufl.edu/sobekcm.

An image for SobekCM is also available.
Using Images

Using images presents additional challenges because they often do not have any identifying information printed on them to help identify who owns the copyright of the item. Permission to use an image is most likely still needed, regardless of how difficult it is to find the owner. There are some sources to help find the copyright owner, or there are alternative sources of images that may be useful.

Using Images in Teaching
You may be able to use the images by relying on one of the statutory limitations within copyright law such as fair use or section 110 which covers face to face teaching. If your use does not fall within one of these, you may need to obtain permission.

Using Images in Publications
This is actually the most frequently asked question at the library regarding copyright. If you want to use an image in a publication, you need to go through the same steps as using any other material. So, you first need to determine whether the material is still covered by copyright protections, whether your use is a fair use, or whether you need to obtain permission from the owner.

Finding A Copyright Owner
This is hard! If the image is not well known, it may be difficult to find the copyright owner. There are a few databases that may be of use through:

Google Image Search: A Google search may reveal who the artist is, if you don’t already know. If you use the advanced search features of an image search, you can filter by usage rights.

ARTStore: A digital image library of over 1,000,000 images in the areas of art, architecture, the humanities, and social sciences.

Artist Rights Registry: Once you determine who the artist is, you can check here to see if they are represented and licensing can be determined.

The Digital Image Rights Computator is a database that walks through a decision tree regarding the use of image. You need to know the copyright status of the image already though for best results.

Alternative Sources of Images
Kenneth Crews at Columbia University has compiled and excellent list of places to look for images that are in the public domain, or that have licenses attached that support noncommercial uses.
Copyright and Other Restrictions That Apply to Publication/Distribution of Images

Assessing the Risk of Using a P&P Image

This document provides guidance on some of our most frequently asked questions about rights to images in Prints & Photographs Division (P&P) collections:

1. Can I use an image that I've found in P&P's collections? (This discussion includes information on how long copyrights last)
2. This all seems complicated when all I need is for you to sign a form giving me permission!
3. If it displays for me off-site (searching from somewhere other than a Library of Congress workstation), does it mean it's ok to use?
4. How should I credit the Library as the source of the images I'm using?
5. What's the worst that might happen if I decide to publish an image whose rights status is uncertain?

The information below applies to use of material in the United States. Use outside the U.S. is governed by the laws of the country in which the material is being used.

1. Can I use an image that I've found in the P&P collections?

The answer to this question involves considering other questions:

a. What do you know about the rights associated with the image? (more about this)

and

b. How do you plan to use the image? (For instance, if your use falls under the "fair use" clause in the copyright law, copyright will be less of an issue, though you will need to pay attention to any donor restrictions) (more about this)

Sometimes the answer is very clear. Other times the answer isn't clear at all.

In all cases, it is the researcher's obligation to determine and satisfy copyright or other use restrictions when publishing or otherwise distributing materials found in the Library's collections.

1a. What do you know about the rights associated with the image?

When the Prints & Photographs Division has information about the rights associated with an image or a collection of images, it conveys that information to researchers through catalog records and/or rights statements.

Can you find:

- An associated catalog record (text giving data about the specific image) in the Prints & Photographs Online Catalog? (more about catalog records)

- A rights and restrictions statement giving information about rights? (more about rights and restrictions statements)

If no catalog record data or rights statement is available, you will need to find the rights information related...
Using Images: Copyright & Fair Use

**Why Copyright and Citation Matter**

Properly citing sources protects against plagiarism.
- Plagiarism is using someone else's work without giving them credit. This is a form of academic dishonesty that is considered a serious offense and is handled by university processes.
- Properly citing a work is essential in an academic community but does not protect against copyright infringement.

Following fair use principles protects against copyright infringement.
- Copyright infringement can occur when using someone else's copyrighted work without permission or without a solid fair use case, and is a legal matter handled by the courts.

To make a fair use assessment:
- Review the four factor test
- Watch the Fair Use Overview
- Consult the Codes of Best Practice in Fair Use

**Special Considerations for Images**

Photographs of people may involve rights of privacy or publicity, state and/or federal laws which limit the use of a person's likeness. Consider:
- Using photographs of people taken in larger public scenes
- Avoiding photographs of famous people, or people engaging in private activities
- Being aware that Publicity rights limit commercial uses

Photographs of works of art may involve the rights of the work's creator/copyright holder. Consider:
- Using photographs of 2-D public domain works -- these are usually not protected by copyright
- Buildings designed after Dec. 1, 1990 are copyrighted. Consider:
  - Using photographs taken from a public place

It is necessary to weigh all four factors to decide whether a fair use exemption seems to apply to a proposed reuse. Courts take a holistic approach — they do not simply add up a positive or negative for each factor.

Judges have tended to focus on two questions that collapse the four factors:
- Does the use transform the material, by using it for a different purpose?
- Was the amount taken appropriate to the new purpose?

To help support a fair use case for an image:
- Use lower resolution or thumbnail versions where possible;
- Place the image in a new context or use it for a new purpose; and
- Use only the parts of the image needed for the purpose

In addition to fair use, consider using images that are openly available for reuse.

**Identifying Images for Reuse**

Fair Use Explained

**What is Fair Use?**

Fair use provisions of U.S. copyright law allow use of copyrighted materials on a limited basis for specific purposes without the permission of the copyright holder.

Is my use fair? — The four factor test:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACTOR</th>
<th>WEIGHING TOWARDS FAIR USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose of use</td>
<td>Nonprofit, educational, scholarly or research use; transformative use; repurposing, recontextualizing, creating a new purpose or meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature or type of work</td>
<td>Published, fact-based content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount Used</td>
<td>Using only the amount needed for a given purpose; using small or less significant amounts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Effect</td>
<td>If there would be no effect, or it is not possible to obtain permission to use the work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is necessary to weigh all four factors to decide whether a fair use exemption seems to apply to a proposed reuse. Courts take a holistic approach — they do not simply add up a positive or negative for each factor.

Judges have tended to focus on two questions that collapse the four factors:
- Does the use transform the material, by using it for a different purpose?
- Was the amount taken appropriate to the new purpose?

To help support a fair use case for an image:
- Use lower resolution or thumbnail versions where possible;
- Place the image in a new context or use it for a new purpose; and
- Use only the parts of the image needed for the purpose

Photographs of people may involve rights of privacy or publicity, state and/or federal laws which limit the use of a person's likeness. Consider:
- Using photographs of people taken in larger public scenes
- Avoiding photographs of famous people, or people engaging in private activities
- Being aware that Publicity rights limit commercial uses

Photographs of works of art may involve the rights of the work's creator/copyright holder. Consider:
- Using photographs of 2-D public domain works -- these are usually not protected by copyright
- Buildings designed after Dec. 1, 1990 are copyrighted. Consider:
  - Using photographs taken from a public place

In addition to fair use, consider using images that are openly available for reuse.

**Special Considerations for Images**

Photographs of people may involve rights of privacy or publicity, state and/or federal laws which limit the use of a person's likeness. Consider:
- Using photographs of people taken in larger public scenes
- Avoiding photographs of famous people, or people engaging in private activities
- Being aware that Publicity rights limit commercial uses

Photographs of works of art may involve the rights of the work's creator/copyright holder. Consider:
- Using photographs of 2-D public domain works -- these are usually not protected by copyright
- Buildings designed after Dec. 1, 1990 are copyrighted. Consider:
  - Using photographs taken from a public place

In addition to fair use, consider using images that are openly available for reuse.
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Copyright for Images

Images at the Library

Most images acquired by the Library, including those found in ARTstor may be used in the classroom. Exceptions are stated when applicable.

These images **are not to be used for publication purposes without the permission of the copyright holder.** To enquire about obtaining rights and images, write to Media Resources at libmedia@uottawa.ca.

No permission no PPR no showing

Public showing of copyrighted material without permission or PPR (Public Performance Right), regardless of the purpose of usage, is an infringement of copyright.

The Library assumes no responsibility if an image is shown illegally in a classroom or elsewhere on campus. Responsibility falls to the user to ensure that copyright is respected for the material used.

To enquire about obtaining rights and images, write to Media Resources at libmedia@uottawa.ca.

The penalty for illegally using copyrighted materials in a classroom:

- The Copyright Act states that a person found guilty of infringement of public performance may be fined or imprisoned or both.
- The fine can be up to $25,000.
- Every individual involved in the violation is liable.

Public domain

Works that are in the public domain are not protected by copyright and can be used and copied freely.

- Copyright for *images of all works* (including paintings, drawings, sculptures, maps, etc.) subsists for the remainder of the calendar year in which the author dies, and a period of 50 years following the end of that calendar year.
- Copyright for *images of photographic works* subsists for the remainder of the year of the making of the initial negative or plate from which the photograph was derived or, if there is no negative or plate, of the initial photograph, plus 50 years.

Copyright guide

The Canadian Copyright Act governs how images of various formats may be used in the classroom.

The Canadian Copyright Act applies even if:

- Images are rented, purchased or personally owned;
- Images are only partially shown;
- Images are shown within a non-profit, educational context;
- Images are shown to small groups.

Section 29.7 (3) indicates that images **cannot** be shown in public places, including classrooms, without public performance rights (PPR).

Even if a video is being shown for educational purposes, and where no admission is being charged, you must secure public performance rights (PPR).

Fair dealing is more restrictive than the fair use provisions in the United States, particularly in regards to education and teaching.

Sections 29.1 and 29.2 of the Copyright Act provide that it is not an infringement of copyright to deal fairly with a work for the purposes of research, private study, criticism, review or news reporting.
1. All requests for reproductions of University of South Carolina Special Collections Libraries' materials will be considered on a case-by-case basis. To request copies of an image or images or permission for a specific use, please contact the appropriate holding library. Provision of copies for “personal research use” does not guarantee future permission to publish.

The prime considerations for decisions on reproductions are the condition of the item to be copied or reproduced, the nature of any third-party rights or interest in the item(s), and the purposes for which the images are requested.

2. Users who wish to include a copy (in any format) obtained from materials held by the University of South Carolina Special Collections Libraries in publications, web pages or other electronic media, films, exhibits, etc., must first obtain written permission from the holding library.

3. It is the responsibility of the applicant to obtain any required copyright permissions from the copyright holder or other necessary third party permissions. The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be “used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research.” If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of “fair use” or any other exception to the copyright law, that user may be liable for infringement. This institution reserves the right to refuse to accept a copying order if, in its judgment, fulfillment of the order would involve violation of copyright law.

In the case of material that is still in copyright, or in which it is otherwise likely that a third party has rights, it is the university libraries’ policy to require that an applicant get written permission from the relevant rights holder before any images are supplied for publication in any medium.

4. Permissions to reproduce materials and distribute reproductions are limited to the uses specified in the applicant’s request and the conditions therein. The applicant agrees not to further reproduce, sell, share, or give the specified reproductions to another person, company, or institution for any purpose without the written permission of the University of South Carolina Libraries. Note that the University Libraries’ permission is given only insofar as the libraries have rights as owners of the material that is to be published or otherwise reproduced and so is additional to, not a substitute for, any publication or reproduction permission that may be needed from third parties (as outlined in section 3 above).

5. Where the application is for web use or other electronic distribution, the applicant agrees to a specific method of deterring third party misuse of the images. This should be discussed and agreed upon with the holding library.

6. It is the policy of University of South Carolina Special Collection Libraries to assess a fee for some uses of USC library materials. Fees are intended to offset a small part of the Libraries’ costs associated with processing, preserving, and providing access to the Libraries’ collections. Please see the holding library’s web site for their reproduction fees.

7. The proposed publication must result in a suitable presentation of the original image. Superimposition of text, cropping, bleeding, the addition of color, or other alterations require special written permission from the specific holding library within University of South Carolina Libraries.

8. The applicant will defend and hold harmless University of South Carolina Libraries, University of South Carolina, its officers, employees, agents, and members of its governing boards against all claims, demands, costs, and expenses, including attorneys’ fees, incurred for copyright infringements or any other legal or regulatory cause of action arising from use of University of South Carolina Libraries’ materials.

Special Collection Libraries (i.e.: Holding Libraries)
Contents of this Page
- Determine if a work is in the Public Domain
- What is considered “Fair Use”?
- Find the Copyright Holder
- Artistic Appropriation & Reuse

Determine if a work is in the Public Domain
- Copyright Term and the Public Domain in the United States
- Digital Copyright Slider
- Copyright Term Calculator
- Public Domain Works DB
An open registry of artistic works that are in the public domain.

What is considered “fair use”?
- Digital Image Rights Computator
- Copy Photography Computator
- Checklist for Fair Use (Otis)
- Checklist for Conducting a Fair Use Analysis before using Copyrighted Materials (Cornell)
- Fair Use Overview
The Stanford University Fair Use Overview guide is comprised of content from NOLO

Find the Copyright Holder
- Author’s Permission Guidelines
Options to consider when publishing visual media
- Copyrights Clearance Center
Offers annual copyright licenses and pay-per-use licensing services
- Writers, Artists, and Their Copyright Holders (WATCH)
Provides copyright contacts for writers, artists, and prominent figures in other creative fields

Artistic Appropriation and Reuse
- Intellectual Property and the Arts
This is the seventh in a series of articles sponsored by the CAA Committee on Intellectual Property (CIP)
- Creative Commons
provides free tools that let authors, scientists, artists, and educators easily mark their creative work with the freedoms they want it to carry.
  You can use CC to change your copyright terms from “All Rights Reserved” to “Some Rights Reserved.”
- The Photographer’s Right
Your Rights and Remedies When Stopped or Confronted for Photography
- Artists’ Rights Society (ARS)
A copyright, licensing, and monitoring organization for visual artists in the United States.
Conditions of Use

Digital Image Order Form

Resources and materials available through the Digital Library and Archives (DLA) are available for use in research, teaching, and private study. For these purposes, you may reproduce (print or download) materials without prior permission, on the condition that you provide proper attribution of the source in all copies. These resources and materials are not in the public domain and copyright is largely held by DLA.

Ordering Images

Digital Libraries and Archives will fill image requests for our patrons who prefer TIFF files rather than the downloadable JPEGs. DLA will fill orders upon receiving a completed Digital Image Permission and Order Form and a check payment for an order. Send completed forms to: Digital Library and Archives, University Libraries, Virginia Tech, P.O. Box 90001, Blacksburg, VA 24061-9001.

Our requirements concerning image orders:

- Only completed orders will be filled.
- Payment must accompany orders (make checks out to: VT Treasurer).
- Files will be burned to CD, no files transferred electronically. (No FTP.)
- Images owned by Norfolk and Southern Railroad require permission. Contact Jennifer Davis McDaid, Historical Archivist, NS Corp at (jennifer.mcdaid@nscorp.com) for permission to use these images. Be sure to include how you intend to use their images with your request.
- Images owned by Virginia Tech Athletics also require permission. Contact Anne Panella (apanella@vt.edu). Be sure to include how you intend use these images with your request.
- When you receive permission from Norfolk Southern or Virginia Tech Athletics images, please send a copy of the permission for our files.

By using these resources and materials, you agree to follow these conditions of use:

- These resources and materials may not be used for any commercial purpose without prior written permission from the Digital Library and Archives or the copyright holder.
- These resources and materials may not be re-published in any form of media without prior written
permission from the Digital Library and Archives or the copyright holder.

- You may not download these resources and materials to put them on another server for public use, or for use by a set of subscribers. Institutions may link to the resources of the Digital Library and Archives, subject to these conditions of use.
- Any permission given to reproduce these resources and materials is non-exclusive.

Conditions Governing Use of Materials

Copyright Restrictions

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, U.S. Code) governs the making of photocopies and other reproductions of copyrighted material. Libraries and archives are authorized to furnish reproductions upon request for specified purposes, including private study, scholarship, and research; publication; and public exhibition. This institution reserves the right to refuse to accept an order if, in its judgment, fulfillment of that order if it would violate copyright law. Copyright from VT's University Libraries

Publication or Public Exhibition Use (download form)

Permission to use resources for publication or public exhibition must be obtained in writing from the Digital Library and Archives and the copyright holder. In a written application (Digital Image Permission and Order Form) please indicate which items you are seeking to publish or exhibit and where you wish to publish or exhibit them. DLA would appreciate receiving copies of any publications using items from the collections. Use of our resources must be only for the purpose stated. If you later wish to make a different or additional use of our resources (particularly if you will be publishing or exhibiting them), you will need make another application for permission.

The following credit line and citation are required for publication and/or exhibit:

[Title of collection, (control number)], Digital Library and Archives, University Libraries, Virginia Tech [URL, if applicable].

Citation for digital image use:


How to Cite DLA Resources and Materials


Digital images are electronic resources that need to be used responsibly and with an awareness of copyright and ethical use best practices. Most databases and web sites provide information about how their images can be used. It is important to read this information carefully, and comply with all usage guidelines. Usage guidelines can vary considerably, so be alert to differences and details.

The UW Libraries outlines some general do’s and don’ts for electronic resources. Please see Responsible Use of Electronic Resources.

The UW Copyright Connection provides information about many aspects of copyright, including Compilations, Music and Images and Images of Art and People.

Appropriate Use of UW Resources is published by UW Information Technology and provides additional copyright information and guidelines for using electronic resources.
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Brief Definitions

You may encounter the below terms in your efforts to use images ethically and legally. Brief definitions and links to more information are included here.

Intellectual property

Creative products and results of intellectual work, including designs, images, symbols, art, and architecture.

For more information:

World Intellectual Property Organization

Copyright

Legal right of creators to control how their works are used by others. Images may be subject to multiple copyright claims, including claims by artists, photographers, designers, institutions, corporations, or others.

For more information:

UW Copyright Connection

Copyright Term

The period of time a work is covered by copyright. The copyright term is limited by copyright law.

For more information:

Copyright Term and the Public Domain in the United States (Cornell University)

Public Domain

When a work is not covered by copyright (because the copyright term has expired), the creator has released the work, or the work was never copyrighted) it is in the public domain. The public then holds the rights to the work.

For more information:

UW Copyright Connection. Images of Art and People

Fair Use

A provision in copyright law that allows for the use of copyrighted works under some specific circumstances and for particular purposes such as criticism, comment, scholarship, or research. Fair use is determined by the following four factors (from Chapter 1, Section 107 of the Copyright Law):

- the purpose and character of the use, including whether such use is of a commercial nature or is for nonprofit educational purposes
- the nature of the copyrighted work
- the amount and substantiality of the portion used in relation to the copyrighted work as a whole
- the effect of the use upon the potential market for or value of the copyrighted work

To help you determine if your use is a “fair use,” consult one of the fair-use checklists in the box to the right.

Why Fair Use?

"Fair Use is an essential part of copyright law. Its purpose is to allow you to use or quote copyrighted material without permission or payment in order to create new culture"  
- from Fair Use is a Right! by Kristian Perry for the Center for Social Media

Fair Use Is A Right! from Kristian Perry on Vimeo.

Comments (0)

Ethical Use and Copyright Resources

National Press Photographers Association (NPPA)

Ethical guidelines for documentary photography and visual journalism.

NPPA Ethics in the Age of Digital Photography


Comments (0)
For more information:
UW Copyright Connection - Fair Use
Open Access
"Free and unrestricted online availability," according to the Budapest Open Access Initiative. Open Access images are typically images that archives, libraries, museums, or copyright holders have chosen to make available online without restrictions on distribution or reuse.
For more information:
UW Libraries Open Access FAQ
License agreements
Contracts between the UW Libraries and digital content providers that determine how the content can be accessed and used. License agreements are often more restrictive than copyright or fair use laws, and override these other provisions. Terms of Use typically describe how users may use particular databases or resources. An example is ARTstor’s Terms and Conditions of Use.
For more information:
UW Libraries’ Responsible Use of Electronic Resources
More definitions
US Copyright Office FAQ Definitions
US Copyright Office Definitions
Comments (0)

Center for Social Media at American University
Media-related copyright and Fair Use information, codes, best practices, videos, and toolkits
VRA Fair Use Statement on the Use of Images for Teaching, Research, and Study
Statement on scholarly image use and Fair Use from the Visual Resources Association
Comments (0)

Books on Copyright and Fair Use
Reclaiming Fair Use - Patricia Aufderheide, Peter Jaszi
ISBN: 9780226032276
Publication Date: 2011-08-15
Cutting Across Media - Kembrew McLeod (Editor); Rudolf Kuenzli (Editor)
ISBN: 9780822348115
Publication Date: 2011-07-15
Copyfraud and Other Abuses of Intellectual Property Law - Jason Mazzone
ISBN: 9780804760065
Publication Date: 2011-10-05
Copyright Clarity - Renee Hobbs
ISBN: 9781429815990
Publication Date: 2010-04-07
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Copyright and Image Citation

Copyright Information

- US Copyright Office
- US Copyright Law and the Fair Use Doctrine | Fair Use in Education and Research, Columbia University Library, Copyright Advisory Office
- Fair Use of copyrighted materials for educational purposes is determined by four factors:
  1. the purpose and character of the use, including whether such use is of a commercial nature or is for nonprofit educational purposes;
  2. the nature of the copyrighted work;
  3. the amount and substantiality of the portion used in relation to the copyrighted work as a whole; and
  4. the effect of the use upon the potential market for or value of the copyrighted work.
- US Copyright Office: How to Investigate the Copyright Status of a Work (PDF)
- Learn about Public Domain | Copyright Term and the Public Domain Chart (Cornell University)
  A work of authorship is in the "public domain" if it is no longer under copyright protection or if it failed to meet the requirements for copyright protection. Works in the public domain may be used freely without the permission of the former copyright owner.
- Digital Image Rights Computator (DIRC)
  Developed by the Visual Resources Association, the Digital Image Rights Computator (DIRC) program assists the user to assess the intellectual property status of a specific image documenting a work of art, a designed object, or a portion of the built environment so that the user can make informed decisions regarding the intended educational uses of that image.
- Images for Academic Publishing and ARThistor
  Certain images provided by the Met available through ARThistor are publication-quality images and are available free-of-charge for use in scholarly publications. These images will have an IAP icon below the thumbnail. Users will have to agree to the terms and conditions statement to download the publication-quality images.
- Yale University Library Copyright Circulars
- Read about Bridgeman Art Library, Ltd. vs Corel Corporation, US District Court, 1998
  United Kingdom-based company which marketed transparencies and CD-ROMs of reproductions of public domain works of art brought suit against Canadian corporation, alleging copyright infringement, violation of the Lanham Act, and unfair competition. Upon defendant's motion for summary judgment dismissing the complaint and plaintiff's cross-motion for partial summary judgment, the District Court, Kaplan, J., held that: (1) United Kingdom-based company's transparencies and CD-ROMs lacked sufficient originality to be copyrightable under United Kingdom law; (2) even if company's images were copyrightable, company did not make out its claim of infringement; and (3) company failed to establish violation of Lanham Act.
- Association of Research Libraries 2007 Know Your Copyrights Brochure for Teaching Faculty
- Center for Social Media Best Practices for Fair Use

Open Access Images

With the launch of NGA Images, the National Gallery of Art implements an open access policy for digital images of works of art that the Gallery believes to be in the public domain. Images of these works are now available free of charge for any use, commercial or non-commercial. Users do not need to contact the Gallery for authorization to use these images. NGA Images offers more than 20,000 open access images. Click here for recent additions.

For more open access images, see ARThistor's Images for Academic Publishing (IAP) initiative.
• American Library Association Information on TEACH Act
• Stanford University Copyright and Fair Use
  Thorough website relating to Fair Use in the educational context.
• Columbia University Copyright Advisory Office
  Thorough website about the relationship of copyright law and higher education organized by Kenneth Crews.
• North American Coordination Council on Japanese Library Resources: Image Use Protocol
  Guide for using images from Japan for publication.
• Creative Commons
  "Provides free licenses and other legal tools to mark creative work with the freedom the creator wants it to carry, so others can share, remix, use commercially, or any combination thereof."

When you need to purchase rights to publish a copyrighted image, look here:
• Art Resource
  Clearing house for the rights of major European and American museums, archives and collections.
• Artists Rights Society (ARS)
  Clearing house for the rights of the majority of the artists active in the 20th century.
• WATCH File
  A database of copyright contacts for writers, artists, and prominent figures in other creative fields.

Citation Guidelines*

Digital images, like text, must be cited when used in papers, articles, books, etc. Don't forget citing a digitized version of an image is different than citing the original object. A very good guide that includes how to use images is the 2008 edition of Sylvan Barnet's *A Short Guide to Writing About Art*, which is available through Yale Libraries.

Chicago Manual of Style
The Chicago Manual of Style: Online version of the 15th edition. See chapter 12 for detailed information on artworks. The Chicago Manual of Style is available also available in print editions at many YUL libraries.

Here is an example of a proper citation for a photograph in Chicago Style. The image was located in the Library of Congress Prints & Photographs Division online catalog.

Footnote or Endnote

Bibliography

Additional citation guidelines:
* A Manual for Writers of Research Papers, Theses, and Dissertations by Kate L. Turabian is available at numerous Yale Libraries. Turabian style indicates paintings, sculptures, photographs, and other artworks should only be cited in notes, not bibliographies. Titles of paintings are italicized while titles of photographs are set in quotation marks.

Examples:


APA (American Psychological Association) Style

Publication manual of the American Psychological Association is available in print at the YUL Libraries.

MLA (Modern Language Association of America) Style

Using the Principles of MLA Style to Cite and Document Online Sources

A summary of MLA style as applied to online sources - from the companion website to Online!: A Reference Guide to Using Internet Sources. Click here for clear information about citing multimedia sources including works of art in a MLA list of Works Cited.

MLA handbook for writers of research papers is available in print at the YUL Libraries.

*Provided by Ian McDermott, formerly Assistant Librarian at the Yale Center for British Art*
Privacy and Publicity Rights

Privacy and publicity rights are separate and distinct issues from copyright. Patrons wanting to use materials from the Library's collections or website are responsible for determining whether privacy and publicity rights need to be addressed, the nature of the item, and considering how it will be used.

While copyright laws protect the copyright owner's property rights in the work, privacy and publicity rights protect the interests of the people who are the subject of the work. Issues pertaining to privacy and publicity may arise when a patron contemplates the use of letters, oral histories, diary entries, photographs, or reportage in visual, audio, and print formats in the Library collections. Because two or more people are often involved in the work (e.g., photographer and subject, interviewer and interviewee) and because of the ease with which various digital media can be reused, photographs, audio files, and motion pictures represent materials in which privacy and publicity issues emerge with some frequency.

The distinctions among privacy rights, publicity rights, and copyright are best illustrated by example: An advertiser wishes to use a photograph for a print advertisement. The advertiser approaches the photographer, who owns the copyright to the photograph, and negotiates a license to use the photograph. The advertiser is also required to determine the relationship between the photographer and the subject of the photograph. A formal relationship, usually a release form signed by the subject, will permit the photographer to license the use of the photograph for all uses. If no formal relationship exists that permits the photographer to license the use of the photograph for all uses, then the advertiser must seek permission from the subject of the photograph because the subject has retained both privacy and publicity rights in the use of their likeness. The publicity right of the subject means that a person's image may not be commercially exploited without consent and possibly compensation.

While copyright is federally protected under the United States Copyright Act, with statutorily described fair use defenses against charges of copyright infringement, neither privacy nor publicity rights are subject to federal law. However, they are subject to state laws; what may be permitted in one state may not be permitted in another. Although fair use is a defense to copyright infringement, it is not a defense to claims of violation of privacy or publicity rights. Causes of action related to privacy and publicity may also be pursued under the federal Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125 (a), e.g., unauthorized uses of a person's identity in order to create a false endorsement.

While a person's right to privacy generally ends with individual's death, publicity rights associated with the commercial value of an individual's name, image, or voice may continue. For example, many estates or representatives of famous authors, musicians, actors, photographers, politicians, sports figures, celebrities, and other public figures continue to control and license use of those figures' names, likenesses, etc.

Although the risks of using an image for comment or criticism or other non-commercial use may be less than for use in advertising or other commercial purposes, the risk can still be high if the person depicted is held up to ridicule or presented in a libelous manner. While it is true that famous or public figures who seek recognition have thereby surrendered some privacy, they may retain the right to control the commercial use of their image (likeness, voice, signature, etc.). This principle recognizes that a celebrity's image can be an asset in trade.
Managing Digital Assets
University of Alabama Libraries Digital Services

Digital content is inherently fragile. It is easily corrupted, damaged, changed, or deleted. Hence, access to important digital content must be controlled. If what we are protecting is the historical record, change to the original content must be prevented.

Even running a virus checker across content can change it. Opening a file can change it. Moving a file from one media to another can change it.

_How do we protect and preserve our unique, fragile, historical documents?_

_How do we make them accessible, both today, and in the future?_ The whole point of preservation is support of long-term access.

Incoming digital content adds another layer of issues to these two questions:

1. We may not know who or what has touched this content before we receive it, so we may be unable to guarantee its authenticity
2. It is likely not yet in archival format, and may not be of archival quality
3. It may not be in formats or on media with which we are familiar, or for which we have hardware or software
4. It may contain information that needs to be redacted or controlled, due to intellectual property rights, copyright, privacy issues, computer viruses, or other issues
5. It may contain information the donor did not intend for us to have
6. We may have little or no information about the content.

Read more about our processes for incoming digital content here: Managing_Incoming_Digital_Content

Preservation Plan for Digital Materials

The University of Alabama (UA) Libraries preserve selected digital content for long-term access support. Our highest level of attention and support is given to content selected for digitization from UA Libraries Special Collections. Other research materials are assigned preservation strategies at appropriate levels based on file formats and perceived needs of our designated audience, the faculty and students of the University of Alabama.
The University of Alabama (UA) Libraries DigiPres group will determine the need to normalize or migrate files pending loss of access due to obsolescence. Decisions will be made on a cost/benefit basis with consideration for the needs of our stated audience.

High Level Policies

Security and Business Continuity Policies

Recommendations for Authors and Creators

**Division of Digital Content**

1. **Level I support** is for content digitized in formats and with methods supporting the current archival standards, and for which we have digital rights management permissions and documented access permission. This is our most dedicated level of support. It includes collection of technical and administrative metadata, bit-level preservation, and commitment to migrate content as formats change over the years. An example would be a manuscript collection digitized by Digital Services.

2. **Level II support** is for content which may not have been digitized in currently supported archival formats, but for which The University of Alabama Libraries has committed long term access support, and for which we have digital rights permissions and documented access permissions. An example would be Electronic Theses and Dissertations.

3. **Level III support** is for content which needs to undergo regular change, and hence is not appropriate for inclusion in LOCKSS; however, it is to our benefit to offer bit-level preservation for this content until it needs to change. An example of this would be software necessary for either migration or emulation.

4. **Level IV support** is for content which may not have been digitized in currently supported archival formats, but for which The University of Alabama Libraries has committed short term access support, and for which we have digital rights permissions and documented access permissions. An example would be Undergraduate Research Papers.

5. **Level V support** is for content for which The University of Alabama Libraries has not committed access support, but which is currently managed by Digital Services, and for which we have digital rights permissions. An example would be files digitized at the patron request.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support Level</th>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Committed to sustain access</th>
<th>Migration Support</th>
<th>Emulation Support</th>
<th>Long Term Retention</th>
<th>Bit-Level Preservation</th>
<th>Annual Review</th>
<th>Local Backups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level I</td>
<td>Manuscript collection digitized by us</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level II</td>
<td>Electronic Theses and Dissertations</td>
<td>Possibly</td>
<td>Possibly</td>
<td>Possibly</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level III</td>
<td>Open source software for rendering archival content</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level IV</td>
<td>Undergraduate Research Papers</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Level V**

| digitized at patron request | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes |

**Committed to sustain access**

Every feasible effort will be made to continue access to this content. This may involve migration to new formats, or development and maintenance of emulation methods. This level of institutional commitment can only be made for content created in current archival format standards. Content not created in current archival standards is much likely to be migratable to new formats. However, if the content continues to be of value and either such migration is feasible and retains the significant properties of the content, or if emulation support is feasible, then continued access will be supported.

**Migration Support**

1. Formats of archival files and versions of metadata will be stored on the top layer of the file system, in a flat text file exported regularly from the database where all entries to the storage system are entered and monitored regularly for format or metadata migration requirements.
2. Descriptive, administrative, and provenance metadata will be stored in current schemas and formats in the file system as specified.
3. Technical metadata will be extracted from archival files and formatted for storage into appropriate schemas (local profiles are currently under development, drawing from standards such as MIX for images, TextMD for text, AudioMD for audio).
4. Open-source software which renders the current archival format, if available, will be stored in the archive. This will enable migration to newer file formats after the current ones become obsolete.
5. A copy of an open-source operating system which supports the open-source software, if available and feasible, will be stored in the archive.
6. Software and documentation necessary for emulation (recreation of the current user experience of our delivery system) will be stored in the archive.
7. File system information which enables emulation of the operating system to support the file system will be stored with the content.

**Emulation Support**

1. In addition to the migration support above, open-source software needed for creating derivatives and providing web delivery may be stored in the archive.
2. Documentation of current procedures for recreating the current online user experience may be stored in the archive.

**Bit-Level Preservation**

1. MD5 checksum scripts will run before each tape backup to verify content is not corrupt, and will notify the repository administrator of any errors. Backup copies of current checksums are stored on a separate server, and scripts on a third separate server verify checking scripts run as scheduled and without error.
2. We are and will continue to be involved in LOCKSS or a similar preservation network, supporting at least 6 copies of the archival content across a geographically disbursed area. All archival content will be made available to this system.

**Annual Review**

1. Prior to obsolescence, all content will be evaluated for preservation measures, which may involve either migration (reformatting) or emulation. Dependent upon their decisions and the availability of resources and viable migration/emulation methods, efforts will be made to continue accessibility. All preservation measures taken will be recorded.
2. If continued accessibility is deemed infeasible or advised against, online access will end, and stored content and metadata will be deleted.
3. The definition of obsolescence used in these statements is that in which the approved computer systems and software on the University of Alabama Library computers can no longer render viable access to the content in the file without emulation services.

**Long Term Retention**

1. Digital content will be named according to our file naming scheme and organized according to our file storage scheme on our storage server.

**Local Backups**

1. The storage system is covered by a weekly full backup and daily differential backups. The weekly full backup is duplicated, and a copy is sent offsite, with at least a two-month rolling backup schedule.

**Descriptive Metadata**

1. Up to 2 versions of descriptive metadata will be stored, the original and the most recent. Captures will be made quarterly from the delivery software web directories. If the metadata found there is more recent than what is stored, it will be placed in the archive. Version 2 of each metadata file will be overwritten with each new capture.

Our current preservation Network is [The Alabama Digital Preservation Network](http://www.lib.ua.edu/wiki/digcoll/index.php/Preservation)

- Organization of completed content for long-term storage
- Formats
- File Naming and Linking for LOCKSS
- Jideridden
Northwestern University

The library at Northwestern University

Digital Image Library

Northwestern University is working on a Hydra head that will provide extensive functionality for its Digital Image Library (DIL).

What is Digital Image Library?

DIL is an implementation of the Hydra technology that provides a repository solution for discovery of and access to images for staff, students, and scholars. It is comprised of three applications: a public-facing search and discovery interface, a restricted workflow management interface (currently in Drupal), and a restricted metadata editor interface (currently in XForms) with an Authority Tool feeding vocabularies from the library's integrated library system (Voyager).
Background

In 2007 the Library assumed responsibility for the Visual Media Collection (Art History Slide Library) and had to evaluate issues in three major areas of image collection management: digitization and cataloging, service assessment and promotion, and providing tools to make the collection useable. The Visual Media Collection was to become a wider Digital Image Library, thus collections and affiliated services were evaluated to determine how they would integrate with the digital repository and transition from serving one discipline to many. The result was the development of DIL: an institutional repository for images built using the Hydra technology framework and based on the Fedora digital repository system.

DIL is an implementation of the Hydra technology that provides a repository solution for discovery of and access to images for staff, students, and scholars. Some important features are:

- Build custom collection of images using drag-and-drop
- Re-order images within a collection using drag-and-drop
- Nest collections within other collections
- Create details/crops of images
- Zoom, rotate images
- Upload personal images
- Retrieve your own uploads and details from a collection
- Export a collection to a PowerPoint presentation
- Create a group of users and authorize access to your images

Our Hydra application also has a REST API that a robust metadata cataloging tool and a migration process utilize. This Hydra-based API ensures that the objects get indexed in Solr and updated in Fedora, all with simple REST calls.

Avalon

Northwestern University is collaborating with Indiana University on the Avalon Project. Avalon will use Hydra technologies to provide a powerful repository for use with video materials.

University website:

http://www.northwestern.edu/

Hydra website:

Digital Image Library (restricted to users with NU NetID)

Status: development

Screencast:

Digital Image Library (approx 3 minutes)
1 INTRODUCTION

Recent years have seen the emergence of a number of scholarly digital projects on the Washington University campus. These have ranged from small student projects to larger faculty-driven undertakings such as American Lives. However, several obstacles to further development of such work remain, including long-term preservation, short-term support, a consistent knowledge base, common tool support, and integration of digital materials into larger digital library or repository systems. These problems often limit how these projects are valued as scholarly or pedagogical resources.

The primary purpose of this document is to establish a lingua franca for digital projects at Washington University, integrating the perspectives of faculty, library staff, and other interested parties in the University community. A significant step toward such a common understanding is the recognition of the challenges that different members of the community will face as they develop digital projects, and of our shared goals as we develop a University digital library and related infrastructure. This document does not attempt to establish specific procedures for accepting and developing digital projects, nor standards that such projects should follow. Instead, it represents an agreement as to what kinds of procedures and standards should be developed on a University-wide basis.

To that end, this document establishes different classes of digital projects as a preliminary step to providing them appropriate support. Explicit criteria as to what support any given project merits remain to be determined at a later date. Eventually decisions regarding the level of support allocated to a proposed project should be made on a consistent rather than an ad hoc basis. Furthermore, while the University Libraries have committed to playing a central role in providing such support, this document is not presented from the perspective of the Libraries, or any given school or division of the University, but rather from the University level, so digital projects created by the library would in no way be synonymous with “University projects” described in this document.

In conjunction with other institutional steps, this document also represents a commitment to provide a greater level of support to projects at all levels, and is therefore intended to increase development of digital projects, especially by faculty, and specifically to encourage development of digital projects as a scholarly activity. At the same time, it is intended to encourage this development in a disciplined way that will help to ensure the successful execution of digital projects, and to most effectively leverage the resources available for digital project development.

2 PROPOSAL PURPOSE
This paper describes a framework for handling digital projects at Washington University. The purpose of this framework is to address some of these issues and discuss ways in which the University can structure activities to support these projects.

The issues addressed are:

- Long-term maintenance of digital projects
- Role of a central digital library
- Role of a digital asset repository

3 PROJECT SCOPE - WHAT ARE DIGITAL PROJECTS?

For the purposes of this proposal, digital projects are defined as some combination of scholarly research, research tools, and collections of artifacts that are significantly computer-aided and usually web-based. For example, an interactive literary scholarly edition, a web site that presents an organized collection of digital photos and maps on twelfth century London, or a virtual exploration of the pyramids. What are not addressed by this proposal are interactive databases where the underlying content is expected to change rapidly or over long periods. For example, the student information system and the library catalogue are not covered. The focus, therefore, is on faculty or student-driven scholarly digital projects where the result is somewhat akin to a book, paper, or museum exhibit (in its formal intellectual content, not as media).

3.1 THE STRUCTURE OF A DIGITAL PROJECT

The conceptual structure of scholarly digital projects can be broken down into two general pieces.

1) Content— At the core of a digital project is the content made up of data and metadata. The data is the scholarly material. It may include images, film clips, paper or other text blocks, sound clips, maps, etc. Some of the material may be the work of the scholars involved in the digital project or it may be the work of others. The works may be digital in origin or digitized copies of non-digital work such as scanned images. Whereas the data is the primary scholarly information, the metadata describe information about the data. For example, the data might be a scanned photograph. The metadata might describe who took the photo, when it was taken, and when it was digitized. Metadata is the information needed to classify and catalogue the data. In theory, data with appropriate metadata could be incorporated into other digital archives.

2) Presentation—Presentation includes both tool development, which allows researchers to submit queries and derive specific information from a project’s data set, and static presentation, such as the web page and interface of a project. So, for example, a literary archive may have a static web page through which users can call up different editions of an author's work; it may also allow users to pose queries, such as word counts within different documents. The web page is static and the querying tool is dynamic, but both are presentations of the content.
Scholars who wish to build digital projects must recognize the difference between content and presentation if they hope to develop projects that are responsive to research needs and are preservable for the long term. By properly creating data and metadata as separable from the tools and interface through which they are accessed, the content can be re-purposed (in part or in whole) and re-published in other formats, including future formats not yet developed.

In order to provide optimal support for digital projects, Washington University recognizes as a best practice the separation of content from presentation. Specific implementation of this best practice will vary from project to project, and will likely change in response to scholarly needs.

4 NON-PROJECT DIGITAL ASSETS

Not all digital projects properly belong to a digital collection or project. Sometimes members of the University community may create a digital object in isolation—a scanned photograph for classroom use, for example. In the analog past, personal collections of photographs would often be accompanied by clues that gave such objects context, such writing on the back identifying its subject or when or where it was taken. A significant drawback to digital resources is that they typically have little or none of this kind of identification. Typically, digital assets created for personal use in the classroom are only nominally identified, if at all.

Such assets become problematic when a faculty member approaches the university with curation or delivery requests. These classroom resources may constitute valuable resources that deserve preservation, but the lack of documentation for such a resource would present a significant obstacle to curation.

Washington University hopes to offer a curatorial service for these and other orphaned resources, or non-project digital assets, in the form of a digital asset repository, discussed later in this document. Such a repository will provide a valuable service to the university community, but will also require faculty and other creators of such assets to acknowledge minimal metadata and formatting standards in order to make their resources preservable.

5 WHAT ARE THE CHALLENGES?

Three interlocking challenges must be met for successful, long-term scholarly digital project development at the University.

1) Duration – Digital projects are created for various purposes, from limited short-term use in a single course to long-term, broader scholarly use. To complicate matters, the purpose of a project often changes over its lifetime. A project originally conceived as a tool in an individual’s research may later be recognized as a valuable resource for an entire community. Finally, and most importantly, long-term preservation remains a stumbling block in the acceptance of projects as long-term investments. Unlike books, which stay fairly stable after publication, digital projects often die when the original creator retires, technology changes, or
when direct funding runs out. One of the goals of this framework is to propose a method to retain digital projects (or their contents) over decades, thus improving their value as scholarly work.

2) **Content (digital asset) management** – Content or digital asset management is important to the long-term success of the entire digital library endeavor. By properly segregating content from presentation—and even within these categories, separating data from metadata and static presentation from tool development—projects better ensure their longevity, and help clarify the roles of the scholars and curators involved. Once these pieces of a digital project are elucidated, it is easier for the library to ingest the data, and for scholars to study and share the resources across projects.

3) **Value as a scholarly activity** – Finally, digital projects and their contents present the same problem of recognized scholarly effort that any book or paper presents. How does one determine if a project is of scholarly value and should be preserved? There are established mechanisms in the print world for this evaluation. Peer-reviewed journals, book publication procedures, and library selection processes are all part of this process. Currently, similar mechanisms are not as codified in the digital world. Although this framework does not address the issue of scholarly value directly, it does maintain that the University must decide whether a project is worth long-term financial investment.

### 6 PROPOSAL

There are five elements to this proposed framework:

1. **Recognition of Presentation/Content Structure**
2. **Establishment of a Common Set of Project Definitions**
3. **Establishment of a University Digital Asset Repository**
4. **Establishment of a University Digital Library**
5. **Establishment of a Digital Project Web Portal**

#### 6.1 Presentation/Content Structure

It is important to recognize a distinction between 1) developing and preserving digital content and 2) developing presentation and tools. This distinction will help clarify the responsibilities and investments required of various parties in the development of digital projects.

#### 6.2 Common Set of Project Definitions

The following sections offer categories for describing a digital project’s 1) support (divided into four classes), 2) approach to content, and 3) hosting.

#### 6.2.1 Project Classes

A project’s class defines how much support the school or University has committed to the project. If a school or the University commits significant support to a project, resources will need to be specifically allocated to the project. This proposal does not
determine how schools, the library, or the University will allocate these resources, since such decisions should be made by the school, library, or university itself.

- **Class 1 – Local Project.** No significant support from either the school or library. The project is completely controlled and developed by the local faculty or student groups. Funding may be from a department or external agency. Operation time length is up to the faculty or students.

- **Class 2 – School Supported Project.** Similar to Class 1 projects except there is significant support by the school. School supported projects will normally be required to meet standards set by the school.

- **Class 3 – University Supported Project.** Similar to Class 1 and 2 projects except there is significant support by the University (via the library and possibly the school). University supported projects will normally be required to meet standard set by the library and/or school.

### 6.2.2 Content Approach (Project Standards)

A project’s content approach refers to whether a project implements standards that allow for data migration and preservation. Content approach can fall into three categories:

- **Type 1—Local Use Only.** In this content approach, data is created with no intention of having it preserved for the long-term or migrated to any third-party system, such as the University Digital Library or the Digital Asset Repository.

- **Type 2 – Storage in Digital Asset Repository.** Directors of a project using this approach would incorporate the minimal metadata and formatting requirements to enable the library to store their data in the Digital Asset Repository. The library would not be required to provide user-friendly interfaces, search functions, etc. for such data.

- **Type 3 – Inclusion in the University Digital Library.** The most labor-intensive content approach, this method incorporates enough metadata and otherwise responds to library requirements for ingestion into the Digital Library. The Digital Library provides at least a minimal infrastructure for retrieving data. Please note that meeting these standards does not guarantee ingestion into the Digital Library; it is simply a minimal requirement for acceptance.

Not all the content of a given project may fall into a single category. Some content may be generated at library archival standards for inclusion into the University Digital Library or Digital Asset Repository while other content may be generated just for use in the local project. Further, a Class 1, Class 2, or Class 3 project (as defined in §6.2.1) may be developed by a project team who plans to operate it for only a few years, but who hopes that the content will be curated for the long term. Thus, content for even a local project may be generated to meet library standards for future inclusion into the University digital.

### 6.2.3 Presentation Approach
Adhering to metadata and formatting standards can help ensure the long-term preservation of a digital project's content, but a project's presentation is less durable. In fact, ongoing developments in data mining and analysis techniques virtually ensure that a given project's presentation will be updated continuously at a local level. Projects that invest in durable, preservable content provide the stable arena in which exploratory and innovative approaches to presentation become possible. Consequently, Washington University encourages projects to invest in durable, preservable content, and to view the upkeep of presentation as a built-in cost of digital projects.

6.2.4 Hosting

Hosting refers to what computer servers are used for the project. Servers, including backup systems, constitute a significant cost of a digital project. There are three general types of hosting:

- **Local hosting**—Hosted on local servers (project-specific, faculty, or student machines).
- **School hosting**—Hosted on school servers.
- **Library hosting**—Hosted on library servers.
- **External hosting**—Hosted on a server not sponsored by a Washington University entity.

6.3 Digital Asset Repository

Previous sections of this document have stressed the need for a Digital Asset Repository, which will hold standardized content that need not be part of any digital project or collection per se. Such a repository would preserve not only isolated digital objects created for classroom use, for example, but could also store stabilized data for projects that have less stable presentations. The Repository should, in other words, act as a clearinghouse for members of the university community who create digital content that meets the Repository's metadata and formatting standards.

The Digital Asset Repository will:

- Promote the use of standardized content
- Ease the problem of search and retrieval
- Promote the re-use of digital assets across multiple projects
- Ensure that even local (Class 1) projects have means to be preserved for the long term
- Encourage project developers to think in terms of content vs. presentation
- Help students quickly learn to design digital projects by providing them with pre-digitized content

The Digital Asset Repository will meet the needs of a wide range of the university community, from faculty and students creating single digital objects to larger research projects that would like to design their own presentations of their data while having the data housed elsewhere.
6.4 Digital Library

As part of their ongoing efforts to support education and scholarship, Washington University is building a core Digital Library that includes digitized versions of materials already held by the Libraries, some scholarly digital work created by faculty, and possibly other licensed resources. The central Digital Library will adopt an appropriate digital asset management system that stores collections, includes metadata that describe them, manages access to these collections, and facilitates delivery to users. It will present and provide access to content across many format types through a single web-based point-of-access site. A central digital production facility will ensure ease of digital creation workflow, conformity to accepted standards, and inclusion in the Digital Library. The University will adopt guidelines for digital projects and a procedure for the development of digital projects including intellectual property issues, creation of metadata, and production support.

6.5 Alternative Digital Services

The Digital Library will be an excellent resource for many members of the university community who are looking to digitize collections. It is important to note, though, that other options, such as building a project whose content is housed on an external server and whose presentation interface is housed on a school server, may better meet the scholarly needs of some researchers. These decisions are best made on a case by case basis after consulting with members of the digital community, such as Digital library Services or the Humanities Digital Workshop.

6.6 The Digital Project Web Portal

Finally, the University will create a digital project web portal that links to all sponsored digital projects on campus. The portal can also include important information such as policy documents and news announcements about digital work at the University.
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Smithsonian Institution. Digitization and Digital Asset Management Policy. (March 31, 2011) http://www.si.edu/content/pdf/about/sd/SD610.pdf


**Standards**

Dublin Core Metadata Initiative
http://dublincore.org/

Getty Institute. Art & Architecture Thesaurus Online.
http://www.getty.edu/research/tools/vocabularies/aat/

VRA Core: a data standard for the description of works of visual culture as well as the images that document them.
http://www.loc.gov/standards/vracore/

**Websites**

Visual Resources Association (VRA)
http://www.vraweb.org/

Note: All URLs accessed August 5, 2013.